RESEARCH

Open Access

Effect of spontaneous breathing on ventilator-induced lung injury in mechanically ventilated healthy rabbits: a randomized, controlled, experimental study

Jingen Xia, Bing Sun, Hangyong He, Heng Zhang, Chunting Wang and Qingyuan Zhan*

Abstract

Introduction: Ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI), one of the most serious complications of mechanical ventilation (MV), can impact patients' clinical prognoses. Compared to control ventilation, preserving spontaneous breathing can improve many physiological features in ventilated patients, such as gas distribution, cardiac performance, and ventilation-perfusion matching. However, the effect of spontaneous breathing on VILI is unknown. The goal of this study was to compare the effects of spontaneous breathing and control ventilation on lung injury in mechanically-ventilated healthy rabbits.

Methods: Sixteen healthy New Zealand white rabbits were randomly placed into a spontaneous breathing group (SB Group) and a control ventilation group (CV Group). Both groups were ventilated for eight hours using biphasic positive airway pressure (BIPAP) with similar ventilator parameters: inspiration pressure (P_i) resulting in a tidal volume (VT) of 10 to 15 ml/kg, inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio of 1:1, positive end-expiration pressure (PEEP) of 2 cmH₂O, and FiO₂ of 0.5. Inflammatory markers in blood serum, lung homogenates and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), total protein levels in BALF, mRNA expressions of selected cytokines in lung tissue, and lung injury histopathology scores were determined.

Results: Animals remained hemodynamically stable throughout the entire experiment. After eight hours of MV, compared to the CV Group, the SB Group had lower PaCO₂ values and ratios of dead space to tidal volume, and higher lung compliance. The levels of cytokines in blood serum and BALF in both groups were similar, but spontaneous breathing led to significantly lower cytokine mRNA expressions in lung tissues and lower lung injury histological scores.

Conclusions: Preserving spontaneous breathing can not only improve ventilatory function, but can also attenuate selected markers of VILI in the mechanically-ventilated healthy lung.

Introduction

Ventilator-induced lung injury (VILI) is one of the most serious complications of mechanical ventilation (MV). The main mechanisms involved are over-distension of alveoli at high lung volume (volutrauma) and cyclic opening and closing of peripheral airways at low lung volume (atelectotrauma) [1]. VILI can result in serious

lung parenchymal insults, such as increased permeability of the alveolar-capillary barrier, pulmonary edema, atelectasis, and parenchymal damage [2]. It may also result in the development of inflammatory responses in the local pulmonary and systemic circulations (biotrauma) [3,4], which can then affect the functions of other organs [5,6]. It is commonly accepted that increased production of cytokines, particularly interleukin (IL)-6, IL-1 β , IL-10, tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α , and macrophage inflammatory protein (MIP)-2, plays a key role in initiating or perpetuating lung injury [3-7].

* Correspondence: dr.zhanqy@gmail.com

Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Beijing Institute of Respiratory Medicine, Beijing Chao-Yang Hospital, Capital Medical University, 8 Gongren Tiyuchang South Road, Beijing, 100020, PR China

Many clinical studies show that a “lung protective ventilation strategy”, which decreases the induction of these cytokines, can remarkably improve patients’ clinical outcomes [8-10].

Preserving spontaneous breathing is associated with fewer complications than control ventilation during positive pressure respiratory support, such as by increasing the gas distribution of dependent lung regions [11-14], improving cardiac performance [14-16], promoting ventilation-perfusion matching [14,15,17], preventing diaphragm disuse atrophy [18,19], and decreasing the use of sedative and analgesic drugs [16]. However, the effect of spontaneous breathing on VILI is unknown. Activation of the inspiratory muscles, particularly the diaphragm, can induce greater negative pleural pressures and transalveolar pressures, which can improve the homogenous distribution of ventilation [14], diminish atelectasis [12,20], and thereby reduce lung mechanical stress and strain. However, spontaneous breathing during MV may subsequently induce some conditions that can aggravate lung injury, such as alveolar over-distension caused by increased transalveolar pressure [21], higher pulmonary capillary blood flow caused by increased cardiac output [22], a rapid respiratory rate [23], patient-ventilator asynchrony [24] and others. Recently, several experimental studies showed that preserving spontaneous breathing during mechanical ventilation can attenuate VILI in lung with acute lung injury (ALI) [25-27].

We hypothesized that spontaneous breathing during MV, as compared to control ventilation, would attenuate changes in selected markers of VILI in the healthy lung. To test this hypothesis, we used a rabbit model of the normal lung. Lung injury was evaluated by the levels of inflammatory markers in blood serum and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), mRNA expressions of selected cytokines in lung tissues, and lung histopathology examinations.

Materials and methods

The study was conducted with the approval of the Animal Care Committee of Capital Medical University (Beijing, China), and all animal procedures were carried out in compliance with Institutional Standards for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals.

Animals and anesthesia

Our experiments were performed with 24 healthy New Zealand white rabbits, with weights ranging from 2.0 to 2.6 kg. Animals were anesthetized with 3% pentobarbital sodium (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, MO, USA) at 25 mg/kg followed by continuous infusion of 3% pentobarbital sodium at 1 to 2 mg/kg/h. Then, an endotracheal tube (inner diameter of 4 mm) was inserted via tracheotomy. Rabbits were mechanically ventilated

(Evita 4, Dräger Medical AG & Co., KGaA, Lübeck, Germany) using the biphasic positive airway pressure (BIPAP) mode with baseline ventilator settings: FiO_2 of 0.5; positive end-expiration pressure (PEEP) of 2 cmH_2O ; inspiration pressure (P_I) resulting in a tidal volume (VT) of 10 to 15 ml/kg; respiratory rate (RR) of 30 breaths/minute; and inspiratory-to-expiratory (I:E) ratio of 1:1. If necessary, RR was adjusted to maintain PaCO_2 within 35 to 60 mmHg. If PaCO_2 was not within this range when RR was 50 breaths/minute, we increased P_I by 1 to 2 cmH_2O each time. FiO_2 and inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio were not changed during the entire experiment. If spontaneous breathing occurred, which was assessed by capnography [28], Pipecuronium Bromide (Gedeon Richter Plc. Budapest, Hungary) at 0.3 mg/kg/h was infused for muscle relaxation.

