

COMMENTARY

Glucocorticoids in sepsis: dissecting facts from fiction

Paul F Marik*

Abstract

An intact hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis with effective intracellular glucocorticoid anti-inflammatory activity is essential for host survival following exposure to an infectious agent. Glucocorticoids play a major role in regulating the activity of nuclear factorkappa-B, which has a crucial and generalized role in inducing cytokine gene transcription after exposure to an invading pathogen. Severe sepsis is, however, associated with complex, hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis alterations, which may result in decreased production of cortisol as well as glucocorticoid tissue resistance.

Inadequate intracellular glucocorticoid activity, referred to as critical illness-related corticosteroid insufficiency, typically results in an exaggerated proinflammatory response [1]. Patients with severe sepsis or septic shock are therefore frequently treated with exogenous glucocorticoids. While there are large geographic variations in the prescription of glucocorticoids for sepsis, up to 50% of intensive care unit patients receive such therapy [2]. Despite over 30 years of investigation and over 20 metaanalyses, the use of glucocorticoids in patients with sepsis remains extremely controversial and recommendations are conflicting.

The most important recent studies are that of Annane and colleagues [3] and the Corticosteroid Therapy of Septic Shock (CORTICUS) study [4]. Both of these studies have important limitations: 24% patients received etomidate in the study by Annane and colleagues, whereas 19% received etomidate in the CORTICUS study. The benefit of steroids in the study by Annane and colleagues may have been restricted largely to those patients who

received etomidate [5]. Furthermore, only patients with 'refractory septic shock' were enrolled in the Annane study whereas, as a result of an overwhelming selection bias, only approximately 5% of eligible patients were enrolled in the CORTICUS study [6]. A more recent study found no benefit from a 7-day course of 40 mg of prednisolone in patients hospitalized with communityacquired pneumonia [7].

In the study by Annane and colleagues [3], patients received 50 mg of hydrocortisone intravenously every 6 hours for 7 days, whereas in the CORTICUS study [4], patients received this dose for 5 days, followed by a tapering off over a further 5 days. Recently, two longitudinal studies in patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia found high levels of circulating inflammatory cytokines 3 weeks after clinical resolution of sepsis [8,9]. These data suggest that patients with severe sepsis may have prolonged immune dysregulation (even after clinical recovery) and that a longer course of corticosteroids may be required. The use of a continuous infusion of hydrocortisone has been reported to result in better glycemic control with less variability of blood glucose concentration [10]. This may be clinically relevant as it has been demonstrated that an oscillating blood glucose level is associated with greater oxidative injury than sustained hyperglycemia [11]. Indeed, a number of reports indicate that glucose variability may be an independent predictor of outcome in critically ill patients [12]. A continuous infusion of glucocorticoid may, however, result in greater suppression of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis. Furthermore, different glucocorticoids differentially affect gene transcription and have differing pharmacodynamic effects. Consequently, the preferred glucocorticoid and the optimal dosing strategy in patients with septic shock remain to be determined.

Evidence-based medicine is defined as the use of the best current scientific evidence in making decisions about the care of individual patients. Owing to the dearth of high-level evidence, it is not possible to make strong evidence-based recommendations on the use of glucocorticoids in patients with sepsis. Therefore, at this juncture, it is useful to summarize what we know, what we think we know, and what we do not know in order to

*Correspondence: marikpe@evms.edu Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Eastern Virginia Medical School, 825 Fairfax Avenue, Suite 410, Norfolk, VA 23507, USA



Table 1. Current knowledge concerning glucocorticoids in sepsis

What we know

- Sepsis causes complex alterations of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis and glucocorticoid signaling [1].
- Etomidate causes suppression of cortisol synthesis for up to 24 hours [13].
- · High random cortisol levels are a marker of disease severity and a poor prognostic marker [14].
- Short-course, high-dose glucocorticoids are not beneficial in the treatment of severe sepsis/septic shock [15-17].
- Treatment of septic shock with moderate-dose glucocorticoids for 7 days significantly reduces vasopressor dependency (adrenocorticotropin responders and non-responders) and intensive care unit length of stay [15-17].
- Glucocorticoids do not increase the risk of superinfections [15-17].

What we think we know

- Glucocorticoids may reduce mortality in subgroups of patients with septic shock [15-17].
- · Glucocorticoids appear to be of no benefit in community-acquired pneumonia patients who are at a low risk of dying [7].
- The addition of fludrocortisone does not appear to have additional benefits when treating patients with hydrocortisone [18].
- Treatment with glucocorticoids may reduce the risk of post-traumatic stress disorder [19].

What we do not know

- · Which patients with severe sepsis/septic shock should be treated with glucocorticoids?
- Should treatment with glucocorticoids be based on the results of a cosyntropin stimulation test?
- · What is the treatment window? Twenty-four hours?
- How does one accurately diagnose adrenal insufficiency and inadequate cellular glucocorticoid activity?
- · What is the optimal dosing schedule of glucocorticoids?
- Which glucocorticoid methylprednisolone or hydrocortisone should be used?
- · Do glucocorticoids cause long-term myopathy?
- · Do we need to treat a patient with glucocorticoids if he or she has received etomidate in the previous 24 hours?

lay the foundation for future scientific exploration; this information is summarized in Table 1.

