# LETTER Open Access



# Chlorhexidine-dress related contact dermatitis—the precautionary principle is no more relevant!

Philippe Eggimann<sup>1\*</sup>, Jean-Luc Pagani<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Pierre Revelly<sup>2</sup> and Yok-Ai Que<sup>3</sup>

Dear editor,

We read carefully the Buetti et al. [1] post hoc analysis of two open randomized multicenter French studies, comparing non-disinfectant dressings with CHG-releasing sponge (December 2006 to May 2008) [2] and with CHG-releasing gel (May 2010 to July 2011) [3].

After adjustment for confounders, gel-dress showed similar risk for MCRI compared to sponge-dress (HR 0.80, 95% CI 0.28–2.31, p=0.68) and CRBSI (HR 1.13, 95% CI 0.34–3.70, p=0.85), less dressing disruptions (OR 0.72, 95% CI 0.60–0.86, p<0.001), and more contact dermatitis (OR 3.60, 95% CI 2.51–5.15, p<0.01). However, gel-dress increased the risk of contact dermatitis only if CHG was used for skin antisepsis (OR 1.94, 95% CI 1.38–2.71, p<0.01).

Alcoholic-CHG was used for skin preparation in 1533 of gel-dress recipients (72.7%) and only 20 patients with sponge-dress (1.3%) [1]. In a recently published real-world evidence study, the gel-dress was applied with CHG for skin antisepsis [4]. Initial rates of contact dermatitis were consistent with previous findings [3]. Following the implementation of a redesigned dressing in March 2012, contact dermatitis rates dropped from 5.5 episodes/1000 device-days to 0.3/1000 device-days and

same levels were reported for both sponge- and the geldress [4].

Several factors may have played a role in this improvement. Firstly, re-designed adhesive distribution in the dressing resulted in an improved skin moisture evaporation through the transparent membrane. Secondly, two-step skin preparation (scrubbing) were never incorporated in our guidelines for skin antisepsis with CHG. Thirdly, time allowed for the disinfecting solution to fully evaporate was part of our guidelines at time of introduction of CHG dressings in 2007. This practice may decrease initial moisture build-up on the skin surface.

Above-mentioned conclusion on increased risk for skin irritation is highly concerning as it may challenge major elements from widely recommended bundle to prevent CRBSI. Alcoholic solutions of CHG have demonstrated superior efficacy in prevention of infections complications and graded IA by CDC Guidelines [5]. Supported by two randomized studies [1, 2] and confirmed by a real-world study [4], the use of CHG-dressings to reduce CRBSI is also a IA scored recommendation [5].

Accordingly, we congratulate Buetti et al. for their outstanding methodological skills applied in their post hoc analysis, but it is of crucial importance to challenge some of the conclusions. We urge the medical community not to discard either the use of chlorhexidine-dressings and/or alcoholic solutions of CHG for skin preparation.

Acknowledgements

None.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2020. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Philippe.eggimann@chuv.ch

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV), Rue du Bugnon 46, 1211 Lausanne, Switzerland

Eggimann et al. Crit Care (2020) 24:687 Page 2 of 2

### Authors' contributions

PE, JLP, JPR and YAQ analyzed the data of the studies included in the post hoc analysis published by Buetti et al. and have written the letter submitted for publication. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

### Funding

Not applicable. All studies mentioned are published and include funding source.

### Availability of supporting data

Not applicable. No new or original data. All studies mentioned are published and include ethical approval and consent to participate.

### Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not applicable. All studies mentioned are published and include Ethical Approval and Consent to Participate.

## Consent for publication

The co-authors agree with the publication of this letter to the editor.

### Competing interest

Philippe Eggimann is consultant for 3M.

### **Author details**

<sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopedics and Traumatology, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois (CHUV), Rue du Bugnon 46, 1211 Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>2</sup> Service of Intensive Care Medicine, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire Vaudois, Lausanne, Switzerland. <sup>3</sup> Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Inselspital, Bern University Hospital, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.

Received: 16 November 2020 Accepted: 24 November 2020 Published online: 09 December 2020

### References

- Buetti N, Ruckly S, Schwebel C, et al. Chlorhexidine-impregnated sponge versus chlorhexidine gel dressing for short-term intravascular catheters: which one is better? Crit Care. 2020;24:458. https://doi.org/10.1186/s1305 4-020-03174-0.
- Timsit J, Schwebel C, Bouadma L, et al. Chlorhexidine-Impregnated sponges and less frequent dressing changes for prevention of catheterrelated infections in critically III adults: a randomized controlled trial. JAMA. 2009;301(12):1231–41. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2009.376.
- Timsit JF, Mimoz O, Mourvillier B, et al. Randomized controlled trial of chlorhexidine dressing and highly adhesive dressing for preventing catheter-related infections in critically ill adults. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2012;186(12):1272–8.
- Eggimann P, Pagani J, Dupuis-Lozeron E, et al. Sustained reduction of catheter-associated bloodstream infections with enhancement of catheter bundle by chlorhexidine dressings over 11 years. Intensive Care Med. 2019;45:823–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05617-x.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/bsi/index.html#rec52017 and https://www.cdc.gov/infectioncontrol/guidelines/bsi/index.html#rec6.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

# Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

### At BMC, research is always in progress.

**Learn more** biomedcentral.com/submissions