One 20-gauge catheter was placed in a marginal ear vein for fluid and drug administration. A second catheter was inserted into a carotid artery to monitor blood pressure (BP) and heart rate (HR) and for blood gas sampling. A third catheter was placed in a jugular vein to monitor central venous pressure and for sampling venous blood.

Experimental protocol

After surgical intervention was completed, BP and HR readings that fluctuated by less than 20% were used as baseline. Non-ventilated animals (control animals; $n = 8$) were immediately sampled after sedation and tracheostomized to avoid hypercapnia. The other sixteen rabbits were randomly sorted (opaque sealed envelopes) into a control ventilation group (CV Group; $n = 8$) and a spontaneous breathing group (SB Group; $n = 8$) (Figure 1). Normal saline (0.9%) was administered as a maintenance fluid at the rate of 8 to 10 ml/kg/h. If mean arterial blood pressure was lower than 80 mmHg, fluid boluses of 5 ml/kg of normal saline were administered. All animals received similar amounts of total IV fluids over eight hours.

After eight hours of MV, all animals were exsanguinated via a carotid artery, and lung tissues and heart were harvested. Bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) was performed for the left lower lobes. Tissue samples from the left upper lobes were stored in liquid nitrogen for selected cytokine mRNA analyses. Tissue samples of the right four lobes of all animals were immediately fixed in 10% buffered formalin for histological analysis.

Ventilator setting

For the CV Group, the animals’ SB was inhibited continuously and all animals were ventilated with the same baseline ventilator parameters during the entire experiment. For the SB Group, to regain a rabbit’s spontaneous breathing, muscle relaxant infusion was stopped

Figure 1 Flow chart of experiment. BIPAP, biphasic positive airway pressure; FiO_2 , fraction of inspired oxygen; I:E, inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio; PEEP, positive end-expiration pressure; P_I , inspiratory pressure; RR, respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume.

and the pentobarbital sodium infusion rate was lowered; then ventilator RR was decreased to 30 breaths/minute to make sure that rabbits could show unsupported spontaneous breathings at high pressure (P_I) and low

pressure (PEEP) levels of BIPAP mode; and the other parameters were not different from baseline ventilator settings, including P_I , PEEP, I:E ratio and FiO_2 (Figure 2); the dose of pentobarbital sodium was carefully

Figure 2 Representative recordings of airway pressure (Paw), flow and volume (Vol) in experimental groups. The upper panel (A) shows that the animal has no spontaneous breathing, the BIPAP is equal to PCV. The mechanical RR was 37 breaths/minute, and the Pmean was 5 cmH₂O. The lower panel (B) shows that non-supported spontaneous breathings (black arrows) were possible during each phase of the ventilatory cycle. The mechanical RR was set at 30 breaths/minute, total RR was 40 breaths/min and the Pmean was also 5 cmH₂O. A and B had equal I:E ratio (1:1), P_i (8 cmH₂O) and PEEP (2 cmH₂O). BIPAP, biphasic positive airway pressure; I:E, inspiratory-to-expiratory ratio; PCV, pressure control ventilation; PEEP, positive end-expiratory pressure; P_i, inspiratory pressure; Pmean, mean airway pressure; RR, respiratory rate.

adjusted to maintain the rate of non-supported spontaneous breathing within 3 to 15 breaths/minute.

Mean airway pressure (P_{mean}) for the BIPAP mode can be calculated by [29]:

$$\text{mean airway pressure} = \frac{P_I \times T_I + \text{PEEP} \times T_E}{T_I + T_E}.$$

Here, T_I is the length of time for which P_I is maintained; T_E is the length of time during which PEEP is held. If the ratio of T_I to T_E is fixed at 1:1, then the P_{mean} could be kept constant when we changed the cycle frequency from P_I to PEEP (or RR). Using this to adjust ventilator parameters (detailed above), we could keep the level of ventilatory support (P_{mean}) comparable between SB group and CV group of rabbits in our study. Thereafter, the sole difference between the SB group and CV group was whether or not the animals had their spontaneous breathing preserved (Figure 2).

Measurements and calculations

Arterial pressure, heart rate, central vein pressure and ventilatory parameters were continuously measured each hour. An in-line pressure differential pneumotachometer (CO₂SMO Puls; Novamatrix Medical Systems, Wallingford, CT, USA) was used to measure end-tidal CO₂ (ETCO₂), tidal volume, spontaneous tidal volume, spontaneous respiratory rate, total minute volume, spontaneous minute volume, mean airway pressure and peak airway pressure. The ratio of alveolar dead space to tidal volume (VD/VT) was calculated by [30]: VD/VT = (PaCO₂-ETCO₂)/PaCO₂.

At baseline and at the end of eight hours of MV, we measured static lung compliance (Cs). Before measurement, we used Pipecuronium Bromide (0.3 mg/kg) to depress the animals' spontaneous breathing. Thus, the BIPAP mode was equal to PCV mode: Inspiratory pressure (P_I) and PEEP were not changed; inspiration time was set to five seconds; expiration time was prolonged to allow the expiratory flow to return to zero; and the expiratory tidal volume (VT_{exp}) was recorded. The calculation for Cs used the following formula: Cs = VT_{exp}/(P_I-PEEP) [31].

