LETTER Open Access

Letter to the editor



Folke Sjöberg^{1,2,3*}, Lotti Orwelius^{1,2}, Michelle Chew^{1,2}, Sören Berg^{1,4} and Sten Walther^{1,4}

Dear Editor.

We read with interest the paper by Dr. Malmgren and coworkers addressing the issue of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) in former ICU patients [1]. We congratulate the authors for their excellent work, and especially in developing a questionnaire more adapted to depict effects on important areas of HRQoL in ICU patients. There are issues that we want to highlight and comment.

First, often HRQoL investigations in ICU patients lack the use of control groups and the group used is matched for both age and sex. Second, the authors are to be complimented to having addressed two important issues in HRQoL research, that of comorbidity and socio-economic background [2, 3], both in the ICU and Control cohort.

The very interesting finding is that the control group cannot at all be compared with the ICU cohort as both comorbidity and the socio-economic profiles were distinctly different.

Previous studies have demonstrated that decreased HRQoL after ICU care is in large part, due to pre-ICU co-morbidity [4] and socio-economic background [2, 3]. For example, in a study of COPD patients in the ICU matched with hospitalized, non-ICU COPD patients with the same COPD score no difference in HRQoL was seen between groups (ICU/non-ICU) after discharge. In another study the ICU cohort was compared to a general internal medicine hospitalized group not being cared for in ICU and again HRQoL outcomes were comparable.

This comment refers to the article available online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-021-03496-7.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Finally, what can then be concluded from this study [1] with respect to constructing the questionnaire and what can be said about HRQoL in former ICU patients? The imbalance between comorbidities and socio-economic factors between the ICU and control cohorts diminishes the possibility to make robust conclusions regarding the critical care event itself and its potential effects on HRQoL. This has recently been discussed in a Commentary in this journal [5].

This suggests that the findings (decreased HRQoL) in the ICU cohort, is not primarily related to the critical illness period, but rather stems from comorbidity and socio-economic reasons already present before the ICUperiod [2].

General ICU cohorts are heterogenous and it is technically difficult to properly adjust for co-morbidities and the socio-economic background. A consequence may be, that we should examine subgroups with specific co-morbidities and socio-economic background and compare the ones being cared for in the ICU with those with the same level of comorbidity and socio-economic background, hospitalized but not in ICU.

Authors' response

Johan Malmgren⁵, Ann-Charlotte Waldenström⁶, Elias Johannesson⁷, Christian Rylander⁵ and Stefan Lundin⁵

⁵Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg and Sahlgrenska University Hospital, 413 45 Gothenburg, Sweden ⁶Department of Oncology, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg and Sahlgrenska University Hospital, 413 45 Gothenburg, Sweden

⁷Department of Social and Behavioural Studies, University West, Trollhättan, Sweden



© The Author(s) 2021. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativeccommons.org/ficenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: folke.sjoberg@liu.se

¹ Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

Sjöberg et al. Crit Care (2021) 25:255 Page 2 of 2

Dear Editor.

We thank Sjöberg et al. for their comments and encouragement regarding our recently published study on developing a long-term follow-up instrument specifically for ICU survivors.

They argue that long-term sequelae after intensive care could mainly be explained by pre-existing comorbidities and/or socioeconomic status instead of being an effect of intensive care. Consequently, a comparison with a non-ICU-treated control group would be pointless. In statistical terms, this can be analysed by implementing a moderation effect of comorbidity and socioeconomic status respectively on the relationship between cohort type and each HRQoL question. By doing this, any asymmetry between the two groups regarding comorbidities and socioeconomic factors can be addressed, and if the authors were to be correct, the interaction effect would emerge and would be quantified as significant. However, before analyses have been performed, no such conclusions can be drawn.

Notably, SF-36 is used in all referred studies. Beautifully described by Lim et al. [6], SF-36 contains very few issues with importance to ICU survivors and may add to the difficulties of adequately capturing differences between ICU survivors and various control groups. We disagree that further subgroup analyses would help. Rather, the Gordian knot might be to obtain pre-ICU data from intensive care patients without using surrogates. This, however, requires a proper measurement tool.

Acknowledgements

None.

Authors' contributions

FS, LO, MC, SB, SW have contributed in all parts in producing the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

All authors give the consent to publish.

Competing interests

All authors claim no competing interest or conflict of interest.

Author details

¹Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden. ²Department of Anaesthesiology and Intensive Care, Linköping University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden. ³Department of Hand Surgery, Plastic Surgery, Burns and Intensive Care, The Burn Center, Burns Linköping University Hospital, Linköping University Hospital/Linköping University, 58185 Linköping, Sweden. ⁴Department of Cardiothoracic Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Linköping University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden.

Received: 10 April 2021 Accepted: 8 June 2021

References

- Malmgren J, Waldenstrom AC, Rylander C, Johannesson E, Lundin S. Long-term health-related quality of life and burden of disease after intensive care: development of a patient-reported outcome measure. Crit Care. 2021;25(1):82.
- Bastian K, Hollinger A, Mebazaa A, Azoulay E, Feliot E, Chevreul K, et al. Association of social deprivation with 1-year outcome of ICU survivors: results from the FROG-ICU study. Intensive Care Med. 2018;44(12):2025–37.
- Jones JRA, Berney S, Connolly B, Waterland JL, Denehy L, Griffith DM, et al. Socioeconomic position and health outcomes following critical illness: a systematic review. Crit Care Med. 2019;47(6):e512–21.
- 4. Orwelius L, Fredrikson M, Kristenson M, Walther S, Sjoberg F. Healthrelated quality of life scores after intensive care are almost equal to those of the normal population: a multicenter observational study. Crit Care. 2013:17(5):R236.
- Sjoberg F, Orwelius L, Berg S. Health-related quality of life after critical care-the emperor's new clothes. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):308.
- Lim WC, Black N, Lamping D, Rowan K, Mays N. Conceptualizing and measuring health-related quality of life in critical care. J Crit Care. 2016;31:183–93.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.