PublisherInfo				
PublisherName		BioMed Central		
PublisherLocation		London		
PublisherImprintName	\Box	BioMed Central		

Caution-closed suction systems!

ArticleInfo		
ArticleID	\Box	4298
ArticleDOI	:	10.1186/ccf-2001-73200
ArticleCitationID	\Box	73200
ArticleSequenceNumber	\Box	9
ArticleCategory		Paper Report
ArticleFirstPage		1
ArticleLastPage	:	3
ArticleHistory	:	RegistrationDate : 2001–12–3 Received : 2001–4–6 OnlineDate : 2001–12–3
ArticleCopyright	\vdots	Biomed Central Ltd2001
ArticleGrants	:	
ArticleContext	:	1305455

Aff1 Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol

Keywords

Acute respiratory distress syndrome, intrinsic PEEP

Context

Closed suction systems have become popular in the airway toilet of critically ill patients who are intubated because they may reduce cross infection and prevent lung collapse and desaturation. The aim of this study was to examine their effect on ventilation using a plastic model of the lungs and trachea.

Significant findings

Open suctioning through a 7 mm endotracheal tube (ETT) resulted in alveolar pressures of -7 cm H₂O with a 12 Fr catheter. This fell to -24 cm H₂O if a 14 Fr catheter was used. During volume controlled modes, intrinsic positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) developed at levels up to 22 cm H₂O greater than externally applied PEEP during suction through a 7 mm tracheal tube with a 14 Fr catheter. Peak inspiratory pressures rose to as high as 50 cm H₂O.

Pressure controlled ventilation by contrast was much safer. Intrinsic PEEP levels of just 5 cm H₂O greater than PEEP were generated, associated with a reduction in alveolar ventilation. Continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) appeared best with minimal changes in alveolar pressure during closed system suctioning.

Comments

A vital aspect of protective lung ventilation as advocated in the ARDSnet study is alveolar recruitment and the maintenance of PEEP. Contrary to perceived wisdom closed suction systems may actually cause more marked swings in alveolar pressures, particularly during volume controlled modes of ventilation. Further comparisons between closed and open suction catheter systems are therefore

necessary to determine the overall effect of closed suction systems with a variety of ventilatory modes from alternative ventilators.

Methods

Lung model with rigid plastic trachea, ventilation with a Servo, alveolar and tracheal pressures were measured

Additional information

References

1. Stenqvist O, Lindgren S, Karason S, Sondergaard S, Lundin S: Warning! Suctioning. A lung model evaluation of closed suctioning systems. Acta Anaesthiol Scand. 2001, 45: 167-172.