Arterial blood gas samples were obtained at baseline, and at one, two, four, six and eight hours after randomization. Arterial blood gas variables were determined by an ABL 725 analyzer (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark), including pH, PaCO₂, PaO₂, HCO₃⁻, and lactic acid.

Bronchoalveolar lavage

Sterile normal saline (10 ml) was used to lavage the left lower lobes. After five seconds, the lavage liquid was recycled. The return volume was 5 to 6 ml. These

samples were immediately centrifuged at 3,000 to 4,000 rpm for 15 minutes. Supernatant aliquots were kept frozen at -40°C for subsequent analysis.

Blood measurements

Using ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), venous blood samples (5 ml) from a central vein were obtained at baseline, and at two, four, six and eight hours after randomization. These were immediately centrifuged at 3,000 to 4,000 rpm for 15 minutes. Serum was kept frozen at -40°C for subsequent analysis.

Cytokine and protein measurements

BALF, serum and lung homogenate concentrations of IL-6, IL-1β, IL-10, TNF-α, and MIP-2 were determined using commercial enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kits for rabbits (Rapidbio, Calabasas, CA, USA). All ELISAs were done by the same technician according to the manufacturers' guidelines. Total protein levels in BALF were determined using a Bradford Protein Assay Kit (Sun Biomedical Technology, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturers' instructions with BSA as standard.

Cytokine mRNA analysis by quantitative real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR)

Total RNA was extracted from lung tissue with a Trizol Isolation Kit (Sun Biomedical Technology) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Initially, the left upper lung tissue maintained in liquid nitrogen was placed in lysis buffer, then immediately disrupted and homogenized using a rotor-stator homogenizer. About 50 to 100 mg of material was used for RNA isolation with 1 ml of Trizol reagent. M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Sun Biomedical Technology) and oligo-(dT) 12 to 18 primers (Sun Biomedical Technology) were used to generate total cDNA. PCR was performed with a reaction volume of 50 μl using a BioEasy SYBR Green I Real Time PCR Kit (Sun Biomedical Technology) according to the manufacturer's instructions. As an internal control, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GADPH) primers were used for RNA template normalization. The results obtained from real-time RT-PCR were quantified by the 2^{-ΔΔCt} method, as previously reported [32,33]. Each sample was tested in triplicate. The sense (S) and antisense (AS) of the primers (5'-3') used for each cytokine were:

TNF-α: AGCGCCATGAGCACTGAGA/
GCCACGAGCAGGAAAGAGAA
IL-6: AGCCCGACTATGAACTCCTTCAC/
CCGGATGCTCTCGATGGTT
IL-10: AGAACCACAGTCCAGCCATCA/
GCTTGCTGAAGGCGCTCTT

IL-1 β : GCAGACGGGAAACAGATTGTG/
TTGCCTGAATGGCAGAGGTAA

Lung histopathology

Samples from the central parts of four different right lobes of the all animals were selected for histopathology examinations. Each sample was sectioned, stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and scored by a pathologist blinded to the experimental design. A lung injury score for each lung section was evaluated using a VILI histopathology scoring system as previously described [34]. The VILI scoring system included four items: alveolar congestion; hemorrhage; infiltration and aggregation of neutrophils in airspace or vessel wall; and thickness of alveolar wall/hyaline membrane formation. The VILI scoring system was graded from 0 (normal lung) to 4 (very severe involvement in > 75% of the lung). Thus, the total score for each section ranged from 0 to 16. The overall lung injury score for each animal was the average score of the four sections from each right lobe.

Statistical analysis

Data are given as mean $\pm$ SD or median (interquartile range), as appropriate. The normality of data distributions was assessed with a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test, and the homogeneity of variances was tested with Levene's test. Differences among groups were analyzed by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Continuous variables, including hemodynamics, blood gases, respiratory

parameters and cytokines level, were compared using repeated measures ANOVA. Logarithmic transformations of cytokine levels in BALF and serum were made before using parametric tests. *P*-values < 0.05 were considered significant. All analyses used SPSS 11.5 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

Hemodynamics and gas exchange

The mean arterial blood pressures (MBP) within both the control ventilation (CV) and spontaneous breathing (SB) groups were similar and were maintained at the expected levels during the entire experiment (Table 1). Heart rate and central venous pressure remained stable during the experiment, and there were no differences for these two variables between SB group and CV group (Table 1). Both groups received equal administrations of fluid boluses. No other drugs were needed to maintain hemodynamic conditions.

The pH values of all animals were greater than 7.2 during the experiment without the administration of sodium bicarbonate; however, after eight hours of ventilation, the SB group had a higher mean pH value (7.33 ± 0.08) than the CV group (7.24 ± 0.05) (Table 1). PaCO₂ values of all animals were maintained within the range noted in Methods, although PaCO₂ values were higher in the CV group compared to the SB group after randomization, and this difference was significantly different between the groups after six hours of ventilation; PaCO₂ values in the SB group reduced significantly after

Table 1 Physiology response to spontaneous breathing (SB Group) and control ventilation (CV group).

