


RESEARCH LETTER

Open Access



Cardiovascular phenotypes in ventilated patients with COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome

Bruno Evrard^{1,2}, Marine Goudelin^{1,2}, Noëlie Montmagnon¹, Anne-Laure Fedou^{1,2}, Thomas Lafon^{2,3,4} and Philippe Vignon^{1,2,4,5,6*} 

Keywords: Acute respiratory distress syndrome, COVID-19, Influenza, Human, Echocardiography, Echocardiography, Doppler

Approximately two-thirds of patients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) for coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) pneumonia present with the acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [1]. COVID-19-associated acute cardiac injury is frequently reported based on troponin and electrocardiographic changes [2], but its impact on cardiac function is yet unknown [3]. Accordingly, we sought to describe cardiovascular phenotypes identified using transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) in ventilated COVID-19 patients with ARDS and to compare them to those of patients with flu-induced ARDS.

All patients with confirmed COVID-19 who were mechanically ventilated for ARDS in our medical-surgical ICU underwent prospectively a TEE assessment during the first 3 days and whenever required by clinical events during ICU stay, as a standard of care. Similarly, all patients ventilated for flu-associated ARDS who underwent a TEE assessment over the last 2 years were retrospectively analyzed for comparison. Cardiovascular phenotypes were identified using previously

reported TEE criteria [4]. Same applied for acute cor pulmonale (ACP) [5]. TEE studies were read by two independent experts who had no access to the cause of ARDS and examination date. Results are expressed as medians and 25th–75th percentiles. Friedman ANOVA was used to compare quantitative parameters over time in COVID-19 patients, while Mann-Whitney *U* test and Fisher's exact test were used for comparison of continuous and categorical variables, respectively, with flu patients. No use of previous value or interpolation rule was used in the presence of missing data.

Eighteen consecutive COVID-19 patients and 23 flu patients (21 A-H1N1) were studied. COVID-19 patients were significantly older (70 [57–75] vs. 58 [49–64] years, $p = 0.006$), less severe (SAPSII 34 [30–38] vs. 43 [32–54], $p = 0.015$; SOFA 4 [2–4] vs. 6 [4–9], $p < 0.001$), required less vasopressor support (2/18 [11%] vs. 10/23 [43%], $p = 0.038$), and had longer time lag between first symptoms and ICU admission, tracheal intubation, and TEE examination when compared to flu patients (Table 1).

* Correspondence: philippe.vignon@unilim.fr

¹Medical-Surgical Intensive Care Unit, Dupuytren Teaching Hospital, 87000 Limoges, France

²Inserm CIC 1435, Dupuytren Teaching Hospital, 87000 Limoges, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Table 1 Characteristics, presentation and outcome of ventilated patients with COVID-19 and flu-related ARDS

