

RESEARCH LETTER

Open Access



Therapeutic plasma exchange in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in intensive care unit: a retrospective study

Bulent Gucyetmez^{1,2*}, Hakan Korkut Atalan³, Ibrahim Sertdemir⁴, Ulkem Cakir⁵, Lutfi Telci^{2,6,7} and COVID-19 Study Group

In patients with COVID-19 pneumonia, high risk of thrombosis became a current issue, and D-dimer levels indicating fibrin degradation products (FDPs) in the plasma were found as a predictor for mortality [1, 2]. Although unfractionated heparin (UFH) and low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH) decrease the production of FDPs by inhibiting factors Xa and II, they cannot contribute metabolization of existing FDPs. Furthermore, FDPs cannot be filtered by known cytokine filters because of their molecular weight (minimum 240 kDa) [3, 4]. Yet, FDPs can be removed by therapeutic plasma exchange (TPE) [5]. Therefore, recently, three consecutive TPE sessions were performed in selected patients with COVID-19 pneumonia in intensive care units (ICUs) after the assessment of their clinical and coagulation status. In the study, the effect of TPE on outcomes was retrospectively investigated in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia.

All COVID-19 patients admitted to 5 different tertiary ICUs between 10 March and 10 May 2020 were evaluated, and 73 of 91 patients were included in the study. The patients who died within the first 4 days and who were still in the ICUs on 10 May were excluded. According to the Turkish Health Minister Algorithm for COVID-19, all included patients received the same antiviral (favipiravir, hydroxychloroquine, azithromycin) therapy and anticoagulant prophylaxis (UFH infusion

100 mcg/kg or LMWH 0.01 mL/kg). Since two different protocols were used in 5 ICUs, patients with D-dimer ≥ 2 in 3 ICUs had only received therapeutic anticoagulation whereas patients with D-dimer ≥ 2 in the other 2 ICUs had received TPE plus therapeutic anticoagulation. In all ICUs, for all patients in GII, echocardiography, lower extremity venous Doppler, and, if pulmonary thrombosis suspected, thorax computerized tomography angiography were performed. After collecting data, 73 patients were divided into 2 groups as group I (GI) (D-dimer < 2 mg/L) and group II (GII) (D-dimer ≥ 2 mg/L), and then GII was also divided into 2 groups as GIIa (TPE+) and GIIb (TPE-). Patients' characteristics, respiratory and laboratory parameters, and outcomes were recorded. Propensity score matching (PSM) analysis was conducted on R v4.0.1 (0.2 caliper without replacement and nearest neighbor model, 1:1 ratio) by using 14 covariates (age, gender, CCI, APACHE II, SOFA score, lactate, leucocyte, lymphocyte, D-dimer and creatinine at the ICU admission, maximum respiratory support, the usage of steroid, IL-6 blocker, and cytokine filter).

The total mortality rate was 27.4%. Mortality rates of GI and GII were 5% and 35.9%, respectively. In GII, major thromboembolic events were not detected in any patients. The median (min-max) day for the starting TPE was 3 (2–4). In GIIa, APACHE II, SOFA scores, D-dimer and interleukin-6 (IL-6) levels at the ICU admission, and length of ICU stay were significantly higher than those of GI whereas mortality rates were similar in those groups (Table 1). The median values of the LOS-ICU in survivors and non-survivors in GII were 14 (6.5–21.5) and 15.5 (8–23), respectively ($p = 0.630$). In GIIa, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), D-dimer, ferritin, IL-6, C-reactive protein (CRP), and procalcitonin levels were

* Correspondence: bulentgucyetmez@gmail.com

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University School of Medicine, Kerem Aydinlar Kampüsü, Kayışdağı Cad No:32 Ataşehir, 34752 Istanbul, Turkey

²General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem International Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 1 Comparisons of patients groups