	Time = 0 h			Time = 8 h		
	CV group (n = 8)	SB group (n = 8)	<i>P</i> ₁	CV group (n = 8)	SB group (n = 8)	<i>P</i> ₂
HR (breaths/minute)	232.2 $\pm$ 23.7	239.3 $\pm$ 28.2	0.66	219.3 $\pm$ 19.8	235.2 $\pm$ 21.7	0.22
MBP (mmHg)	89.0 $\pm$ 11.0	91.4 $\pm$ 16.5	0.75	92.6 $\pm$ 17.8	90.7 $\pm$ 9.4	0.81
CVP (mmHg)	3.6 $\pm$ 0.7	3.7 $\pm$ 0.7	0.74	3.6 $\pm$ 0.5	3.9 $\pm$ 0.6	0.41
VT (ml/kg)	12.9 $\pm$ 0.8	12.3 $\pm$ 1.7	0.54	12.2 $\pm$ 1.4	13 $\pm$ 0.6	0.24
VTspont (ml/kg)	0	0	-	0	10.9 $\pm$ 0.8	-
RR _{TOT} (breaths/minute)	38.3 $\pm$ 4.1	37.5 $\pm$ 2.7	0.69	45.0 $\pm$ 4.5	39.5 $\pm$ 7.4	0.15
RRspont (breaths/minute)	0	0	-	0	8.8 $\pm$ 5.4	-
MV _{TOT} (L/minute)	1.26 $\pm$ 0.28	1.04 $\pm$ 0.23	0.18	1.50 $\pm$ 0.26	1.18 $\pm$ 0.33	0.10
<i>P</i> _I (cmH ₂ O)	8 $\pm$ 1.6	8 $\pm$ 1.5	1.00	9 $\pm$ 0.6	8.3 $\pm$ 1.8	0.43
<i>P</i> _{mean} (cmH ₂ O)	4.67 $\pm$ 0.8	4.67 $\pm$ 0.5	1.00	5.33 $\pm$ 0.8	5.00 $\pm$ 0.6	0.45
Compliance(ml/cmH ₂ O)	5.4 $\pm$ 0.7	5.55 $\pm$ 2.6	0.87	4.3 $\pm$ 0.8	7.6 $\pm$ 2.4*	0.01
Arterial pH	7.34 $\pm$ 0.08	7.38 $\pm$ 0.06	0.27	7.24 $\pm$ 0.05*	7.33 $\pm$ 0.08	0.04
PaCO ₂ (mmHg)	48.5 $\pm$ 8.7	43.9 $\pm$ 6.2	0.32	51.5 $\pm$ 7.8	38.2 $\pm$ 7.1*	0.01
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂ (mmHg)	399.0 $\pm$ 46.4	430.3 $\pm$ 40.0	0.24	437.7 $\pm$ 60.3	476.3 $\pm$ 11.6	0.40
Lactic acid (mmol/L)	1.0 $\pm$ 0.2	1.4 $\pm$ 0.4	0.10	2.1 $\pm$ 0.4	1.7 $\pm$ 0.7	0.70

Results are given as mean $\pm$ SD. **P* < 0.05 compared with baseline within the same group. *P*₁, compared between SB group and CV group at 0 h; *P*₂, compared between SB group and CV group at 8 h. CVP, central venous pressure; FiO₂, fraction of inspired oxygen; HR, heart rate; MBP, mean arterial pressure; MV_{TOT}, total minute volume; PaCO₂, arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO₂, arterial partial pressure of oxygen; *P*_I, inspiratory pressure; *P*_{mean}, mean airway pressure; RRspont, non-supported spontaneous respiratory rate; RR_{TOT}, total respiratory rate; VT, tidal volume; VTspont, tidal volume of non-supported spontaneous breathing.

randomization ($P < 0.05$; Figure 3). Both groups had similar $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ ratios (Figure 3).

The total respiratory frequency (RR_{TOT}) and total minutes of ventilation (MV_{TOT}) were stably maintained for both groups of rabbits, with no significant differences between the groups (Table 1). However, the ratio of dead space to tidal volume in the SB group decreased gradually after randomization, and showed a significant difference between SB group and CV group after eight hours (Figure 3).

Respiratory mechanics

Rabbits in the SB group and the CV group had similar P_{mean} and P_i throughout the experiment (Table 1). Both groups had similar static lung compliances (C_s) at baseline. However, the C_s values of the SB group markedly increased and were significantly higher than in the

CV group after eight hours of ventilation (Table 1). Conversely, the C_s values of the CV group slightly decreased by the end of the experiment.

Total protein levels in BALF

Total BALF protein levels in CV group were significantly higher as compared with control animals ($P < 0.05$; Figure 4). No significant difference was found between the SB group and control animals. Total BALF protein levels were slightly lower in the SB group than in the CV group (41.8 ± 34.1 vs 69.2 ± 38.3 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$, $P = 0.112$).

Cytokine levels in serum, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF) and lung homogenate

There were no differences in serum $\text{TNF-}\alpha$, IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-10 or MIP-2 concentrations between the SB group

Figure 3 Time course for PaCO_2 , $\text{PaO}_2/\text{FiO}_2$ and VD/VT in experimental groups. Results are given as mean $\pm$ SD. * $P < 0.05$ between groups at the same time. # $P < 0.05$ compared with baseline within the same group. CV, control ventilation; FiO_2 , fraction of inspired oxygen; PaCO_2 , arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO_2 , arterial partial pressure of oxygen; SB, spontaneous breathing; VD/VT , ratio of alveolar dead space to tidal volume.

and CV group during the entire experiment (Figure 5). There were also no significant differences within each group at baseline and at the end of the experiment. We also did not find any differences between the CV group and SB group for any of the cytokines in BALF (TNF- α , 4.29 ± 1.52 vs 3.78 ± 1.5 pg/ml; IL-6, 31.3 ± 12.1 vs 26.6 ± 11.7 pg/ml; IL-1 β , 11.5 ± 5.6 vs 9.16 ± 5.6 pg/ml; IL-10, 48.34 ± 6.17 vs 54.2 ± 9.5 pg/ml) (Figure 6). The cytokines (TNF- α , IL-6 and IL-1 β) level of lung homogenates in the CV group and the SB group were slightly higher than control animals ($P > 0.05$), but IL-10 concentrations in the CV group were significantly higher than control animals ($P < 0.05$). Pulmonary levels of IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α between the CV group and the SB group were comparable (Figure 7).

