RESEARCH LETTER

Open Access

Crucial role of temporary airborne infection isolation rooms in an intensive care unit: containing the COVID-19 outbreak in South Korea



Shin Yup Lee^{1,2}, Sun Ha Choi^{1,2}, Ji Eun Park^{1,2}, Soyoon Hwang^{2,3,4} and Ki Tae Kwon^{2,3,4*}

From 20 February through 9 March 2020, South Korea reported the highest number of patients confirmed with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outside China, mainly in the Daegu city area: this was a disease cluster related to the practices of the Shincheonjii religious group [1-3]. In Daegu city, the number of patients with COVID-19 increased rapidly and saturated the healthcare system; as a result, some critically ill patients were unable to obtain hospital care and as a result died of this disease. Care for critically ill COVID-19 patients requires intensive care units (ICUs) that are equipped with airborne infection isolation rooms (AIIRs) [4, 5]. To cope with this situation, we assembled temporary AIIRs with mobile negative-air machines in our ICU, as was done previously in Korea during the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome (MERS) outbreak [6]. Here, we share our experiences with the assembly of temporary AIIRs in the ICU of our single 635-bed tertiary care, academic hospital and discuss the critical role played by these units toward controlling this explosive outbreak. We hope that our findings will serve as a reference for areas where the COVID-19 outbreak remains ongoing.

Before the COVID-19 outbreak, Daegu had only three AIIRs with anteroom in an ICU of one national university hospital; these were built with government support after the 2015 MERS outbreak. The tertiary hospitals in Daegu facilitated the isolation and treatment severely ill

³Division of Infectious Diseases, Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, 807 Hokuk-ro, Buk-gu, Daegu 41404, Korea

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



patients via temporarily remodeling of existing ICU facilities. Prior to the outbreak, our hospital operated two separate ICU facilities; each was equipped with two AIIRs without anterooms. This was not sufficient for isolation of COVID-19 patients nor did this provide appropriate protection for healthcare workers (HCWs). We decided to remodel one of the two ICUs to facilitate isolation of critically ill COVID-19 patients. Schematic view of the renovated ICU was illustrated in Fig. 1. Critically ill COVID-19 patients were transported to the temporary negative pressure isolation ICU in negative pressure carts that utilized an exclusive path and elevator maintained for this purpose by staff members wearing appropriate personal protective equipment. The COVID-19 team included 5 physicians and 40 nurses. All HCWs in our newly remodeled ICU were screened for COVID-19 via the reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction test after the first 2 weeks on duty; no tests were reported as positive.

Approximately 60% of the COVID-19 patients at our hospital had severe pneumonia (respiratory rate > 30 breaths/min, severe respiratory distress, or $\text{SpO}_2 \leq 93\%$ on room air). Before the AIIRs in the ICU opened in our hospital, we had no choice but to treat these patients in preexisting AIIRs located with the general hospital ward. Upon completion of this renovation, we were able to hospitalize all critically ill COVID-19 patients and to provide timely and appropriate management and support. Our newly renovated temporary AIIRs have been in operation for 4 weeks. During this time, we treated seven patients: six patients have required mechanical ventilation, two patients were treated with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, and continuous renal

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, wish http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: ktkwon@knu.ac.kr

²Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea



Fig. 1 Schematic view of the temporary negative pressure isolation intensive care unit. We divided the space to include a common anteroom, a common negative pressure isolation zone to accommodate three beds, two preexisting airborne infection isolation rooms (AIIRs), and a nursing station. Atmospheric air was supplied to the ICU including AIIRs via a mechanism that maintained constant air volume through common inlet duct systems. The air returned from ICU through common outlet duct systems; the AIIRs utilized independent exhaust systems that were controlled by a variable air volume system to maintain a set negative pressure. To generate negative pressure in the anteroom, we added temporary duct systems that were connected to preexisting independent exhaust systems. An air volume control damper was used to maintain a negative pressure gradient between preexisting AIIRs and the anteroom (– 5.0 Pa) at a level below the standard negative pressure (– 2.5 Pa) recommended for these facilities. The common negative pressure isolation zone was equipped with five mobile negative-air machines that generated negative pressure (– 5.0 Pa) compared to the anteroom. Airflow in isolation rooms reached 15–20 air exchanges per hour. The negative pressure in the ICU was tightly monitored and maintained. Patients were monitored via an observation window, closed-circuit television, and central monitoring systems. The entire renovation was completed within 5 days



replacement therapy has been provided to one patient. We believe that the urgently renovated ICU played an important role in preventing the surge of mortality despite the rapid increasing number of patients with severe pneumonia (Fig. 2).

In conclusion, our experience suggests that renovation of our ICUs to include temporary AIIRs was a critical and highly effective measure that permitted us to react appropriately to the explosive outbreak of COVID-19 and to provide optimal care for severely ill patients. We hope that our experience will help to prepare physicians and hospitals worldwide for the unprecedented crisis of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Abbreviations

COVID-19: Coronavirus disease 2019; ICU: Intensive care unit; AllRs: Airborne infection isolation rooms; MERS: Middle East Respiratory Syndrome; HCWs: Healthcare workers

Acknowledgements

We appreciate the devoted administrative support of Jin Ho Sohn, the president of Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, and Gyu-Seog Choi, the director of Clinical Services. We also appreciate the contributions and dedication of all members of the hospital facility engineering team and the ICU team.

Authors' contributions

Lee SY and Kwon KT are involved in the process of ICU remodeling. Lee SY and Kwon KT conceptualized this research and drafted the manuscript. Choi SH, Park JE, and H S analyzed the data, reviewed and edited the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Authors' information

Shin Yup Lee is the director of Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine in Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, Daegu, Korea. Ki Tae Kwon is the director of Department of Infection Control in Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, Daegu, Korea.

Funding

"Not applicable"

Availability of data and materials

The data are available from the corresponding author.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was exempt from the review by Institutional Review Board of the Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital (KNUCH 2020-04-001).

Consent for publication

"Not applicable"

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, Daegu, Korea. ²Department of Internal Medicine, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu, Korea. ³Division of Infectious Diseases, Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, 807 Hokuk-ro, Buk-gu, Daegu 41404, Korea. ⁴Department of Infection Control, Kyungpook National University Chilgok Hospital, Daegu, Korea.

Received: 25 April 2020 Accepted: 1 May 2020 Published online: 18 May 2020

References

- World Health Organization (WHO): Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) situation report-49. 2020.
- Word Health Organization (WHO): Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) situation report-31. 2020.
- 3. Korean Society of Infectious Diseases, Korean Society of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Korean Society of Epidemiology, Korean Society for Antimicrobial Therapy, Korean Society for Healthcare-associated Infection Control and Prevention, and Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Report on the epidemiological features of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in the Republic of Korea from January 19 to March 2, 2020. J Korean Med Sci. 2020;35(10):e112.
- Liu Y, Li J, Feng Y. Critical care response to a hospital outbreak of the 2019nCoV infection in Shenzhen, China. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):56.
- Liew MF, Siow WT, MacLaren G, See KC. Preparing for COVID-19: early experience from an intensive care unit in Singapore. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):83.
- Huh K, Shin HS, Peck KR. Emergent strategies for the next phase of COVID-19. Infect Chemother. 2020;52(1):e6.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