	G1 (D-dimer < 2) (n = 20)	GII (D-dimer ≥ 2)						
		Before PSM		After PSM				
		GIIa (TPE+) (n = 18)	GIIb (TPE-) (n = 35)	p ₁ (G1 and GIIa)	p ₂ (GIIa and GIIb)	GIIa (TPE+) (n = 12)	GIIb (TPE-) (n = 12)	p ₂ (GIIa and GIIb)
Age (years)	60 ± 14	62 ± 12	62 ± 15	0.615	0.951	61 ± 14	64 ± 17	0.605
Male, n (%)	13 (65.0)	14 (77.8)	26 (74.3)	0.386	0.780	8 (66.7)	8 (66.7)	1.000
BMI (kg/m ²)	27.3 (5.8)	27.9 (5.5)	27.3 (6.6)	0.290	0.237	28.5 (6.1)	25.0 (6.6)	0.078
CCI	2.5 (4)	3 (3)	4 (3)	0.919	0.422	3.0 ± 2.2	3.8 ± 1.7	0.270
At the ICU admission								
APACHE II	12 ± 4	17 ± 4	17 ± 5	0.002	0.886	17 ± 3.3	17.5 ± 5.6	0.794
SOFA Score	5 (3)	6 (1)	7 (3)	0.002	0.223	6 (2)	6 (2)	0.713
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio	128 (68)	97 (51)	113 (79)	0.251	0.229	108 (106)	125 (103)	0.551
SpO ₂ (%)	89 (5)	91 (7)	89 (5)	0.377	0.597	92 (10)	91 (5)	0.590
Lactate (mmol/L)	1.4 (0.6)	1.4 (0.7)	1.4 (0.9)	0.988	0.631	1.5 (0.8)	1.3 (0.5)	0.291
WBC (×10 ³ /μL)	9.6 (3.9)	6.9 (6.4)	8.2 (6.5)	0.573	0.353	8.7 ± 4.9	7.4 ± 2.7	0.430
Lymc (×10 ³ /μL)	0.82 ± 0.40	0.80 ± 0.34	0.89 ± 0.42	0.553	0.271	0.83 ± 0.3	0.82 ± 0.5	0.963
D-dimer (mg/L) ^{&}	1.2 (0.3–1.9)	5.0 (2.1–35.2)	7.2 (2.1–35.5)	< 0.001	0.151	4.5 (2.1–35.2)	6.0 (2.2–32.2)	0.514
Ferritin (ng/mL)	1015 (1735)	1735 (1853)	900 (1454)	0.158	0.018	1742 (2117)	605 (1346)	0.012
IL-6 (pg/mL) ^{&}	28.3 (5.3–1418) ⁽⁸⁾	134 (36.2–2958) ⁽¹³⁾	254 (33–5233) ⁽¹³⁾	0.036	0.101	155 (39.6–2958) ⁽⁸⁾	237 (33–4885) ⁽⁴⁾	0.933
CRP (mg/dL)	18.6 ± 10.9	22.2 ± 12.1	27.8 ± 10.4	0.340	0.086	19.2 ± 10.3	24.0 ± 11.0	0.275
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.88 (0.29)	0.87 (0.37)	0.99 (0.82)	0.874	0.051	0.91 ± 0.3	0.90 ± 0.3	0.944
Urea (mg/dL)	28 (29)	32 (19)	36 (26)	0.942	0.288	28 (32)	35 (14)	0.291
Number of damaged lobes, n (%) ^{&}	3 (2–4)	3 (2–5)	3 (2–5)	0.149	0.118	3 (2–5)	3 (3–5)	0.671
In the first 48 h								
Breath rate/min (max)	34 (6)	33 (9)	33 (5)	0.988	0.713	33 (11)	33 (5)	0.590
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ ratio (min)	117 ± 42	98 ± 30	105 ± 34	0.087	0.376	104 ± 32.4	120 ± 32.5	0.235
FiO ₂ (%) (max)	75 (48)	80 (30)	80 (35)	0.082	0.969	80 (25)	80 (30)	0.799
PEEP (cmH ₂ O) (max)	12 (6)	12 (4)	14 (4)	0.502	0.056	12.0 ± 2.3	13.0 ± 1.9	0.215
C _{dyn} (ml/cmH ₂ O) (min)	44 (6)	37 (12)	41 (8)	0.003	0.058	36.3 ± 6.6	39.5 ± 7.0	0.265
In the first week								
WBC (×10 ³ /μL) (max)	13.2 (5.8)	11.0 (8.9)	12.6 (6.6)	0.077	0.086	10.4 (10.3)	11.0 (6.7)	0.590
WBC (×10 ³ /μL) (min)	5.9 (2)	6.3 (4)	4.9 (4)	0.718	0.612	6.7 (4.4)	4.6 (1.5)	0.219
Lymc (×10 ³ /μL) (min)	0.48 (0.40)	0.5 (0.28)	0.49 (0.46)	0.919	0.573	0.52 (0.29)	0.45 (0.28)	0.551
NLCR (max)	16.4 (16.2)	15 (8)	11 (11)	0.460	0.517	13.6 (10.1)	11.6 (11.5)	0.843
Lactate (mmol/L) (max)	2.1 (0.7)	2.4 (1.1)	2.4 (0.8)	0.087	0.955	2.3 (1.0)	2.4 (1.6)	0.347
Fluid balance (mL)	3670 (3198)	4552 (2973)	3849 (2196)	0.874	0.441	4174 ± 2907	5331 ± 3170	0.361
Total fluid (mL/kg/day)	40.7 (9.3)	44.3 (15.5)	44.8 (11)	0.696	0.910	44.8 ± 13.5	48.7 ± 12.0	0.460
Respiratory support (max), n (%)								
IMV	13 (65.0)	16 (88.8)	30 (85.7)	0.084	0.746	11 (91.7)	12 (100)	0.307
NIMV	3 (15.0)	1 (5.6)	3 (8.6)	0.344	0.694	1 (8.3)	0	0.307
HFOT	4 (20.0)	1 (5.6)	2 (5.7)	0.188	0.981	0	0	NA