	COVID-19 (n = 18)	Flu (n = 23)	p value
Patients' characteristics			
Age, years	70 (57–75)	58 (49–64)	0.006
Male (%)	12 (67)	12 (52)	0.524
BMI, kg/m ²	29 (26–32)	29 (25–34)	0.519
Hypertension (%)	11 (61)	10 (43)	0.350
Diabetes mellitus (%)	4 (22)	3 (13)	0.679
Time from illness onset to ICU admission, days	11 (7–13)	5 (4–10)	0.017
Time from illness onset to intubation, days	12 (8–15)	6 (4–10)	0.002
Time from illness onset to echocardiography, days	14 (9–17)	13 (6–17)	0.001
SAPS II	34 (30–38)	43 (32–54)	0.015
SOFA score	4 (2–4)	6 (4–9)	< 0.001
Clinical presentation and treatment			
ECG changes* (%)	1 (5%)	3 (13%)	0.618
Documented coinfection (%)	3 (17)	9 (39)	0.171
Septic shock (%)	0 (%)	10 (43)	–
Vasopressor support (%)	2 (11)	10 (43)	0.038
Prone position (%)	10 (56)	14 (61)	1.000
Neuromuscular blockers (%)	17 (94%)	12 (52%)	0.005
Biology on admission			
Troponin I (ng/L)	73 (51–94)	53 (37–66)	0.020
Lactate, mmol/L	1.17 (0.89–1.57)	1.51 (1.02–2.54)	0.143
Creatinine, μmol/L	58 (42–87)	88 (59–160)	0.021
Prothombine time, %	87 (78–96)	87 (71–101)	0.979
AST, U/L	55 (27–71)	107 (46–203)	0.020
ALT, U/L	37 (27–65)	45 (27–115)	0.527
CPK, U/L	72 (34–103)	419 (180–2456)	< 0.001
White blood cell count, G/L	7.98 (6.61–11.25)	5.96 (4.02–8.05)	0.003
Lymphocyte count, G/L	0.78 (0.55–1.05)	0.75 (0.47–1.13)	0.770
Eosinophils count, G/L	0.02 (0.02–0.09)	0.01 (0.00–0.01)	0.094
Platelet count, G/L	318 (218–425)	172 (153–225)	< 0.001
Hemoglobin, g/dl	11.2 (10.2–12.3)	13.1 (11.6–14.2)	0.007
Respiratory parameters			
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂	130 (81–217)	70 (62–100)	< 0.001
Arterial pH	7.35 (7.29–7.45)	7.32 (7.23–7.41)	0.121
PaCO ₂ , mmHg	44 (33–51)	47 (36–60)	0.430
RR, breaths/min	24 (22–27)	25 (24–28)	0.139
Tidal volume, mL/kg	5.2 (4.5–6.2)	5.3 (4.0–6.1)	0.885
PEEP, cmH ₂ O	10 (8–12)	10 (8–12)	0.476
Plateau pressure, cmH ₂ O	23 (20–26)	28 (20–28)	0.144
Driving pressure, cmH ₂ O	12 (10–15)	18 (17–18)	0.001
Respiratory-system compliance**, mL/cmH ₂ O	38 (31–45)	23 (22–27)	0.001
Hemodynamic parameters			
Heart rate, bpm	90 (72–109)	105 (69–118)	0.494
Mean arterial blood pressure, mmHg	102 (85–110)	78 (71–94)	< 0.001
CVP, mmHg	9 (7–10)	11 (9–14)	0.058
Cardiovascular phenotypes			

Table 1 Characteristics, presentation and outcome of ventilated patients with COVID-19 and flu-related ARDS (*Continued*)

	COVID-19 (n = 18)	Flu (n = 23)	p value
ACP (%)	3 (17)	11 (48)	0.051
Severe ACP (%)	1 (5)	8 (35)	0.054
LV failure	3*** (17)	14 (61)	0.009
Hypovolemia	2 (11)	1 (4)	0.573
Hyperkinesia	6 (33)	7 (30)	1.00
Normal hemodynamic profile	8 (44)	5 (22)	0.179
Echocardiographic indices			
Cardiac index**** (L/min/m ²)	3.1 (2.5–4.2)	2.5 (2.0–3.0)	0.034
RVEDA/LVEDA	0.55 (0.37–0.60)	0.70 (0.54–0.80)	0.021
RVFAC, %	46 (35–50)	33 (24–39)	0.002
TAPSE, mm	25 (23–29)	18 (16–22)	< 0.001
Tricuspid S', cm/s	16.0 (15.0–20.5)	12.2 (11.0–13.4)	0.005
TR peak velocity, m/s	3.2 (2.9–3.6)	2.9 (2.4–3.2)	0.113
IVC diameter, mm	22 (19–26)	22 (21–24)	0.762
LVEF (%)	52 (44–61)	44 (28–59)	0.265
LVOT VTI, cm	22 (18–25)	18 (13–24)	0.106
Mitral E/E' ratio	7.3 (6.5–10.9)	7.8 (6.1–10.6)	0.730
Outcome			
ICU mortality***** (%)	1 (6)	9 (39)	0.025

Abbreviations: *BMI* body mass index, *SAPSII* Simplified Acute Physiology Score, *SOFA* Sepsis Organ Failure Assessment, *AST* aspartate aminotransferase, *ALT* alanine aminotransferase, *CPK* creatinine phosphokinase, *RR* respiratory rate, *PEEP* positive end-expiratory pressure, *CVP* central venous pressure, *ACP* acute cor pulmonale, *LV* left ventricle, *RVEDA* right ventricular end-diastolic area, *LVEDA* left ventricular end-diastolic area, *RVFAC* right ventricular fractional area change, *TAPSE* tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, *TR* tricuspid regurgitation, *IVC* inferior vena cava, *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction, *LVOT* left ventricular outflow tract, *VTI* velocity-time integral, *ICU* intensive care unit

*One patient had anterior negative T-wave in the COVID-19 group; 2 patients had inferior negative T-wave, and 1 patient had anterior negative T-wave in the flu group [2]

