REVIEW

Nuts and bolts of lung ultrasound: utility, scanning techniques, protocols, and fndings in common pathologies

Michael Beshara^{1,2}, Edward A. Bittner¹, Alberto Goffi^{3,4,5}, Lorenzo Berra¹ and Marvin G. Chang^{1*}

Abstract

Point of Care ultrasound (POCUS) of the lungs, also known as lung ultrasound (LUS), has emerged as a technique that allows for the diagnosis of many respiratory pathologies with greater accuracy and speed compared to conventional techniques such as chest x-ray and auscultation. The goal of this narrative review is to provide a simple and practical approach to LUS for critical care, pulmonary, and anesthesia providers, as well as respiratory therapists and other health care providers to be able to implement this technique into their clinical practice. In this review, we will discuss the basic physics of LUS, provide a hands-on scanning technique, describe LUS fndings seen in normal and pathological conditions (such as mainstem intubation, pneumothorax, atelectasis, pneumonia, aspiration, COPD exacerbation, cardiogenic pulmonary edema, ARDS, and pleural efusion) and also review the training necessary to achieve competence in LUS.

Keywords Point of care ultrasound, Lung ultrasound, Critical care ultrasound, POCUS, LUS, Ultrasound, Anesthesia, Pulmonary, Critical care, Mainstem intubation, Pneumothorax, Atelectasis, Pneumonia, Aspiration, COPD exacerbation, Cardiogenic pulmonary edema, ARDS, Pleural efusion, Ultrasound training, Ultrasound competency, POCUS training, POCUS competency, Lung ultrasound training

Introduction

Point of Care ultrasound (POCUS) of the lungs, also known as lung ultrasound (LUS), has emerged as a simple, non-invasive, and real time technique that allows for the diagnosis of many respiratory pathologies $[1-6]$ $[1-6]$. LUS

*Correspondence:

can also be utilized to guide and assess the response to therapeutic interventions afecting the pulmonary system such as delivery of fuids, administration of diuretics, endotracheal intubation, ventilator management, and chest tube placements $[7-12]$ $[7-12]$ $[7-12]$. Health care providers may utilize LUS to diagnose common pulmonary pathologies and optimize their patients' care in the same way they utilize their stethoscopes $[13-15]$ $[13-15]$. The goal of this review is to provide a simple and practical approach to LUS for critical care, pulmonary and anesthesia providers, as well as respiratory therapists and other health care providers to be able to implement this technique into their clinical practice. To achieve this, this review is structured to provide a basic overview of the physics of lung ultrasound, discuss the hands-on scanning technique, probe selection, describe LUS fndings in normal and pathological conditions (such as mainstem intubation, pneumothorax,

© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Marvin G. Chang

mgchang@partners.org ¹ Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine,

Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, 55 Fruit Street, White 437, Boston, MA, USA

² Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care and Pain Medicine, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS, USA

³ Interdepartmental Division of Critical Care Medicine, University

of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

⁴ Department of Medicine, Division of Respirology (Critical Care),

University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada

⁵ Department of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

atelectasis, pneumonia, aspiration, COPD exacerbation, cardiogenic pulmonary edema, ARDS, and pleural efusion), and review the training necessary to achieve competence in LUS.

Physics of lung ultrasound

Understanding the basic physics behind LUS is essential for optimization and interpretation of imaging techniques. LUS is heavily dependent on the interpretation of ultrasound artifacts as will be discussed in this article $[16]$ $[16]$.