Cytokines' mRNA expressions in lung tissue

The expressions of IL-6, IL-1 β , IL-10 and TNF- α mRNA in lung tissues were evidently higher in the CV group compared to the SB group and control animals ($P < 0.05$; Figure 8). The TNF- α mRNA expression was six times higher in the CV group than in the SB group ($P = 0.001$). The other cytokines' mRNA expressions were about two times higher in the CV group as compared to the SB group. No significant difference was found between the SB group and control animals.

Lung histopathological injury

Gross histological evaluations of the right lower lobe lungs showed that the SB group had nearly normal lung tissue, whereas the CV group showed greater alveolar collapse, thickness of the alveolar septum, alveolar capillary congestion, and inflammatory cell migration (Figure 9). Also, the average lung histological injury score of the whole right lung was higher in the CV group (5.7 ± 1.0)

as compared to the SB group (3.7 ± 1.7 , $P = 0.005$) and control animals (1.7 ± 1.0 , $P < 0.001$; Figure 9). The average lung histological injury score were also higher in the SB group than in control animals ($P = 0.005$).

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study on the effects of spontaneous breathing on VILI in the mechanically-ventilated healthy lung. We found that preserving spontaneous breathing during MV could not only improve ventilatory function, but could also attenuate selected markers of VILI.

For our experiments, we selected tidal volume of as large as 10 to 15 ml/kg to ventilate animals with healthy lungs. Hong *et al.* [33] found that a moderate tidal volume (15 ml/kg) and low PEEP (3 cmH₂O) resulted in lower inflammatory response expressions in lung tissue and lung injury than with a small tidal volume (6 ml/kg) and high PEEP (10 cmH₂O) in a healthy animal model. Thus, we set PEEP to 2 cmH₂O to avoid excessive distension of alveoli and concomitantly prevent alveolar collapse at end-expiration. In addition, we applied a biphasic positive airway pressure (BIPAP) mode during MV, which allowed animals to breathe freely at any phase of the mechanical ventilatory cycle. When rabbits did not exhibit spontaneous breathing, the BIPAP mode was equal to the pressure control ventilation (PCV) mode. Pmean, which reflects the average level of alveolar pressure during the entire respiratory cycle, is also an important factor contributing to the development of lung injury [35]. In our study, the Pmean between the SB group and the CV group was comparable by the method of adjusting ventilator settings (detailed in Materials and methods).

We demonstrated that control ventilation caused higher dead space ventilation (VD/VT) than preserving spontaneous breathing during positive pressure MV. Putensen *et al.* [15] also found that spontaneous breathing could improve dead space ventilation in mechanically-ventilated patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. This phenomenon may be explained by considering that preserving respiratory muscle contraction favors more ventilation to dependent lung regions [12-14], reduces atelectasis [12,20], and improves ventilation-perfusion matching [14,15]. On histopathology examinations, we also found that more alveolar collapse appeared in our CV group.

Furthermore, we showed that preserving spontaneous breathing was followed by a lower PaCO₂ than control ventilation after eight hours of MV, while other studies [15,17] did not find differences between the SB and CV groups in PaCO₂. These differences may be attributed to the number of hours of control ventilation. The previous studies used only two hours of control ventilation,

Figure 5 Time course for the serum concentration of different cytokines in experimental groups. Results are given as mean $\pm$ SD. CV, control ventilation; SB, spontaneous breathing.

while our study used eight hours. We also did not find any significant differences in the first two hours of MV after randomization, however, after six hours, there was a difference and this was notable.

Although spontaneous breathing could obviously improve ventilatory function after a long time of MV, there was no difference between the SB and CV groups on oxygenation, which is in contrast to other reports

[12,15]. Wrigge *et al.* [12] found that preserving spontaneous breathing resulted in better oxygenation compared to control ventilation in an acute lung injury model with MV. It is possible that there is less alveolar collapse and lung injury in a normal lung model than an acute lung injury model.

Preserving spontaneous breathing can reduce atelectasis and improve gas distribution in the ventilatory-supported normal lung. However, prior to this study, we could not clearly identify the effects of spontaneous breathing on VILI in the normal lung. In our study, we demonstrated that there were no differences between the SB group and the CV group in the concentrations of all the measured cytokines in serum and BALF. However, animals with spontaneous breathing showed significantly lower gene expression levels of inflammatory cytokines (TNF- α , IL-6, IL-1 β) and anti-inflammatory cytokine (IL-10) in lung tissues. Furthermore, the gene expression levels of cytokines in SB group were similar to control animals. The level of IL-10 in the SB group was parallel decreased, since it has anti-inflammatory

Figure 8 Cytokine mRNA expression level in nonventilated (control) and experimental groups. Results are given as mean $\pm$ SD. Raw data were normalized to GAPDH expression. ^{*} $P < 0.05$ compared with control group. [§] $P < 0.01$ compared between CV group and SB group. [#] $P < 0.01$ compared between CV group and SB group. CV, control ventilation; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; SB, spontaneous breathing.

properties. It was suggested that the inflammatory response was significantly reduced in lung tissues. TNF- α mRNA expression was six times higher in our CV group than in our SB group. The mRNA levels of other cytokines (IL-6, IL-1 β , and IL-10) were about two times higher in the CV group compared to the SB group. Moreover, we also found total protein levels in BALF were slightly lower in the SB group than in the CV group. Maybe cytokines were already expressed in alveolar epithelial cells, but had not yet migrated into the alveolar lumen or systemic circulation, because we found that the cytokines level in lung homogenate increased slightly in the CV group, especially anti-inflammatory cytokine (IL-10). With an extended time of control MV, inflammatory responses may be further aggravated and lead to increased cytokine levels in the alveolar lumen and systemic circulation.

