LETTER Open Access

Impact of HFOV in pARDS outcomes: questions remain



Hari Krishnan Kanthimathinathan^{1*} and Martin C. J. Kneyber²

We read with interest the analysis comparing outcomes in paediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome (pARDS) among those who required high-frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) as 'rescue therapy', compared to those who did not [1]. The authors must be congratulated for using multiple matching techniques to account for some confounders. We also commend them for making the code used for analysis public [2]. We wish to clarify certain aspects of the study that may aid readers understand the context of the analysis better.

- 1. The dataset used for genetic matching included at least 68 'matched-pairs' of severe pARDS. However, the 68 'matches' were derived from a pool of less than 39 'real' patients with severe pARDS in the non-HFOV cohort. While multiple matching with replacement may improve matching, it would be helpful to understand how many multiple matches were made from the smaller pool of non-HFOV patients and whether statistical adjustments were made for the duplicates in addition to a pairwise comparison.
- 2. The authors reported that the sensitivity analysis showed consistent findings with the primary

- analysis. Curiously, the odds ratios of mortality for mild, moderate and severe pARDS in the logistic regression analysis were 1.61, 1.02, and 1.00 respectively. This paradoxical trend may indicate an interaction between the use of HFOV and presence of moderate (or) severe pARDS, rather than the risk of HFOV per se. Was an interaction between the use of HFOV and severity of pARDS tested and accounted for in the logistic regression analysis?
- 3. The intention to match patients in a propensity- or genetic-matched study is to account for confounders present, when an intervention was indicated, between those that received the intervention compared to those that did not. The use of day 1 OI, rather than the OI immediately prior to the initiation of HFOV, may have systematically underestimated the true severity of pARDS in the HFOV group, given that HFOV was used as a 'rescue mode' anytime within 7 days. An equivalent assignment of pARDS severity could be done using the 'highest OI' within the first 7 days in the non-HFOV group. Was the use of OI prior to initiation of HFOV, rather than day 1 OI, considered for analysis?

Authors' response

Judith Ju Ming Wong, Siqi Liu, Mengling Feng, Jan Hau Lee

We thank Drs. Krishnan and Kneyber for their letter and the opportunity to clarify questions that remain.

This comment refers to the article available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13.054-020-2741-x

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

1. In the genetic matching (GM) model, we used matching with replacement aiming to have a larger matched cohort [1]. Among the 67 uniquely matched non-high frequency oscillatory ventilation (HFOV) patients, majority were matched once [40/67 (59.7%)]. The remainder were matched twice [16/67 (23.9%)], thrice [5/67 (7.5%)] and more than



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: dr.h.krishnan@gmail.com

¹PICU, Birmingham Children's Hospital, Steelhouse Lane, Birmingham B4 6NH, UK

- three times [6/67 (9.0%)]. No adjustments were made for multiple matched pairs. However, experiments of GM without replacement showed consistent findings with our main analysis [odds ratio (OR) for mortality 1.9, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.0 to 4.0; p = 0.09] lending confidence to the results.
- 2. We performed logistic regression with interaction term between HFOV use and paediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome (PARDS) severity and compared this with the original model without the interaction term using chi-square test and the 'ANOVA' function in R software. There was no improvement (p = 0.16) with introducing the interaction term, and hence, this was omitted. We hypothesized that HFOV use is beneficial in certain patient subgroups. We reported subgroup analyses (severe and non-severe PARDS) in the supplementary material (Table S3.2). A trend towards harm was associated with HFOV use in the nonsevere [OR 2.9 (95% CI 1.1 to 8.1); p = 0.06] and severe [OR 1.6 (95% CI 0.7 to 3.5); p = 0.29] cohort, but the effect size in severe PARDS seemed lower. This paradoxical trend was similar to the multivariate analysis. However, this hypothesis may only be answered with the completion of the PROSPect trial (NCT03896763).
- 3. In our main analysis, we used oxygenation index (OI) calculated on the second day of PARDS, which has strong correlation to clinical outcomes [3]. However, anticipating the possibility of PARDS progression in the following days leading up to HFOV use, we also performed a sensitivity analysis using *daily OI* to adjust for time-varying confounding in the first week of PARDS (Tables S7.1 and S7.2). All three statistical approaches (GM, propensity score matching and marginal structural modelling) demonstrate a consistent direction of harmful effect. This approach is likely more robust than using a single day's OI value.

In summary, our findings remain consistent—there is a signal toward harm in using HFOV in the general cohort of PARDS patients, especially in non-severe cases who otherwise have no other organ involvement. For the subgroup with severe PARDS, an empirical study is necessary and warranted to determine the effects of HFOV.

Acknowledgements

None

Authors' contributions

HK and MCJK were both involved in the conception of the article. HK wrote the first draft. HK and MCJK revised further drafts and read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable

Consent for publication

Not applicable

Competing interests

MCJK is a $\bar{\text{Principal}}$ Investigator of "PROSPECT" randomized control trial. HK's institution may participate in the trial.

Author details

¹PICU, Birmingham Children's Hospital, Steelhouse Lane, Birmingham B4 6NH, UK. ²Department of Paediatrics, Division of Paediatric Critical Care Medicine, Beatrix Children's Hospital, University Medical Center Groningen, Huispost CA 80, P.O. Box 30.001, 9700 RB Groningen, The Netherlands.

Received: 28 February 2020 Accepted: 19 March 2020 Published online: 26 March 2020

References

- Wong JJ-M, Liu S, Dang H, Anantasit N, Phan PH, Phumeetham S, et al. The impact of high frequency oscillatory ventilation on mortality in paediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):31.
- Siqi L. R code for 'The impact of high frequency oscillatory ventilation on mortality in paediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome' 2019. [cited 2020 Feb 10]. Available from: https://github.com/nus-mornin-lab/KKH/.
- Yehya N, Thomas NJ, Khemani RG. Risk stratification using oxygenation in the first 24 hours of pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome. Crit Care Med. 2018;46(4):619–24.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.