**Calculated as the tidal volume divided by the driving pressure (difference between the inspiratory plateau pressure and positive end-expiratory pressure)

***One patient was diagnosed with a Tako-tsubo syndrome during transesophageal echocardiography examination performed shortly after tracheal intubation, after 6 days of high-flow nasal cannula; full recovery of left ventricular systolic function was documented under mechanical ventilation 10 days later

****Measured using the Doppler method applied at the left ventricular outflow tract

*****As per April 24, with still 6 patients hospitalized in the intensive care unit, 5 of them being invasively ventilated

The prevalence of left ventricular (LV) failure (3/18 [17%] vs. 14/23 [61%], $p = 0.009$), ACP (3/18 [17%] vs. 11/23 [48%], $p = 0.051$), and severe ACP (1/18 [5.5%] vs. 8/23 [35%], $p = 0.054$) was significantly lower in COVID-19 patients. Hypovolemic and hyperkinetic phenotypes were similarly observed in both groups (Table 1). Despite similar tidal volume and PEEP level, COVID-19 patients had significantly higher P/F ratio and respiratory-system compliance, and lower driving pressure than flu patients (Table 1). Pulmonary embolism was identified in none of COVID-19 patients but in one flu patient with ACP. COVID-19 patients with ACP tended to exhibit lower respiratory-system compliance (34, 32, and 30 mL/cmH₂O) when compared to others (40 [31–45] mL/cmH₂O). Hemodynamic profile of COVID-19 patients remained stable during the first 3 days of ICU stay (Table 2).

The higher prevalence of LV failure and lower cardiac index in patients with flu-related ARDS is presumably related to septic cardiomyopathy since they sustained associated septic shock more frequently than COVID-19 patients. Depressed indices of RV systolic function and elevated central venous pressure reflecting systemic venous congestion reflect the higher prevalence of RV failure in flu ARDS patients (Table 1). This presumably results from the lower P/F, higher driving pressure, and lower respiratory-system compliance observed in this group. COVID-19 patients with ACP tended to have lower respiratory-system compliance than their counterparts, presumably due to distinct ARDS phenotypes [6]. This pilot study is limited by its small sample size and the retrospective comparison with historical flu-related ARDS patients.

This first study assessing hemodynamically ventilated COVID-19 patients with TEE shows a lower

Table 2 Evolution of hemodynamic profile during daily transesophageal echocardiography assessments of COVID-19 patients ventilated for ARDS

	Day 1 (n = 18)	Day 2 (n = 10)	Day 3 (n = 12)	p value
Respiratory parameters				
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂	130 (81–217)	128 (100–210)	137 (98–187)	0.066
PaCO ₂ , mmHg	44 (33–51)	50 (32–56)	47 (37–57)	0.964
RR, breaths/min	24 (22–27)	27 (20–28)	24 (24–30)	0.651
PEEP, cmH ₂ O	10 (8–12)	10 (8–13)	10 (10–12)	0.444
Plateau pressure, cmH ₂ O	23 (20–26)	22 (18–27)	24 (21–27)	0.127
Driving pressure, cmH ₂ O	12 (10–15)	11 (9–12)	13 (11–17)	0.368
Tidal volume, mL/kg	5.2 (4.5–6.2)	5.3 (4.6–6.6)	5.5 (4.3–6.7)	0.210
Respiratory-system compliance*, mL/cmH ₂ O	38 (31–45)	33 (33–53)	37 (28–45)	0.692
Hemodynamic parameters				
Heart rate, bpm	90 (72–109)	93 (78–107)	98 (89–104)	0.368
CVP, mmHg	9 (7–10)	7 (6–10)	9 (5–13)	0.678
Mean blood pressure, mmHg	102 (85–110)	105 (87–110)	95 (84–109)	0.102
Lactate, mmol/L	1.17 (0.89–1.57)	1.85 (1.24–3.01)	1.62 (1.49–1.95)	0.264
Echocardiography indices				
Cardiac index (L/min/m ²)**	3.1 (2.5–4.2)	2.8 (2.6–3.9)	4.1 (3.2–4.8)	0.115
RVEDA/LVEDA	0.55 (0.37–0.60)	0.53 (0.35–0.66)	0.55 (0.48–0.58)	0.549
RVFAC, %	46 (35–50)	40 (33–46)	40 (32–58)	0.821
TAPSE, mm	25 (23–29)	24 (20–28)	25 (23–28)	0.368
Tricuspid S', cm/s	16.0 (15.0–20.5)	16.1 (14.0–18.1)	16.8 (14.9–19.9)	0.867
TR peak velocity, m/s	3.2 (2.9–3.6)	3.0 (2.7–3.7)	3.6 (2.4–3.9)	0.060
IVC diameter, mm	22 (19–26)	24 (14–30)	22 (17–24)	1.000
LVEF, %	52 (44–61)	46 (41–64)	55 (49–60)	0.549