In summary, the risk/benefit ratio of glucocorticoids should be determined in each patient. A course (7 to 10 days) of low-dose hydrocortisone (200 mg/day) should be considered in vasopressor-dependent patients (dosage of norepinephrine or equivalent of greater than 0.1 μg/kg per minute) within 12 hours of the onset of shock [1]. Steroids should be stopped in patients whose vasopressor dependency has not improved with 2 days of glucocorticoids. While the outcome benefit of low-dose glucocorticoids remains to be determined, such a strategy decreases vasopressor dependency and appears to be safe (no excess mortality, superinfections, or acute myopathy). Infection surveillance is critical in patients treated with corticosteroids, and to prevent the rebound phenomenon, the drug should be weaned slowly. At this time, glucocorticoids appear to have a limited role in patients who have sepsis or severe sepsis and who are at a low risk of dying.

Abbreviations

CORTICUS, Corticosteroid Therapy of Septic Shock.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Published: 6 May 2011

References

- Marik PE: Critical illness related corticoseroid insufficiency. Chest 2009, 135:181-193.
- Beale R, Janes JM, Brunkhorst FM, Dobb G, Levy MM, Martin GS, Ramsey G, Silva E, Sprung C: Global utilization of low-dose corticosteroids in severe sepsis and septic shock: a report from the PROGRESS registry. Crit Care 2010. 14:R102.
- Annane D, Sebille V, Charpentier C, Bollaert PE, Francois B, Korach JM, Capellier G, Cohen Y, Azoulay E, Troche G, Chaumet-Riffaut P, Bellissant E: Effect of treatment with low doses of hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone on mortality in patients with septic shock. JAMA 2002, 288:862-871.
- Sprung CL, Annane D, Keh D, Moreno R, Singer M, Freivogel K, Weiss YG, Benbenishty J, Kalenka A, Forst H, Laterre PF, Reinhart K, Cuthbertson BH, Payen D, Briegel J: Hydrocortisone therapy for patients with septic shock. N Enal J Med 2008, 358:111-124.
- Murray H, Marik PE: Etomidate for endotracheal intubation in sepsis: acknowledging the good while accepting the bad. Chest 2005, 127-707-709
- Marik PE, Pastores SM, Kavanaugh BP: Selection bias negates conclusions from the CORTICUS study? N Engl J Med 2008, 358:2069-2070.
- Snijders D, Daniels JM, de Graaff CS, van der Werf TS, Boersma WG: Efficacy of corticosteroids in community-acquired pneumonia: a randomized double-blinded clinical trial. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2010, 181:975-982.
- Kellum JA, Kong L, Fink MP, Weissfeld LA, Yealy DM, Pinsky MR, Fine J, Krichevsky A, Delude RL, Angus DC: Understanding the inflammatory cytokine response in pneumonia and sepsis: results of the Genetic and Inflammatory Markers of Sepsis (GenIMS) Study. Arch Intern Med 2007, 167:1655-1663.
- Lekkou A, Karakantza M, Mouzaki A, Kalfarentzos F, Gogos CA: Cytokine production and monocyte HLA-DR expression as predictors of outcome for patients with community-acquired severe infections. Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 2004, 11:161-167.
- Weber-Carstens S, Deja M, Bercker S, Dimroth A, Ahlers O, Kaisers U, Keh D: Impact of bolus application of low-dose hydrocortisone on glycemic

- control in septic shock patients. Intensive Care Med 2007, 33:730-733.
- Ceriello A, Esposito K, Piconi L, Ihnat MA, Thorpe JE, Testa R, Boemi M, Giugliano D: Oscillating glucose is more deleterious to endothelial function and oxidative stress than mean glucose in normal and type 2 diabetic patients. Diabetes 2008, 57:1349-1354.
- Egi M, Bellomo R, Stachowski E, French CJ, Hart G: Variability of blood glucose concentration and short-term mortality in critically ill patients. Anesthesiol 2006. 105:244-252.
- Vinclair M, Broux C, Faure C, Brun J, Gentry C, Jacquot C, Chabre O, Payen JF: Duration of adrenal inhibition following a single dose of etomidate in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med* 2008, 34:714-719.
- Annane D, Sebille V, Troche G, Raphael JC, Gajdos P, Bellissant E: A 3-level prognostic classification in septic shock based on cortisol levels and cortisol response to corticotropin. JAMA 2000, 283:1038-1045.
- Annane D, Bellissant E, Bollaert PE, Briegel J, Confalonieri M, De Gaudio R, Keh D, Kupfer Y, Oppert M, Meduri GU: Corticosteroids in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock in adults: a systematic review. JAMA 2009, 301:2349-2361.
- 16. Moran JL, Graham PL, Rockliff S, Bersten AD: Updating the evidence for the role of corticosteroids in severe sepsis and shock: a Bayesian meta-

- analytic perspective. Crit Care 2010, 14:R134.
- Sligl WI, Milner DA, Sundarr S, Mphatswe W, Majumdar SR: Safety and efficacy of corticosteroids for the treatment of septic shock: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Infect Dis 2009, 49:93-101.
- COITSS Study Investigators, Annane D, Cariou A, Maxime V, Azoulay E, D'honneur G, Timsit JF, Cohen Y, Wolf M, Fartoukh M, Adrie C, Santré C, Bollaert PE, Mathonet A, Amathieu R, Tabah A, Clec'h C, Mayaux J, Lejeune J, Chevret S: Corticosteroid treatment and intensive insulin therapy for septic shock in adults: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2010, 303:341-348.
- Schelling G, Briegel J, Roozendaal B, Stoll C, Rothenhäusler HB, Kapfhammer HP: The effect of stress doses of hydrocortisone during septic shock on posttraumatic stress disorder in survivors. Biol Psychiatry 2001, 50:978-985.

doi:10.1186/cc10101

Cite this article as: Marik PE: Glucocorticoids in sepsis: dissecting facts from fiction. *Critical Care* 2011, **15**:158.