Table 1 Comparisons of patients groups (Continued)

	GI (D-dimer < 2) (n = 20)	GII (D-dimer ≥ 2)						
		Before PSM				After PSM		
		GIIa (TPE+) (n = 18)	GIIb (TPE-) (n = 35)	p ₁ (GI and GIIa)	p ₂ (GIIa and GIIb)	GIIa (TPE+) (n = 12)	GIIb (TPE-) (n = 12)	p ₂ (GIIa and GIIb)
Additional therapies, n (%)								
Cytokine filters	1 (5.0)	3 (16.7)	3 (8.1)	0.427	0.434	2 (16.7)	1 (8.3)	0.592
IL-6 blocker	12 (60.0)	9 (50.0)	20 (57.1)	0.536	0.621	7 (58.3)	6 (50)	0.682
Steroids	11 (55.0)	10 (55.6)	20 (57.1)	0.357	0.912	7 (58.3)	7 (58.3)	1.000
Duration of IMV (h) ^{&}	168 (0–816)	286 (0–1008)	192 (0–720)	0.112	0.067	316 ± 271	278 ± 139	0.671
AKI, n (%)	7 (35.0)	6 (33.3)	19 (54.3)	0.914	0.148	3 (25)	6 (50)	0.206
Tracheotomized patients, n (%)	2 (10.0)	2 (11.1)	1 (2.9)	0.911	0.218	1 (8.3)	0 (0)	0.307
LOS-ICU, (days) ^{&}	12 (6–34)	20 (5–42)	11 (7–35)	0.017	0.003	20 ± 10	14 ± 5	0.067
Mortality, n (%)	1 (5.0)	3 (16.7)	16 (45.7)	0.242	0.037	1 (8.3)	7 (58.3)	0.009

AKI acute kidney injury, APACHE II Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation, BMI body mass index, CCI Charlson comorbidity index, C_{dyn} dynamic compliance, CRP C-reactive protein, HFOT high-flow oxygen therapy, ICU intensive care unit, IL-6 interleukin-6, IMV invasive mechanical ventilation, LOS length of stay, Lymc lymphocyte count, NIMV non-invasive mechanical ventilation, NLCR neutrophil-lymphocyte count ratio, PSM propensity score matching, SOFA, sequential organ failure assessment, TPE therapeutic plasma exchange, WBC white blood cell. Results were given as percentage, mean ± sd, and median (IQR or min-max). [&]Minimum and maximum values. Student *t* and Mann-Whitney *U* tests were used for statistical analysis

significantly decreased after three consecutive TPEs (Table 2). Furthermore, although ferritin level at the ICU admission was higher in GIIa, the mortality rate in both before and after PSM was higher in GIIb (45.7% and 58.3%) than in GIIa (16.7% and 8.3%) ($p = 0.037$, $p = 0.009$, respectively) (Table 1).

Some patients with COVID-19 pneumonia have a high risk of thrombosis leading to worse outcomes. Therefore, monitoring D-dimer levels is crucial. In these groups of patients, TPE seems to be a treatment which may improve outcomes by effectively removing FDPs and restoring coagulation status. We are aware that TPE

may not be routinely required in these patients [6]. However, we think that it should be featured as a part of the treatment especially in COVID-19 pneumonia patients with a high risk of thrombosis.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to our nurses who have taken care of the patients and performed TPE procedure in the ICU and COVID-19 Study Group. COVID-19 Group collaborating authors: Aylin Ogan², Aylin Cimet Ayyildiz², Berrin Yalcin², Behiye Oren⁶, Fadil Havas⁶, Sevda Dizi⁶, Birsen Kose⁶, Umran Yakici⁶, Cenk Sahan⁶, Elif Ozkilitci⁶, Ugur Tunalı⁶, Deniz Gunes⁷, Ozlem Dincer⁷, Reyhan Sahin⁷, Duran Ozdemir⁷, Mehtap Selcuk⁸, Ceyhan Solakoglu⁸, Unsal Arif Turan⁸, Erkan Kaya⁸, Mustafa Emre Kavlak³, Pelin Katar³, Hande Aygun⁹, Kerim Cikim¹⁰, Ozkan Uysal¹⁰, Nur Ozturk Kaskir¹¹, Aysun Soylu¹². ²General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem International Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ³General Intensive Care Unit, Memorial Atasehir Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ⁶General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem Atakent Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ⁷General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem Bakirkoy Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ⁸General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem Kadikoy Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ⁹Department of Infection Disease and Clinical Microbiology, Acibadem International Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ¹⁰Department of Internal Medicine, Acibadem International Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ¹¹Department of Chest Disease, Acibadem International Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey ¹²Department of Biochemistry, Acibadem International Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey

Authors' contributions

BG: design of the work, analysis and interpretation of data, and writing. EO: acquisition of the data. HKA: acquisition and interpretation of the data and substantial contribution to the conception. UC and LT drafted the work. COVID-19 Study Group: acquisition of the data. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

There was no funding for the research reported.

Availability of data and materials

All data was added as an Excel file in a supplementary information file.

Table 2 Comparisons of laboratory parameters in pre and post-TPE procedure

	Pre-TPE	Post-TPE	<i>p</i>
WBC (× 10 ³ /μL)	9.08 ± 4.1	9.14 ± 3.5	0.951
Neuc (× 10 ³ /μL)	7.38 ± 3.1	7.33 ± 3.3	0.953
Lymc (× 10 ³ /μL)	0.9 (0.5–1.3)	1.02 (0.77–1.27)	0.053
NLCR	6.8 (1.8–11.7)	6.7 (4.2–9.2)	0.184
LDH (IU/L)	436 (322–550)	239 (181–297)	0.001
D-dimer (mg/L) ^{&}	7.8 (2.1–35.2)	1.3 (0.6–3.9)	< 0.001
Ferritin (ng/mL) ^{&}	1268 (399–6110)	405 (157–1650)	0.001
IL-6 (pg/mL) ^{(13)&}	161 (36.2–2958)	24.5 (1.5–130)	0.001
CRP (mg/dL) ^{&}	11.8 (0.4–29.7)	0.9 (0.3–7.2)	< 0.001
Procalcitonin (ng/mL) ^{&}	0.27 (0.02–87)	0.1 (0.01–39)	0.002

CRP C-reactive protein, IL-6 interleukin-6, LDH lactate dehydrogenase, Lymc lymphocyte count, Neuc neutrophil count, NLCR neutrophil-lymphocyte count ratio, TPE therapeutic plasma exchange, WBC white blood cell. Results were given as percentage, mean ± sd, and median (quartiles or min-max).

[&]Minimum and maximum values. Paired sample and Wilcoxon tests were used for the statistical analysis

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by The Scientific Committee of the Turkish Health Ministry (2020-05-11T22_01_29).

Consent for publication

No applicable

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University School of Medicine, Kerem Aydinlar Kampüsü, Kayışdağı Cad No:32 Ataşehir, 34752 Istanbul, Turkey. ²General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem International Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. ³General Intensive Care Unit, Memorial Ataşehir Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁴Department of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Institute of Health Sciences, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁵Department of Nephrology, Acibadem Mehmet Ali Aydinlar University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁶General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem Atakent Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. ⁷General Intensive Care Unit, Acibadem Bakirkoy Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey.

Received: 21 May 2020 Accepted: 28 July 2020

Published online: 08 August 2020

References

1. Helms J, Tacquard C, Severac F, Leonard-Lorant I, Ohana M, Delabranche X, et al. High risk of thrombosis in patients with severe SARS-CoV-2 infection: a multicenter prospective cohort study. *Intensive Care Med.* 2020;46:1089–98.
2. Zhang L, Yan X, Fan Q, Liu H, Liu X, Liu Z, et al. D-dimer levels on admission to predict in-hospital mortality in patients with Covid-19. *J Thromb Haemost.* 2020;18:1324–9.
3. Diab M, Platzer S, Guenther A, Sponholz C, Scherag A, Lehmann T, Velichkov I, Hagel S, Bauer M, Brunkhorst FM, et al. Assessing efficacy of CytoSorb haemoadsorber for prevention of organ dysfunction in cardiac surgery patients with infective endocarditis: REMOVE-protocol for randomised controlled trial. *BMJ Open.* 2020;10(3):e031912.
4. Walker JB, Nesheim ME. The molecular weights, mass distribution, chain composition, and structure of soluble fibrin degradation products released from a fibrin clot perfused with plasmin. *J Biol Chem.* 1999;274(8):5201–12.
5. Rimmer E, Houston BL, Kumar A, Abou-Setta AM, Friesen C, Marshall JC, Rock G, Turgeon AF, Cook DJ, Houston DS, et al. The efficacy and safety of plasma exchange in patients with sepsis and septic shock: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Care.* 2014;18(6):699.
6. Honore PM, Mugisha A, Kugener L, Redant S, Attou R, Gallerani A, De Bels D. Therapeutic plasma exchange as a routine therapy in septic shock and as an experimental treatment for COVID-19: we are not sure. *Crit Care.* 2020; 24(1):226.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

