PublisherInfo				
PublisherName		BioMed Central		
PublisherLocation		London		
PublisherImprintName	\Box	BioMed Central		

Noninvasive ventilation reduces nosocomial infections

ArticleInfo			
ArticleID	\Box	4143	
ArticleDOI	:	10.1186/ccf-1999-841	
ArticleCitationID		841	
ArticleSequenceNumber		80	
ArticleCategory		Paper Report	
ArticleFirstPage	\Box	1	
ArticleLastPage	:	3	
ArticleHistory	:	RegistrationDate : 1999–7–9 OnlineDate : 1999–7–9	
ArticleCopyright	:	Current Science Ltd1999	
ArticleGrants	\Box		
ArticleContext	:	130541111	

Keywords

Intensive care unit, noninvasive ventilation, nosocomial infection, prospective survey, ventilator associated pneumonia

Comments

This study is interesting and shows that NPPV is associated with less infection risk than ETI, however, the huge disparity between the groups and the fact that NPPV could only be used for a limited number of cases makes straight comparison between the groups impossible. Furthermore, only 24 and 44% of the NPPV group had central venous and urinary catheters respectively, this suggests this series of patients differ somewhat from UK ICU patients.

Introduction

When noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NPPV) is used in the management of acute respiratory failure, complications are reduced and outcome improved when compared to endotracheal intubation (ETI). The noninvasive nature of NPPV could, therefore, be expected to lead to a decrease in nosocomial infection (NI) and improved outcome.

Aims

A prospective clinical and epidemiologic study to compare the methods of NPPV and ETI with the incidence of NI and ventilator acquired pneumonia (VAP).

Methods

Sequential patients requiring assisted ventilation, admitted to a French intensive care unit (ICU) over a 28 month period were enrolled in the study. NI rates were defined by standard guidelines. Indications

for NPPV were chronic obstructive or restrictive pulmonary disease, acute pulmonary oedema or pneumonia. Other patients and those with any neurological compromise received tracheal intubation and NPPV. NPPV was provided with inspiratory pressure support to provide a PaO₂ of > 8.5 KPa and SpO₂ of > 90%. Pressure support of 12-16 cmH₂0 with positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) of up to 5 cmH₂O was provided. ETI was used if any of the NPPV patients became dyspnoeic or neurologically obtunded. NIs were diagnosed on bacterial colony forming unit counts on catheters (PA, CVP lines, urinary), clinical diagnosis was used in the absence of a urinary catheter. VAP was diagnosed by standard criteria. Data collected included demographic data, simplified acute physiologic score (SAPS) II, length of ventilation and intubation. For patients in group NPPV-ETI NIs were deemed to be appearing in the ETI group if occurring 2 days after intubation and in the NPPV group if occurring within 2 days.

Results

A total of 129 patients were treated with NPPV and 25 of these subsequently needed ETI; 607 patients were intubated. There was a significant difference between the SAPS II score, age, diagnosis and length of hospitalisation between the groups. There were 245 episodes of NI (of note the mortality in those with NI was 24% and without 22%), VAP was far more common after ETI. Of the patients ventilated noninvasively, NI was associated with significantly lengthened ICU stay.

Discussion

NPPV reduced the incidence of NI and VAP. Overall 138 of 154 patients with indications for NPPV were successfully treated and survived. Other cofactors for NI may have played a role - the risk is increased in trauma and in females.

References

1. Nourdine K, Combes P, Carton M-J, Beuret P, Cannamela A, Ducreux J-C: Does noninvasive ventilation reduce the ICU nosocomial infection risk? A prospective clinical survey. Intensive Care Med. 1999, 25: 567-573.