Air has been considered the enemy of ultrasound waves [\[17](#page-10-6)–[19\]](#page-10-7). At the soft tissue and air interface, the majority of ultrasound waves are refected because of an acoustic impedance mismatch. This is the reason gel is necessary when performing body surface imaging [\[11](#page-10-8), [17\]](#page-10-6). Because of this, it was previously thought that the use of ultrasound in lung imaging would not be of any value because air in the lungs would cause a signifcant refection of ultrasound waves to prevent imaging of the lung parenchyma [[20](#page-10-9)]. While this may be true, the use of LUS doesn't exclusively depend on the visualization of the lung parenchyma itself but rather on the detection of diferent ultrasound artifacts with unique signatures that allows the diagnosis of diferent lung pathologies [[16,](#page-10-5) [17\]](#page-10-6). Bone also prevents ultrasound propagation and result in dropout artifacts $[21, 22]$ $[21, 22]$ $[21, 22]$. This precludes imaging of structures that lie underneath the ribs. The attenuating efects of lung parenchymal air and the ribs therefore limit visualization to the pleura in the intercostal spaces between the ribs and thus the diagnosis of various respiratory pathologies depend heavily on the ability to recognize diferent ultrasound artifacts [[23\]](#page-10-12).

On LUS, the parietal pleura (outer pleural layer attached to the chest wall) and visceral pleura (inner pleural layer covering the lungs) appear as a hyperechoic horizontal line (Supplemental Fig. [1](#page-9-1)) referred to as the pleural line. The pleural line may represent the real pleura, or an artifact caused by ultrasound beam refection between alveolar air and soft tissue, the exact cause is still debatable $[19]$ $[19]$. This pleural line moves with respiration and this movement is called "lung sliding" [[11,](#page-10-8) [19](#page-10-7)]. Supplemental Video [1](#page-9-2) shows common signs seen with normal LUS.

Cardiac oscillations are also sometimes visualized as low amplitude vertical oscillations at the pleural line, these oscillations result from the transmission of cardiac contractions and are referred to as the "lung pulse". Lung pulse is a normal ultrasound fnding and its presence implies an intact pleural interface and rules out pneumothorax (Supplemental Video [1\)](#page-9-2) [[7](#page-10-1), [24–](#page-10-13)[26\]](#page-10-14).

Deep to the pleural line, and at regular intervals appear horizontal hyperechoic lines referred to as

"A-lines" (Supplemental Fig. [1](#page-9-1)). These A-lines are a type of reverberation artifacts created by repetitive refection back and forth of the ultrasound waves between two strong reflectors. This gets interpreted by the ultrasound machine as occurring at multiples of the distance between the probe and the pleural line. As seen in Supplemental Fig. [1](#page-9-1), A-lines occur at equidistance intervals of the ultrasound probe to the strong refector at the pleural line [\[11,](#page-10-8) [19](#page-10-7), [27\]](#page-10-15).

Another LUS artifact is known as "B-lines". B-lines are vertical hyperechoic lines that begin at the pleural line and extend all the way down to the bottom of the ultra-sound screen (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). These "B-lines" should be differentiated from short vertical artifacts which extend for a short distance beyond the pleural line and are not considered B-lines (Supplemental Fig. [2](#page-9-1)) [\[28\]](#page-10-16). B-lines also represent a type of reverberation artifact often referred to as "comet tails" or "lung rockets". This occurs when the ultrasound waves get trapped between two closely spaced refectors (such as fbrous tissue and alveoli with any type of fuid such as pus, blood, water, and infammation that is surrounded by air in the setting of pulmonary edema, pneumonia, ARDS, and connective lung diseases) and then refected back to the transducer resulting in B line [[28–](#page-10-16)[32\]](#page-10-17).

It is important to note that LUS doesn't solely rely on the analysis of artifacts (A-lines and B-lines). In the absence of normally aerated lung, LUS is often times able to visualize pathology associated with the lung parenchymal and pleural space (e.g. consolidation, atelectasis, and pleural effusions) $[28]$ $[28]$. This will be discussed in more details in the coming sections of this article.

Scanning technique and probe selection

A variety of ultrasound probes are useful for LUS, the linear, curvilinear, and phased array probes [\[33](#page-10-18), [34](#page-10-19)]. Linear probes are high-frequency probes and are ideal for visualizing the pleural line and pathology that may afect the pleura (e.g., pneumothorax). The phased array and curvilinear probe are lower frequency probes and are best suited for the evaluation of deeper structures as in the case of pulmonary edema or consolidation [[19,](#page-10-7) [33](#page-10-18)]. Compared to the phased array probe, the use of curvilinear probes may be associated with a higher interpretation accuracy especially in novice users trying to interpret pleural pathologies [\[35](#page-10-20)] and may also be associated with fewer number of detected B-lines $[36]$ $[36]$. The significance of these fndings and its efect on interpretation accuracy of LUS need to be further explored in large randomized controlled studies.