Consistent with the inflammatory responses, the histopathological damage to lung tissue was more severe in animals with control ventilation than in those with spontaneous breathing. To compare lung injury of the whole right lung of all animals, we selected the central parts of four different right lobes for histopathology examination and did not divide the right lung into non-dependent and dependent parts. The pathological changes in the animals of our CV group agree with the changes seen in healthy animals with similar ventilator settings in other studies [33,36]. Control ventilation can cause more alveolar collapse and congestion, thickening of the alveolar septum, and infiltrations of inflammatory cells into lung tissue. Lung histological scores also showed that lung injury was more severe in animals with control ventilation. Our histological findings were in accord with previous studies [26,27] which

Figure 9 Representative photomicrographs of the right lower lobe and the lung injury score from nonventilated (control) and experimental groups. The upper panel shows photomicrographs of hematoxylin-eosin-stained lung sections (magnification $\times 100$) of right lower lobe in control animals (A), SB group (B) and CV group (C). SB group (B) with mild alveolar congestion. CV group (C) with increased alveolar collapse and congestion, thickening of alveolar septum, alveolar capillary congestion, and inflammatory cell migration. The lower right panel shows the gradation of the VILI scoring system (detailed in Materials and methods) in SB group and CV group. Results are given as mean $\pm$ SD. * $P < 0.05$ compared with control groups. # $P < 0.01$ compared between CV group and SB group. CV, control ventilation; SB, spontaneous breathing; VILI, ventilator-induced lung injury.

demonstrated that the assisted ventilation mode could reduce lung injury compared to the control ventilation mode in an acute lung injury model.

There are many factors that can attenuate VILI when spontaneous breathing is preserved during MV with a healthy lung: increased ventilation to dependent lung regions caused by an increase of transalveolar pressure, reduced occurrence of atelectasis, increased recruited lung volume [17,20], improved compliance and further avoidance of atelectotrauma caused by the opening and closing of distal airways during tidal breaths [37,38]; more homogeneous distribution of gas, less dead space ventilation and hyperinflation [12,17]; redistribution of blood in alveolar capillaries, improved ventilation and

perfusion matching [14]; variability of breathing patterns [25]; and physiological feedback and intrinsic defense mechanisms during spontaneous breathing, such as the Hering-Breuer reflex, may further prevent VILI.

Our study had several limitations. First, because our study animal model was healthy rabbits, we cannot directly extend our results to normal human lung function. Second, a larger VT of 10 to 15 ml/kg was selected in our study. It can cause lung injury in a previously healthy lung, as showed in CV group animals of our study. Therefore, it is necessary to design another similar study using smaller VT of 6 to 7 ml/kg to testify the protective effect of spontaneous breathing on VILI in healthy animals. Third, PaCO₂ values were different

between the SB group and the CV group, which could affect the experimental results of lung injury. Clinical and animal studies have shown that hypercapnic conditions had a protective effect against lung injuries, which were associated with significantly improved pulmonary edema, increased pulmonary compliance, and reduced levels of cytokines [39-41]. However, the CV group, with a higher PaCO₂ than the SB group, did not exhibit a lower inflammatory response. It was further suggested that spontaneous breathing had a protective effect against VILI. Fourth, some invasive procedures can also have an impact on cytokine levels; thus, to guarantee comparable cytokine levels at baseline, surgical interventions were administrated by fixed researchers, who strictly controlled the time for our procedures and the amount of blood loss. Fifth, we did not measure transalveolar pressure to evaluate respiratory effort. Finally, SB group animals used less muscle relaxant (Pipcuronium Bromide) and anesthesia (Pentobarbital sodium). Unfortunately, it remains unknown if they can directly affect inflammatory responses.

Conclusions

In the present experimental study, we show that preserving spontaneous breathing during MV can not only improve ventilatory function, but can also attenuate selected markers of VILI in the healthy lung. However, our results cannot be extrapolated to humans, and clinical trials are necessary to confirm our results in humans.

Key messages

- Assuming comparable levels of ventilation support, preserving spontaneous breathing during MV is associated with better respiratory function as compared to control ventilation: both a lowered ratio of dead space ventilation to tidal volume and PaCO₂.
- Compared to control ventilation, preserving spontaneous breathing can result in better lung compliance after eight hours of MV.
- Spontaneous breathing may have an important protective effect against VILI in mechanically ventilated healthy lung.

Abbreviations

ALI: acute lung injury; BAL: bronchoalveolar lavage; BALF: bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; BIPAP: biphasic positive airway pressure; BP: blood pressure; CV: control ventilation; CPAP: continuous positive airway pressure; Cs: static lung compliance; EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; ET/CO₂: end-tidal CO₂; FiO₂: fraction of inspired oxygen; GADPH: glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; HR: heart rate; IL: interleukin; MIP-2: macrophage inflammatory protein; mRNA: messenger ribonucleic acid; MV: mechanical ventilation; MV_{TOT}: total minute ventilation; PaCO₂: arterial partial pressure of carbon dioxide; PaO₂: arterial partial pressure of oxygen; PCV: pressure control ventilation; PEEP: positive end-expiration pressure; P_i: inspiration pressure; P_{high}: high level continuous positive airway pressure; P_{low}: low level continuous positive airway pressure; Pmean: mean airway pressure; RT-PCR:

real time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction; RR: respiratory rate; RR_{TOT}: total respiratory rate; SB: spontaneous breathing; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor alpha; VILI: ventilator-induced lung injury; (VD/VT)_{alv}: the ratio alveolar dead space to tidal volume; VT: tidal volume.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ms Wenhui Chen for her help with microscopy, Ms Jingyu Quan for her skilled technical assistance during the experiments, and Mr Yonglin Tang for his help with acquisition of data. This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (project No. 30971311), the National Basic Research Program of China (project No. 2009C13522105) and Beijing Novel Star Program (project No. 2005B03).