Abbreviations: *RR* respiratory rate, *PEEP* positive end-expiratory pressure, *CVP* central venous pressure, *RVEDA* right ventricular end-diastolic area, *LVEDA* left ventricular end-diastolic area, *RVFAC* right ventricular fractional area change, *TAPSE* tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, *TR* tricuspid regurgitation, *IVC* inferior vena cava, *LVEF* left ventricular ejection fraction

*Calculated as the tidal volume divided by the driving pressure (difference between the inspiratory plateau pressure and positive end-expiratory pressure)

**Measured using the Doppler method applied at the left ventricular outflow tract

prevalence of LV and RV failure than in flu-related ARDS patients. Whether herein reported cardiovascular phenotypes are influenced by the type of COVID-19 ARDS remains to be determined [6]. These preliminary data warrant confirmation in large-scale multicenter cohorts.

Abbreviations

ACP: Acute cor pulmonale; ARDS: Acute respiratory distress syndrome; COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; ICU: Intensive care unit; LV: Left ventricle; RV: Right ventricle; SAPS II: Simplified acute physiology score II; SOFA: Sepsis-related organ failure assessment

Acknowledgements

N/A

Authors' contributions

BE, MG, ALF, and PV included patients, analyzed the data, and drafted the manuscript. NM and TL collected and analyzed the data and reviewed the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

None

Availability of data and materials

N/A

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Local Ethical Committee approval #368-2020-24, which waived the need for informed consent. All patients agreed on the use of anonymized information as per the French law on the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

Consent for publication

N/A

Competing interests

None

Author details

¹Medical-Surgical Intensive Care Unit, Dupuytren Teaching Hospital, 87000 Limoges, France. ²Inserm CIC 1435, Dupuytren Teaching Hospital, 87000 Limoges, France. ³Emergency Department, Dupuytren Teaching Hospital, 87000 Limoges, France. ⁴Faculty of Medicine, University of Limoges, 87000 Limoges, France. ⁵Inserm UMR 1092, Dupuytren Teaching Hospital, 87000 Limoges, France. ⁶Réanimation Polyvalente, CHU Dupuytren, 2 Avenue Martin Luther king, 87042 Limoges, France.

Received: 28 April 2020 Accepted: 7 May 2020

Published online: 18 May 2020

References

1. Yang X, Yu Y, Xu J, Shu H, Xia J, Liu H, Wu Y, Zhang L, Yu Z, Fang M, Yu T, Wang Y, Pan S, Zou X, Yuan S, Shang Y. Clinical course and outcomes of critically ill patients with SARS-CoV-2 pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a single-centered, retrospective, observational study. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2020. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(20\)30079-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30079-5).
2. Hendren NS, Drazner MH, Bozkurt B, Cooper LT. Description of the acute COVID-19 cardiovascular syndrome. *Circulation*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.120.047349>.
3. Li J-W, Han T-W, Woodward M, Anderson CS, Zhou H, Chen Y-D, Neal B. The impact of 2019 novel coronavirus on heart injury: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2020.04.008>.
4. Geri G, Vignon P, Aubry A, Fedou AL, Charron C, Silva S, Repessé X, Vieillard-Baron A. Cardiovascular clusters in septic shock combining clinical and echocardiographic parameters: a post hoc analysis. *Intensive Care Med*. 2019;45(5):657–67. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05596-z>.
5. Mekontso Dessap A, Boissier F, Charron C, Bégot E, Repessé X, Legras A, Brun-Buisson C, Vignon P, Vieillard-Baron A. Acute cor pulmonale during protective ventilation for acute respiratory distress syndrome: prevalence, predictors, and clinical impact. *Intensive Care Med*. 2016;42(5):862–70. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-015-4141-2>.
6. Gattinoni L, Chiumello D, Rossi S. COVID-19 pneumonia: ARDS or not? *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):154. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-02880-z>.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

