PublisherInfo				
PublisherName		BioMed Central		
PublisherLocation		London		
PublisherImprintName	$\Box$	BioMed Central		

# Differing the gas density for NIPSV

ArticleInfo		
ArticleID		4185
ArticleDOI	:	10.1186/ccf-2000-4031
ArticleCitationID	$\Box$	4031
ArticleSequenceNumber	$\Box$	44
ArticleCategory	$\Box$	Paper Report
ArticleFirstPage	$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \end{bmatrix}$	1
ArticleLastPage		3
ArticleHistory	:	RegistrationDate : 2000–1–14 OnlineDate : 2000–1–14
ArticleCopyright	:	Current Science Ltd2000
ArticleGrants	$\vdots$	
ArticleContext	$\Box$	1305422

#### Keywords

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), dyspnea, heliox, helium, mechanical ventilation, noninvasive ventilation, pressure support ventilation

### Comments

The crossover design of this study was used well to answer the question setby the authors. One of the authors of the study, who was responsible forsetting up the NIPSV, had an admirable success rate for establishing thepatients on this mode of ventilation. Such success may suggest that thistechnique can be clinically useful but may require a learning curve for thephysicians involved in establishing the individual patient settings for NIPSV.

### Introduction

The rate of intubation in patients with pre-existing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and having acute respiratory failure hasbeen reduced by the use of noninvasive pressure support ventilation (NIPSV)via a facemask. This reduction in intubation rate has been associated with adecrease in mortality and morbidity. However, many patients requireintubation because they develop respiratory muscle fatigue. A helium: oxygenmix may be useful as it reduces density of inspired gas and so the work ofbreathing. It may also be beneficial because a helium: oxygen mix would increase the likelihood of laminar flow and so reduce the driving pressure needed for given flow.

### Aims

To assess whether, in decompensated COPD, NIPSV using 70:30 helium: oxygen instead of 70:30 air: oxygen reduces dyspnea and improves ventilatory variables, gas exchange and hemodynamic tolerance.

## Methods

The study was a prospective, randomized, crossover trial involving 19 medical intensive care patients who had severe COPD. These patients wereadmitted for NIPSV and stabilized with air: oxygen NIPSV for less than 24h after intensive care unit (ICU) admission. This was to accustom the patients to NIPSV, so that when the trial period began there was no learning curve. The study protocolfollows:1, baseline measurements 2 h after last use of NIPSV;2, 45 min of NIPSV with air: oxygen or helium: oxygen;3, no ventilation for 45 min;4, 45 min of NIPSV with air: oxygen or helium: oxygen. The same ventilators were used for all patients, and the settings determined before the study period by the physician in charge of the patients. The samephysician performed all the ventilatory trials. Any patient requiring morethan 30% inspired oxygen was excluded from the study.

#### Results

Of the original 20 patients, one patient was excluded due to lackof technical information. No patient required intubation because of failureof stabilization. Most patients had severe obstructive airways disease, witha forced expiratory volume of less than 1 litre.NIPSV decreased respiratory rate and increased tidal and minute volumes. There was no difference in these variables when the helium: oxygen mix wascompared with the air: oxygen mix. Peak inspiratory flow was higher with helium: oxygen.PaO2 was increased with NIPSV, while gas mixture made no further difference.PaCO2 decreased during NIPSV and was lower with helium: oxygen than withair: oxygen.Both gas mixtures decreased dyspnea, as measured by the Borg scale, but the decrease was greater with helium: oxygen. All but two patients showed improvement indyspnea score with helium: oxygen mix. Heart rate was also reduced withNIPSV by both gas mixtures. Mean arterial pressure was reduced with air: oxygen but not helium: oxygen mix. NIPSV appeared to be well tolerated, asno ventilatory protocol had to be discontinued.

# Discussion

In decompensated COPD patients, NIPSV with helium: oxygenimproved dyspnea and PaCO<sub>2</sub> more than air: oxygen mix. The authors felt thatthe use of helium: oxygen with NIPSV may be beneficial to COPD patients andmay reduce the need for intubation.

#### References

1. Jolliet P, Tassaux D, Thouret JM, Chevrolet JC: Beneficial effects of helium: oxygen versus air: oxygen noninvasive pressure support in patients with decompensated chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Crit Care Med. 1999, 27: 2422-2429.

This PDF file was created after publication.