Authors' contributions

JX participated in the design of the study and in the experiments, was involved in the data extraction as well as statistics, and drafted the manuscript. BS participated in the design of the study and helped to draft the manuscript. HH participated in the design of the study and in the experiments, and helped to draft the manuscript. HZ and CW participated in the design of the study and in the experiments. QZ participated in the design of the study, was involved in interpretation of the results and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript for publication.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 8 April 2011 Revised: 9 August 2011

Accepted: 21 October 2011 Published: 21 October 2011

References

1. Frank JA, Matthay MA: Science review: mechanisms of ventilator-induced injury. *Crit Care* 2003, **7**:233-241.
2. Dreyfuss D, Saumon G: Ventilator-induced lung injury: lessons from experimental studies. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998, **157**:294-323.
3. Chiumello D, Pristine G, Slutsky AS: Mechanical ventilation affects local and systemic cytokines in an animal model of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999, **160**:109-116.
4. Ranieri VM, Suter PM, Tortorella C, De Tullio R, Dayer JM, Brienza A, Bruno F, Slutsky AS: Effect of mechanical ventilation on inflammatory mediators in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 1999, **282**:54-61.
5. Ranieri VM, Giunta F, Suter PM, Slutsky AS: Mechanical ventilation as a mediator of multisystem organ failure in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *JAMA* 2000, **284**:43-44.
6. Imai Y, Parodo J, Kajikawa O, de Perrot M, Fischer S, Edwards V, Cutz E, Liu M, Keshavjee S, Martin TR, Marshall JC, Ranieri VM, Slutsky AS: Injurious mechanical ventilation and end-organ epithelial cell apoptosis and organ dysfunction in an experimental model of acute respiratory distress syndrome. *JAMA* 2003, **289**:2104-2112.
7. Frank JA, Parsons PE, Matthay MA: Pathogenetic significance of biological markers of ventilator-associated lung injury in experimental and clinical studies. *Chest* 2006, **130**:1906-1914.
8. Amato MB, Barbas CS, Medeiros DM, Magaldi RB, Schettino GP, Lorenzi-Filho G, Kairalla RA, Deheinzelin D, Munoz C, Oliveira R, Takagaki TY, Carvalho CR: Effect of a protective-ventilation strategy on mortality in the acute respiratory distress syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1998, **338**:347-354.
9. Ventilation with lower tidal volumes as compared with traditional tidal volumes for acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome. The Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. *N Engl J Med* 2000, **342**:1301-1308.
10. Villar J, Kacmarek RM, Perez-Mendez L, Aguirre-Jaime A: A high positive end-expiratory pressure, low tidal volume ventilatory strategy improves outcome in persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized, controlled trial. *Crit Care Med* 2006, **34**:1311-1318.
11. Froese AB, Bryan AC: Effects of anesthesia and paralysis on diaphragmatic mechanics in man. *Anesthesiology* 1974, **41**:242-255.
12. Wrigge H, Zinserling J, Neumann P, Defosse J, Magnusson A, Putensen C, Hedenstierna G: Spontaneous breathing improves lung aeration in oleic acid-induced lung injury. *Anesthesiology* 2003, **99**:376-384.

