LETTER Open Access

Brain natriuretic peptide to predict successful liberation from mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients: the results need to be interpreted with more caution



Chenyu Fan

Dear Editor,

We read the recent article by Deschamps et al. [1], who designed a meta-analysis to conclude that the relative change in brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) during a spontaneous breathing trial (SBT) has potential value as an incremental tool to predict successful liberation from mechanical ventilation (MV) in adults. It is an exciting conclusion because MV is wildly used for critically ill patients during COVID-19. Nevertheless, we believe that the results need to be drawn with more caution.

Firstly, in this manuscript, both BNP and NT-proBNP were referred to as BNP. Although both of them can be responsive to the left ventricular afterload, they have different biological half-lives, molecular sizes, metabolites, renal clearance status, and stability, and the results of BNP and NT-proBNP cannot be compared directly. Moreover, BNP has poor stability in vitro and degrades rapidly when stored at room temperature and 4°C. The stability of NT-proBNP is much better than that of BNP (25°C can be stable for 7 days) [2]. Therefore, NT-proBNP may be a better prediction indicator for hospitals without proper test measures and need to be analyzed separately.

Secondly, there was considerable heterogeneity among the research objects included in the metaanalysis. Most studies reported a mixed population and included patients with heart disease and renal failure, which can significantly affect BNP's baseline values. Besides, age is also an essential factor affecting the level of BNP [3]. When diagnosing acute heart failure, different BNP diagnostic threshold values are adopted for patients of different ages [4]. Consequently, pre-SBT BNP and post-SBT BNP are not good factors to predict liberation from MV, and the relative change in BNP during a SBT (ΔBNP%) only partially reflects the physiological changes before and after liberation because of diverse clinical features in patients. We believe that maybe the results are statistically significant in the mixed medical/surgical ICU population. However, it is less meaningful for each individual.

Finally, there were two other questions to discuss. Figures 4 and 5 showed the upper limit of the 95% confidence interval of ROC greater than 1. Though, the value of ROC is usually a range from 0.5 to 1 [5]. In Fig. 6, the two subheadings should be exchanged, and the first four studies were about post-SBT BNP.

Therefore, we think that the authors' work is fascinating, but the meta-analysis results need to be interpreted with more caution, which was limited by the quality of the included literature.

This comment refers to the article available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-2823-9.

Correspondence: fanchenyu@tongji.edu.cn

Department of Emergency, Tongji Hospital of Tongji University, 389#Xincun Road, Shanghai 200065, China



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Fan Critical Care (2020) 24:403 Page 2 of 2

Authors' response

Jean Deschamps

Dear Editor,

We thank our colleague Dr. Fan for his comments on our study [1]. We appreciate the additional insights provided on the complex use of different assays and heterogeneous populations included in this meta-analysis.

Dr. Fan has astutely noted that the upper limit of the 95% confidence interval of AUROC was greater than 1 in Figs. 4 and 5. These secondary analyses represent pooled estimated AUROC and are limited by the data provided in each individual study. Without the raw data, pooling of the AUROC of each study could only be performed using the provided AUROC and its standard error or 95% confidence interval. As such, this can result in confidence intervals that exceed the possible bound given that the normal distribution used for calculation is unbounded [6]. We felt it was appropriate to include these values since the standard errors simply determine the weight of each study in the pooled analysis and the final pooled analysis does not exceed the bounds.

Dr. Fan is also right regarding the inaccurate headings of Fig. 6. These were indeed inverted. We will provide an erratum regarding this.

Cordially,

Jean Deschamps, MDCM FRCPC

Abbreviations

MV: Mechanical ventilation; BNP: Brain natriuretic peptide; SBT: Spontaneous breathing trial; Δ BNP%: Relative variation of BNP during a SBT

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Author's contributions

Single author. The author read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

None.

Funding

No funding source.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

None declared.

Received: 21 May 2020 Accepted: 17 June 2020 Published online: 09 July 2020

References

- Deschamps J, Andersen SK, Webber J, Featherstone R, Sebastianski M, Vandermeer B, et al. Brain natriuretic peptide to predict successful liberation from mechanical ventilation in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):213.
- Akashi YJ, Springer J, Lainscak M, Anker SD. Atrial natriuretic peptide and related peptides. Clin Chem Laboratory Med. 2007;45(10):1259–67.
- Redfield M, Rodeheffer R, et al. Plasma brain natriuretic peptide concentration: impact of age and gender. J Am College Cardiol. 2002;40(5): 976–82.
- Januzzi JL. NT-proBNP testing for diagnosis and short-term prognosis in acute destabilized heart failure: an international pooled analysis of 1256 patients: the International Collaborative of NT-proBNP Study. Eur Heart J. 2005;27(3):330–7.
- Hanley JA, Mcneil BJ. The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology. 1982;143(1):29–36.
- Rudolfer SM, Zhou X-H, Obuchowski NA, McClish DK. Statistical methods in diagnostic medicine. New York: Wiley; 2002. Xv + 437 pp. \$94.95/£70.50. ISBN 0-471-34772-8. Biometrics. 2003;59(1):203–4.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.