

CORRECTION

Correction: Extravascular lung water index measurement in critically ill children does not correlate with a chest x-ray score of pulmonary edema

Joris Lemson^{*1}, Lya E van Die², Anique EA Hemelaar¹ and Johannes G van der Hoeven¹

See related research by Lemson *et al.*, <http://ccforum.com/content/14/3/R105>

After publication of our article [1], we noticed errors in Tables 2 and 3. Under the 'Weight' column in Table 2, decimal points should have been included in this data.

For Table 3, the interquartile value for EVLWI should read 13 to 21 instead of 13 to 121.

The descriptions of the tables in the article are unchanged, and the corrected tables are provided here (Table 2 overleaf).

Author details

¹Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen. PO box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

²Department of radiology, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen. PO box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands.

Published: 26 July 2010

References

1. Lemson J, van Die LE, Hemelaar AEA, van der Hoeven JG: **Extravascular lung water index measurement in critically ill children does not correlate with a chest x-ray score of pulmonary edema.** *Critical Care* 2010, **14**:R105.

doi:10.1186/cc9195

Cite this article as: Lemson J, *et al.*: Correction: Extravascular lung water index measurement in critically ill children does not correlate with a chest x-ray score of pulmonary edema. *Critical Care* 2010, **14**:430.

Table 3. Values of several measurements

Variable	Value
MAP (mmHg)	65 (57 to 76)
Heart rate (bpm)	139 (118 to 153)
Cardiac index (l/min/m ²)	4.00 (3.17 to 5.19)
GEDVI (ml/m ²)	432 (369 to 528)
EVLWI (ml/kg)	16 (13 to 21)
Chest x-ray score	133 (90 to 204)
A-a gradient (mmHg)	119 (74 to 168)
PaO ₂ / FiO ₂ (mmHg)	283 (226 to 374)
PEEP (cmH ₂ O)	6 (5 to 8)

Median (interquartile range).

*Correspondence: j.lemson@ic.umcn.nl

¹Department of Intensive Care Medicine, Radboud University Nijmegen Medical Centre, Nijmegen. PO box 9101, 6500 HB Nijmegen, The Netherlands

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Table 2. Patient characteristics per patient

Patient number	Gender	Age (months)	Weight (kg)	Diagnosis	Length of PICU stay (days)	Ventilator days	Probability of death PRISM II %	Probability of death PIM %	Outcome
1	F	24	14.0	Near Drowning	19	17	85	60	survived
2	F	83	18.0	Reconstruction of pulmonary artery	18	16	7	6	survived
3	F	23	14.0	Abdominal surgery	5	3	78	17	survived
4	F	9	8.5	RSV	16	15	4	11	survived
5	F	31	16.0	Meningococcal disease	6	5	22	19	survived
6	F	2	4.8	Arterial switch operation	13	10	39	3	survived
7	F	5	7.1	Tetralogy of Fallot repair	16	14	18	3	survived
8	F	8	6.5	Reconstruction of pulmonary artery	2	1	2	1	survived
9	M	4	4.4	VSD repair	13	5	26	1	survived
10	M	36	15.0	Meningococcal disease	5	4	8	7	survived
11	M	6	9.0	Meningococcal disease	5	4	9	8	survived
12	F	14	10.0	Meningococcal disease	4	3	28	9	survived
13	M	7	9.0	Inborn error of metabolism	12	5	88	3	survived
14	F	4	5.4	Post cardiac surgery	3	20	29	5	survived
15	M	17	12.0	Meningococcal disease	13	9	37	53	survived
16	M	24	13.0	Cardiac shock	20	9	31	28	survived
17	M	8	9.0	Pneumonia	20	15	5	4	survived
18	F	8	8.4	Status epilepticus	8	4	2	7	survived
19	F	28	10.0	Post CPR	4	3	70	46	survived
20	M	27	16.0	Meningococcal disease	6	5	61	63	survived
21	F	7	8.0	Shock/coma	12	7	54	24	survived
22	M	43	16.0	Septic shock	4	4	86	63	died
23	F	33	12.0	Septic shock	5	3	3	23	survived
24	M	32	15.2	Post CPR	18	16	40	2	died

F, female; M, male.