13. Wrigge H, Zinserling J, Neumann P, Muders T, Magnusson A, Putensen C, Hedenstierna G: **Spontaneous breathing with airway pressure release ventilation favors ventilation in dependent lung regions and counters cyclic alveolar collapse in oleic-acid-induced lung injury: a randomized controlled computed tomography trial.** *Crit Care* 2005, **9**:R780-R789.
14. Neumann P, Wrigge H, Zinserling J, Hinz J, Maripuu E, Andersson LG, Putensen C, Hedenstierna G: **Spontaneous breathing affects the spatial ventilation and perfusion distribution during mechanical ventilatory support.** *Crit Care Med* 2005, **33**:1090-1095.
15. Putensen C, Mutz NJ, Putensen-Himmer G, Zinserling J: **Spontaneous breathing during ventilatory support improves ventilation-perfusion distributions in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999, **159**:1241-1248.
16. Kaplan LJ, Bailey H, Formosa V: **Airway pressure release ventilation increases cardiac performance in patients with acute lung injury/adult respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care* 2001, **5**:221-226.
17. Tokics L, Hedenstierna G, Svensson L, Brismar B, Cederlund T, Lundquist H, Strandberg A: **V/Q distribution and correlation to atelectasis in anesthetized paralyzed humans.** *J Appl Physiol* 1996, **81**:1822-1833.
18. Gayan-Ramirez G, Testelmans D, Maes K, Racz GZ, Cadot P, Zador E, Wuytack F, Decramer M: **Intermittent spontaneous breathing protects the rat diaphragm from mechanical ventilation effects.** *Crit Care Med* 2005, **33**:2804-2809.
19. Levine S, Nguyen T, Taylor N, Friscia ME, Budak MT, Rothenberg P, Zhu J, Sachdeva R, Sonnad S, Kaiser LR, Rubinstein NA, Powers SK, Shrager JB: **Rapid disuse atrophy of diaphragm fibers in mechanically ventilated humans.** *N Engl J Med* 2008, **358**:1327-1335.
20. Hedenstierna G, Tokics L, Lundquist H, Andersson T, Strandberg A, Brismar B: **Phrenic nerve stimulation during halothane anesthesia. Effects of atelectasis.** *Anesthesiology* 1994, **80**:751-760.
21. Sarge T, Talmor D: **Targeting transpulmonary pressure to prevent ventilator induced lung injury.** *Minerva Anestesiol* 2009, **75**:293-299.
22. Lopez-Aguilar J, Piacentini E, Villagra A, Murias G, Pascotto S, Saenz-Valiente A, Fernandez-Segoviano P, Hotchkiss JR, Blanch L: **Contributions of vascular flow and pulmonary capillary pressure to ventilator-induced lung injury.** *Crit Care Med* 2006, **34**:1106-1112.
23. Vaporidi K, Voloudakis G, Priniannakis G, Kondili E, Koutsopoulos A, Tsatsanis C, Georgopoulos D: **Effects of respiratory rate on ventilator-induced lung injury at a constant PaCO₂ in a mouse model of normal lung.** *Crit Care Med* 2008, **36**:1277-1283.
24. Thille AW, Rodriguez P, Cabello B, Lellouche F, Brochard L: **Patient-ventilator asynchrony during assisted mechanical ventilation.** *Intensive Care Med* 2006, **32**:1515-1522.
25. Spieth PM, Carvalho AR, Guldner A, Kasper M, Schubert R, Carvalho NC, Beda A, Dassow C, Uhlig S, Koch T, Pelosi P, Gama De Abreu M: **Pressure support improves oxygenation and lung protection compared to pressure-controlled ventilation and is further improved by random variation of pressure support.** *Crit Care Med* 2011, **39**:746-755.
26. Saddy F, Oliveira GP, Garcia CS, Nardelli LM, Rzezinski AF, Ornellas DS, Morales MM, Capelozzi VL, Pelosi P, Rocco PR: **Assisted ventilation modes reduce the expression of lung inflammatory and fibrogenic mediators in a model of mild acute lung injury.** *Intensive Care Med* 2010, **36**:1417-1426.
27. Brander L, Sinderby C, Lecomte F, Leong-Poi H, Bell D, Beck J, Tsoporis JN, Vaschetto R, Schultz MJ, Parker TG, Villar J, Zhang H, Slutsky AS: **Neurally adjusted ventilatory assist decreases ventilator-induced lung injury and non-pulmonary organ dysfunction in rabbits with acute lung injury.** *Intensive Care Med* 2009, **35**:1979-1989.
28. Walsh BK, Crotwell DN, Restrepo RD: **Capnography/Capnometry during mechanical ventilation: 2011.** *Respir Care* 2011, **56**:503-509.
29. Frawley PM, Habashi NM: **Airway pressure release ventilation: theory and practice.** *AACN Clin Issues* 2001, **12**:234-246.
30. Hardman JG, Aitkenhead AR: **Estimating alveolar dead space from the arterial to end-tidal CO₂ gradient: a modeling analysis.** *Anesth Analg* 2003, **97**:1846-1851.
31. Grinnan DC, Truitt JD: **Clinical review: respiratory mechanics in spontaneous and assisted ventilation.** *Crit Care* 2005, **9**:472-484.
32. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD: **Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method.** *Methods* 2001, **25**:402-408.
33. Hong CM, Xu DZ, Lu Q, Cheng Y, Pisarenko V, Doucet D, Brown M, Aisner S, Zhang C, Deitch EA, Delphin E: **Low tidal volume and high positive end-expiratory pressure mechanical ventilation results in increased inflammation and ventilator-associated lung injury in normal lungs.** *Anesth Analg* 2010, **110**:1652-1660.
34. Wolthuis EK, Vlaar AP, Choi G, Roelofs JJ, Juffermans NP, Schultz MJ: **Mechanical ventilation using non-injurious ventilation settings causes lung injury in the absence of pre-existing lung injury in healthy mice.** *Crit Care* 2009, **13**:R1.
35. Brocard AF, Hotchkiss JR, Suzuki S, Olson D, Marini JJ: **Effects of mean airway pressure and tidal excursion on lung injury induced by mechanical ventilation in an isolated perfused rabbit lung model.** *Crit Care Med* 1999, **27**:1533-1541.
36. Moriondo A, Pelosi P, Passi A, Viola M, Marcozzi C, Severgnini P, Ottani V, Quaranta M, Negrini D: **Proteoglycan fragmentation and respiratory mechanics in mechanically ventilated healthy rats.** *J Appl Physiol* 2007, **103**:747-756.
37. Chu EK, Whitehead T, Slutsky AS: **Effects of cyclic opening and closing at low- and high-volume ventilation on bronchoalveolar lavage cytokines.** *Crit Care Med* 2004, **32**:168-174.
38. Sinclair SE, Chi E, Lin H, Altemeier WA: **Positive end-expiratory pressure alters the severity and spatial heterogeneity of ventilator-induced lung injury: an argument for cyclical airway collapse.** *J Crit Care* 2009, **24**:206-211.
39. De Smet HR, Bersten AD, Barr HA, Doyle IR: **Hypercapnic acidosis modulates inflammation, lung mechanics, and edema in the isolated perfused lung.** *J Crit Care* 2007, **22**:305-313.
40. Brocard AF, Hotchkiss JR, Vannay C, Markert M, Sauty A, Feihl F, Schaller MD: **Protective effects of hypercapnic acidosis on ventilator-induced lung injury.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001, **164**:802-806.
41. Peltekova V, Engelberts D, Otulakowski G, Uematsu S, Post M, Kavanagh BP: **Hypercapnic acidosis in ventilator-induced lung injury.** *Intensive Care Med* 2010, **36**:869-878.

doi:10.1186/cc10502

Cite this article as: Xia *et al.*: Effect of spontaneous breathing on ventilator-induced lung injury in mechanically ventilated healthy rabbits: a randomized, controlled, experimental study. *Critical Care* 2011 **15**:R244.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit