LETTER Open Access

Endocan is a reliable biomarker during continuous renal replacement therapy



Maxence Hureau^{1,2,3,4}*, Alexandre Gaudet^{1,2,3,4}, Nathalie De Freitas Caires^{1,2,3,5}, Erika Parmentier^{1,2,3,4}, Julien Poissy⁴, Thibault Duburcq⁴, Philippe Lassalle^{1,2,3,6} and Daniel Mathieu^{1,2,3,4}

This comment refers to the article available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2469-7.

Dear Editor,

We read with attention the letter of Honoré et al. questioning the performance in diagnosis and prognosis of plasma endocan concentration in septic patients admitted in intensive care units with sepsis-associated acute kidney injury (SA-AKI) who have renal replacement therapy (RRT) [1]. Honoré et al. state that classical techniques with continuous RRT (CRRT) including high dose of separately filtration or dialysis as mixed techniques with hemofiltration, hemodialysis, and adsorptive treatment with highly adsorptive membranes (HAM) should decrease plasma concentration of endocan due to its 20-kDa protein core molecular weight [1].

In a strict structural point of view, endocan is circulating as a proteoglycan with an apparent molecular weight of 50 kDa by Western blot, and an average molecular mass of 400 kDa determined by gel filtration (GF), a direct consequence of its unique and linear dermatan sulfate chain of 15–40 kDa [2]. Thus, it seems unlikely that conventional CRRT having a 35-kDa membrane cut-off can remove endocan. However, we agree with Honoré et al. that new HAM could adsorb endocan, but until now, there is no data supporting this idea. Moreover, HAM is limited to highly selected patients with no clear benefit on mortality and should not affect the performance of endocan in current practice [3].

Cleaved endocan, called p14, is the major circulating catabolite of endocan generated by the neutrophil-derived cathepsin G. It corresponds to the 14-kDa N-terminal part of the protein core and deletion of the 6-kDa C-terminus bearing the glycanic chain. This catabolite contains 18 cysteine residues, conferring a highly rigid and globular structure [4]. Furthermore, a recent clinical investigation

shows that plasmatic endocan cleavage ratio in septic patients increases with the severity state of SA-AKI [5]. This suggests that unlike endocan, p14 could be eliminated by the kidney and by CRRT.

Basically, endocan cannot be removed by CRRT, but doubt remains on HAM effect with endocan. By contrast, p14 could be eliminated through glomerular filtration in patients with preserved renal function, thus suggesting that it should be measured in urine rather than in blood. In patient with renal failure, p14 could be removed by CRRT and we do not know yet the reliability of its dosage in blood neither in urine. Therefore, endocan performances in diagnosis and prognosis should not be affected by CRRT. Further explorations are needed to confirm these hypotheses.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

MH and PL drafted the manuscript. All authors read, revised, and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The Endomark H1 and DIYEK C1 ELISA kits used in this study were provided by Lunginnov. P. L. is the cofounder of Lunginnov. N. D. is a former member of Lunginnov. The other authors declare they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹University Lille, U1019 – UMR 8204 – CIIL – Center for Infection and Immunity of Lille, F-59000 Lille, France. ²CNRS, UMR 8204, F-59000 Lille, France. ³INSERM, U1019, F-59000 Lille, France. ⁴CHU Lille, Pôle de Réanimation, Hôpital Roger Salengro, F-59000 Lille, France. ⁵Lunginnov, 1 rue

¹University Lille, U1019 – UMR 8204 – CIIL – Center for Infection and Immunity of Lille, F-59000 Lille, France ²CNRS, UMR 8204, F-59000 Lille, France Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*} Correspondence: maxence.hureau@gmail.com

Hureau et al. Critical Care (2019) 23:296 Page 2 of 2

du Pr Calmette, F-59000 Lille, France. 6 Institut Pasteur de Lille, F-59000 Lille, France.

Received: 8 August 2019 Accepted: 27 August 2019 Published online: 03 September 2019

References

- Honore PM, De Bels D, Attou R, Redant S, Gallerani A, Kashani K. Endocan removal during continuous renal replacement therapy: does it affect the reliability of this biomarker? Crit Care Lond Engl. 2019;23(1):184.
- Sarrazin S, Lyon M, Deakin JA, Guerrini M, Lassalle P, Delehedde M, et al. Characterization and binding activity of the chondroitin/dermatan sulfate chain from Endocan, a soluble endothelial proteoglycan. Glycobiology. 2010;20(11):1380–8.
- 3. Clark WR, Gao D, Lorenzin A, Ronco C. Membranes and sorbents. Contrib Nephrol. 2018;194:70–9.
- De Freitas Caires N, Legendre B, Parmentier E, Scherpereel A, Tsicopoulos A, Mathieu D, et al. Identification of a 14 kDa endocan fragment generated by cathepsin G, a novel circulating biomarker in patients with sepsis. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2013;78-79:45–51.
- Gaudet A, Parmentier E, De Freitas Caires N, Portier L, Dubucquoi S, Poissy J, et al. Impact of acute renal failure on plasmatic levels of cleaved endocan. Crit Care. 2019;23 [cité 28 mai 2019]. Disponible sur: https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6381621/.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.