We recommend placing the probe on the chest wall to allow the identifcation of landmarks including the ribs, subcutaneous tissue and the pleural line. The

Fig. 1 B-lines (white arrows) which are vertical hyperechoic lines that begin at the pleural line and extend all the way down to the bottom of the ultrasound screen

probe should then be tilted until the ultrasound beam is directed perpendicular to the pleura $[37]$ $[37]$. This will result in the appearance of 2 ribs with the pleural line visualized in between and this positioning provides the characteristic "bat sign" in which the upper and lower ribs resemble the wings of the bat with acoustic shadowing and the pleural line outlining the back of the bat (Supplemental Fig. [3\)](#page-9-1) $[7, 24, 25, 28]$ $[7, 24, 25, 28]$ $[7, 24, 25, 28]$ $[7, 24, 25, 28]$ $[7, 24, 25, 28]$ $[7, 24, 25, 28]$ $[7, 24, 25, 28]$ $[7, 24, 25, 28]$. This allows structures to be readily identifed when analyzing still and dynamic images.

When performing LUS, the focus should be adjusted to be at the level of the pleural line. The gain should be reduced to allow visualization of the hyperechoic pleural line, A and B lines; however, when anatomically imaging the lung parenchyma, as in consolidated lung, the ultrasound gain will often need to be increased [[33,](#page-10-18) [38](#page-10-24), [39](#page-10-25)].

Most LUS examinations are initially performed with patients in the supine position. To examine the dorsal region of the lower lobes, patients may need to be positioned in the lateral decubitus position. The dorsal segments of the upper lobes are usually not visualized with LUS as they are located behind the scapula [\[40](#page-10-26)]. When evaluating for pleural efusions, a seated or semi-seated position is recommended to optimize visualization of the pleural fuid at the level of the costophrenic angle as fuid tend to accumulate in the most dependent portions of the chest.

From a practical point of view, scanning each lung at three points on each side as used in the modifed Bedside Lung Ultrasound in Emergency (BLUE) Protocol (described in detail in the examination protocols section below) is usually sufficient to make a quick diagnosis in patients with acute respiratory failure [[7,](#page-10-1) [25,](#page-10-23) [41](#page-10-27)]. However, scanning protocols difer in the number of the recommended scanning points and can be 6 points or more on each side [[42](#page-10-28), [43](#page-10-29)] which may be impractical to perform for every patient given the already increased workload of health care practitioners. In the original (BLUE) protocol published in 2008, scanning was performed at 3 zones on each side (anterior, lateral and posterolateral chest walls) and each zone was further divided into upper and lower halves resulting in 6 scanning points on each side [[44](#page-10-30)]. In the 2012 consensus conference on point of care lung ultrasound, scanning at 4 lung areas on each side was recommended for a complete 8 zone LUS examination [[11\]](#page-10-8). More simplifed scanning techniques have been also described to look for signs of pulmonary congestion during stress echocardiogaphy or weaning from mechanical ventilation [[45,](#page-10-31) [46](#page-10-32)].

The normal exam

A normal LUS is characterized by the presence of lung sliding seen in 2-dimensional (2D) imaging [\[7](#page-10-1), [11,](#page-10-8) [23,](#page-10-12) [25](#page-10-23), [33,](#page-10-18) [40](#page-10-26), [47](#page-11-0)]. With M-mode imaging, which represents the

interrogation of a single vertical line within a 2D image, a normal lung sliding is seen as "seashore sign" (Supplemental Fig. 4) [48]. This sign results from the normal movement of the visceral pleura which creates a fuzzy or "sandy beach" image under the subcutaneous tissue and the parietal pleural layer reminiscent of a sea shore [\[7](#page-10-1), [40,](#page-10-26) [44](#page-10-30), [49,](#page-11-2) [50](#page-11-3)]. M-mode examination is sometimes useful when it is difficult to acquire a good 2D image of the pleural line showing lung sliding. Visualization of lung sliding on 2D ultrasound or a "seashore sign" on M-mode may be especially helpful in the setting of cardiac arrest to confrm endotracheal tube (ETT) placement as endtidal CO2 may not be reliable in such a setting [\[6](#page-10-0), [51](#page-11-4)].

Normal LUS exam is also characterized by the presence of lung pulse (Supplemental Fig. [5\)](#page-9-1) and horizontal A-lines which are caused by reverberation artifact of the ultrasound beam as discussed before [[7,](#page-10-1) [11,](#page-10-8) [19](#page-10-7), [25](#page-10-23), [40](#page-10-26), [44,](#page-10-30) [49\]](#page-11-2). Isolated vertical B-lines (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)) and short vertical artifacts (also referred to as Z-lines) (Supplemental Fig. [2\)](#page-9-1) are also commonly seen in normal lungs [\[28](#page-10-16)]. Usually, they are very few in number $[24, 40, 52-54]$ $[24, 40, 52-54]$ $[24, 40, 52-54]$ $[24, 40, 52-54]$ $[24, 40, 52-54]$ $[24, 40, 52-54]$.

LUS in diferent respiratory pathologies

Table [1](#page-3-0) details the LUS findings found in a number of pathologies such as pneumothorax, mainstem intubation, atelectasis, pulmonary edema, pneumonia, pleural efusion, ARDS, and lung contusions. We also describe the LUS fndings in these various pathologies in greater detail below.

Pneumothorax

LUS in the hands of an experienced clinician can detect a pneumothorax with a much higher sensitivity and specificity compared to a portable chest x-ray $[40, 55]$ $[40, 55]$ $[40, 55]$ $[40, 55]$. It also ofers the advantage of being faster enabling the timely management of this critical diagnosis particularly in trauma cases where its application has been extensively described in the literature.

Since air tends to move to the non-dependent portion of the chest, LUS examination for pneumothorax should be performed with the patient is in the supine position, so the most non-dependent portion will be the anterior chest at the $2nd-4$ th intercostal spaces at the mid-clavicular line $[40]$ $[40]$. The presence of air between the visceral and parietal pleura will lead to the refection of the ultrasound beam. As a result, the visceral pleura will not be visualized. Additionally, there is a loss of movement of visceral pleura against the parietal pleural resulting in loss of lung sliding [[7,](#page-10-1) [11](#page-10-8), [25](#page-10-23), [44\]](#page-10-30). However, it is important to understand that lung sliding is also absent in other lung pathologies, including mainstem intubation, pulmonary adhesions, atelectasis, and with apnea [\[44,](#page-10-30) [49\]](#page-11-2).

In pneumothorax, the absence of lung sliding will be associated with the presence of A-lines and the absence of lung pulse and B-lines or other vertical artifacts originating from the pleural line [[11](#page-10-8), [40,](#page-10-26) [49](#page-11-2)]. In M-mode, a pneumothorax is characterized by the "barcode sign" or "stratosphere sign" which is a series of interrupted white and black lines caused by the absence of lung sliding and lung pulse (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)) [[49,](#page-11-2) [56](#page-11-8), [57](#page-11-9)].

In a partial pneumothorax, the transition between the normal lung pattern and the pneumothorax is seen on 2D and M-mode imaging as a "lung point" (Supplemental Fig. 6). The presence of a lung point is highly specific for pneumothorax [\[7,](#page-10-1) [40](#page-10-26), [44,](#page-10-30) [49](#page-11-2), [58](#page-11-10)] however, such transition will not be seen in a complete pneumothorax. It is also essential to exercise caution when interpreting this sign, as other conditions like bullous disease, lung contusion, pleural thickening and adhesions can generate an ultrasound pattern similar to the "lung point" [[59,](#page-11-11) [60\]](#page-11-12). It is also worth mentioning that the physiological pleural sliding on the heart can resemble the "lung point", potentially resulting in a false diagnosis of pneumothorax or overlooking a tiny pneumothorax when air is located in the left paracardiac region [[61\]](#page-11-13).

Lung Pathologies	Common LUS findings
Pneumothorax	No Lung sliding, A-lines present, No vertical artifacts, No lung pulse, ± Lung point
Mainstem Intubation	No Lung sliding, A-lines present, B-lines may be present, lung pulse present
Atelectasis	No lung sliding, B-lines present, Positive Lung pulse, Static Air bronchogram, Pulsatile flow likely absent on Color Doppler
Pulmonary Edema	Positive lung sliding, Bilateral pathologic B-lines (>3/intercostal space) , Positive lung pulse
Pneumonia	Lung hepatization, pathologic B-lines (mostly bilateral in viral and unilateral in bacterial pneumonia), Irregular pleural line (Shred sign), Dynamic air bronchogram, Pulsatile flow likely present on Color Doppler
Pleural Effusion	Anechoic area between parietal and visceral pleura, Spine sign, Sinusoidal sign, Plankton sign (in complex effusion)
ARDS	Pathologic B-lines with spared areas and irregular distribution, Lung hepatization, Dynamic air bronchogram, Loss of lung sliding, pleural line irregularities
Lung Contusion	Pathologic B-lines, Lung hepatization

Table 1 Summary of common LUS signs in diferent respiratory pathologies

Fig. 2 Top panel is a 2D image of pneumothorax depicting the pleural line (white arrow) and A-line (blue arrow) with no B-lines. Bottom panel is an M-mode image in pneumothorax showing stratosphere or Barcode sign

Figure [3](#page-4-1) shows a simple algorithm for the diagnosis of pneumothorax from Goffi et al $[62]$. Supplemental Video [2](#page-9-3) also shows ultrasound signs commonly seen in pneumothorax.

It should be also noted that in the case of subcutaneous emphysema, one will also not see lung sliding because the ultrasound beams will not travel through air in the subcutaneous tissue. Vertical hyperechoic lines known as E-lines may be seen in subcutaneous emphysema and sometimes confused with B-lines; however, E-lines don't arise from the pleural line and they don't move synchronously with respiration as in B-lines (Supplemental Fig. 7) [[63](#page-11-15)]. The "bat sign" is also not visualized in the case of subcutaneous emphysema.

Mainstem intubation

With a mainstem intubation there will be an absence of lung sliding in the non-ventilated lung. This occurs more commonly in the left lung, given the greater occurrence of right mainstem intubation because of the more vertical takeoff of the right bronchus compared to the left $[64]$ $[64]$. LUS was found to be superior to auscultation in detection of main stem intubation [[65\]](#page-11-17). A lung pulse may be present in the setting of a mainstem intubation as there is no barrier between the visceral and parietal pleura that

Fig. 3 Simple Algorithm for diagnosis of Pneumothorax. Reproduced with permission from Dr. Goffi [\[62\]](#page-11-14)

prevents transmission of cardiac movement to the parietal pleura.

Atelectasis

A characteristic LUS fnding with atelectasis are "air bronchograms" (Supplemental Fig. [8\)](#page-9-1). Air bronchograms results from trapping of air within the bronchial tree of the lung tissue resulting in hyperechoic circles. With atelectasis, the air bronchograms are static and do not move with respiration [\[7](#page-10-1), [41,](#page-10-27) [66,](#page-11-18) [67\]](#page-11-19). A lung pulse and B lines may be present in atelectasis. When atelectasis results from bronchial obstruction, lung sliding is typically absent. However, in compression atelectasis, as that induced by pleural effusion, lung sliding may still be present, particularly in the early phase, before the air is completely reabsorbed [\[68](#page-11-20)].

Pneumonia

LUS is an excellent tool for diagnosis of pneumonia having a sensitivity of about 90% and specifcity of 98% [\[44](#page-10-30), 69. The loss of lung aeration in pneumonia allows the ultrasound beam to be transmitted beyond the pleural line, and the consolidated lung appears as hypoechoic tissue that is wedge-shaped and is usually poorly defned [[40\]](#page-10-26). This results in a tissue-like density described as "pulmonary hepatization" $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$ $[25, 33, 41, 44, 70]$. Unilateral B-lines, thickening, and irregularities of the pleural line may also be seen; however, it should be noted that if the consolidation does not reach the pleura, it will not be visualized with LUS causing a false negative result for pneumonia [\[70](#page-11-22)[–72\]](#page-11-23). An irregular border often exists between the consolidated and normal lung tissue and is sometimes appreciated by ultrasound resulting in what is known as the "shred sign" (Fig. [4\)](#page-5-0) [\[7](#page-10-1)].

Air bronchograms can be characterized as static or dynamic, which refers to whether the hyperechoic circles are stationary or move with respiration, respectively, with the latter indicating an ongoing airflow that is more likely associated with consolidation rather than atelectasis [\[23](#page-10-12), [40,](#page-10-26) [41](#page-10-27), [66,](#page-11-18) [67,](#page-11-19) [70](#page-11-22), [73,](#page-11-24) [74](#page-11-25)]. In the case of static air bronchograms, the presence of pulmonary blood flow on color Doppler imaging can help to differentiate pneumonia from atelectasis as blood flow is more likely to be absent in atelectasis compared to pneumonia [\[67](#page-11-19)]. This is because in atelectasis, the collapsed normal lung is likely to exhibit hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction that leads to reduced intrapulmonary shunt or blood flow that may be challenging to observe or absent on color Doppler; whereas, in pneumonia, intrapulmonary shunting or blood fow is more likely to be visualized on color doppler because the infammation impairs hypoxic pul-monary vasoconstriction [[75–](#page-11-26)[77\]](#page-11-27). It is important to note that the overall diagnostic accuracy of LUS utilizing color

Fig. 4 Consolidated lung tissue. The irregular border "Shred sign" between the consolidated and normal lung tissue (white arrows). The lung here is surrounded by an pleural effusion (blue lines)

Doppler to diferentiate pneumonia and atelectasis is signifcantly improved when considering the clinical presentation as previously shown when combined with the simplifed Clinical Pulmonary Infection Score (sCPIS) (composed of temperature, leukocyte count, tracheal secretions, PaO2/FiO2, and chest radiography), particularly in the setting of static air bronchograms (typically associated with atelectasis) and the presence of intrapulmonary blood flow observed on color doppler where pneumonia was more likely than atelectasis when a combined LUS-sCPIS score (composed of temperature, leukocyte count, tracheal secretions, PaO2/FiO2, and color Doppler intrapulmonary shunt) was elevated [[67,](#page-11-19) [78](#page-11-28)].

LUS can also help in distinguishing between viral and bacterial pneumonia with high accuracy [\[79](#page-11-29)[–81](#page-11-30)]. In viral pneumonia, the areas of consolidation are typically subpleural in location, smaller $(0.5 cm), multiple, bilat$ eral and associated with pathologic B-lines, defned as three or more B-lines in one interspace or the presence of a confuent B line that occupies most of the interspace [[82\]](#page-11-31). Conversely, in bacterial pneumonia, consolidation tends to be unilateral, larger in size and associated

with air bronchograms; these fndings may be present in patients with viral pneuomonia, ARDS, and other respiratory pathologies with superimposed bacterial pneumonias. It is also important to highlight that scanning the dorsal zones of the lungs is crucial when assessing for bacterial pneumonia in bedridden supine patients as the disease often afects the dorsal lung regions [[70,](#page-11-22) [71](#page-11-32)]. During the COVID-19 pandemic, LUS has become increasingly recognized for its signifcant role in diagnosing viral pneumonia. The availability, practicality and the ease of sanitization of ultrasound have facilitated not only the diagnosis of COVID pneumonia but also allowed the grading of clinical severity, prediction of disease progression and the need for invasive ventilation [\[5](#page-10-33), [83–](#page-11-33)[91](#page-12-0)].

Pulmonary edema

LUS is superior to conventional chest radiography for the detection of pulmonary edema $[11, 92-94]$ $[11, 92-94]$ $[11, 92-94]$. The hallmark LUS fnding with pulmonary edema is the presence of [\[82](#page-11-31)] B-lines (Supplemental Fig. [9](#page-9-1)) defned as three or more B-lines in one interspace or the presence of a confluent B-line that occupies most of the interspace. The number of B-lines present is correlated with the degree of loss of lung aeration and the presence of interstitial and alveolar edema characterized by ground glass opacities on computed tomography (CT) [[40,](#page-10-26) [49](#page-11-2), [95](#page-12-3)].

With pulmonary edema, lung sliding is preserved, and the fnding of pathologic B-lines is usually bilateral and homogenous. This helps differentiate pulmonary edema from other lung pathologies associated with pathologic B-lines as in pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome and lung contusion [\[49](#page-11-2), [96](#page-12-4), [97](#page-12-5)]. Another key distinguishing feature is pleural line abnormalities which are absent in pulmonary edema of cardiac etiology and present in other noncardiogenic lung pathologies (such as interstitial lung disease, idiopathic pulmonary fbrosis, nonspecifc interstitial pneumonia, and acute interstitial pneumonia) associated with pathologic B-lines which also exhibit a fragmented pleural line and vertical subpleural pattern on M-mode [[98,](#page-12-6) [99\]](#page-12-7).

A potential theoretical application of LUS is in fuid resuscitation of patients in shock, where the appearance of B-lines may signify the development of pulmonary edema. This assessment may be helpful in characterizing the beneft-to-risk ratio of additional fuids administration, as proposed in the FALLS protocol by Lichenstein et al [\[25](#page-10-23)]. However, it is crucial to note that there is no rigorous data directly linking the occurrence of B-lines with worsening respiratory status following fluid administration. It is important to note the limitations of using pathologic bilateral B-lines to guide fuid resuscitation as they are non-specifc for pulmonary edema and can also be seen in viral pneumonia (such as COVID-19), ARDS, and interstitial lung disease; and bilateral B-lines present more often with bilateral pleural effusions in the setting of cardiogenic pulmonary edema [\[100](#page-12-8), [101\]](#page-12-9). While B-lines may suggest an increase in interstitial fuid, it is critical to interpret these fndings in the patients' broader clinical context and that B-lines should be evaluated alongside other clinical indicators of volume status and cardiac function to efectively guide fuid management decisions. In patients presenting with pathologic bilateral B-lines that may not be secondary to cardiogenic pulmonary edema, dynamic indices of volume responsiveness may be helpful for guiding fuid management while weighing the risks and benefits of fluid administration in the patients' broader clinical context [[102](#page-12-10), [103](#page-12-11)]. It is important to note that even in cases of cardiogenic pulmonary edema, hypotensive patients may be hypovolemic and beneft from fuid administration as previously described by Figueras and Weil et al [[104](#page-12-12)]. For example, patients experiencing cardiogenic shock may require fuids, as acute pulmonary edema such as in the case of fash pulmonary edema from impaired left ventricular diastolic dysfunction can lead to a reduction in the effective circulating blood volume $[105]$ $[105]$. Echocardiography and hemodynamic monitoring are valuable tools for assessing systolic and diastolic function, as well as dynamic measures of volume responsiveness, to optimize cardiac performance and guide therapies such as inotropes, vasodilator, vasopressor, fuid, and diuretic use to improve patient care while preventing further pulmonary edema within the patients' broader clinical context $[106-108]$ $[106-108]$ $[106-108]$.

LUS is also important in diferentiating between congestive heart failure and COPD exacerbation. In the former, there will be bilateral homogenous pathologic B-lines on LUS, often associated with the presence of pleural efusion, while with the latter, the predominant finding will be multiple A-lines $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$ $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$ $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$ $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$ $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$ $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$ $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$ $[7, 25, 44, 109-111]$. This distinction will be described in more detail in the "examination protocol" section of this article. Another important application is the diagnosis of pulmonary edema during spontaneous breathing trial, where the appearance or the increase in number of B-lines may signify an increased risk of weaning failure [\[112\]](#page-12-18).

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS)

In ARDS, the ultrasound fndings depend on the severity and stage of the disease. Early in the exudative phase of the disease, there is an accumulation of alveolar and interstitial fuid with fndings of pathologic B-lines characteristic of pulmonary edema but with a more heterogeneous distribution $[113-115]$ $[113-115]$. These LUS findings are followed either by recovery or the development of poorly defned hypoechoic areas similar in appearance

to consolidation with dynamic air bronchograms and with occasional loss of lung sliding and the presence of an irregular pleural line in the afected areas [\[49](#page-11-2), [99,](#page-12-7) [100](#page-12-8), [113](#page-12-19), [116–](#page-12-21)[120](#page-12-22)].

The importance of LUS has been highlighted in the new global defnition of ARDS where LUS by a well-trained operator has been added as one of the imaging modalities to diagnose bilateral opacities in ARDS [[121\]](#page-12-23). LUS is not only important in diagnosis, but it can also be used to predict and monitor response to various interventions as lung recruitment and prone positioning [\[122](#page-12-24)]. For example, the presence of areas of normally aerated lung and lower LUS score (described below) were found to predict a more favorable response to prone positioning [[123–](#page-12-25)[125](#page-12-26)].

Pleural efusion

LUS imaging of a pleural efusion will result in an anechoic space in the dependent lung regions between the parietal and visceral pleura (Supplemental Fig. [10\)](#page-9-1) [[7,](#page-10-1) [41](#page-10-27), [49\]](#page-11-2). LUS has a sensitivity of 83–100% and specifcity of 93–100% in the diagnosis of pleural effusion [[7,](#page-10-1) [126,](#page-12-27) [127](#page-13-0)].

In the absence of any pleural fuid, extension of the thoracic spine above the diaphragm should not be visualized with LUS as air prevents the transmission of the ultrasound beam; however, in the presence of an efusion, the pleural fuid will create an acoustic window through which the spine can be seen (Supplemental Fig. 10). This LUS fnding is referred to as the "spine sign" and has a sensitivity and specifcity of 92.9% and 87.5% respectively for the presence of a pleural efusion [\[128](#page-13-1), [129](#page-13-2)]. Also, in the absence of pleural fuid, the expansion of the lung during inspiration pushes the diaphragm down and this has been described as "curtain sign". This sign is absent in pleural efusion and has been described as the "absent curtain sign" [\[28\]](#page-10-16).

LUS can also be helpful in determining the nature of the pleural fuid and diferentiating between a transudative and an exudative efusion. Pleural efusions that are complex-appearing, septated or with echogenic patterns are almost always exudative in nature. The "plankton sign" which describes floating debris in complex effusions that appear as punctiform opacities that move with respiration and cardiac pulsations and is usually associated with exudative effusions $[24]$ $[24]$. In patients with malignancies, these echogenic foating particles that move with respiration and cardiac pulsations has been associated with malignant pleural effusions $[130]$ $[130]$. It is important to note that a simple anechoic appearance of an efusion has a low predictive value of identifying a transudative efusion [\[131–](#page-13-4)[135\]](#page-13-5).

Visualization of lung parenchyma foating within the pleural fuid is referred to as the "sinusoidal sign"

(Supplemental Fig. 10). The sinusoidal sign is commonly seen in efusions but can be absent if the volume of efusion is large enough to displace the lung from the ultrasound view $[7, 41]$ $[7, 41]$ $[7, 41]$ $[7, 41]$ $[7, 41]$. The sinusoid sign may also be absent in trapped lung pathology such as in the case of empyema and malignant efusions where the formation of fbrous pleural peels prevents re-expansion of the lung. Supplemental Video [3](#page-9-4) highlights examples of diferent LUS signs in pleural effusion $[28]$ $[28]$. Estimation of pleural fluid volume can be done through the application of diferent published formulas; however, a more pragmatic approach involves categorizing pleural effusion into small, moderate or large. In general, an efusion depth of more than 4–5 cm typically corresponds to a volume exceeding 1000 ml and more likely to be clinically relevant [[133](#page-13-6), [136](#page-13-7)].

Contusion

Signs of lung contusion on LUS include an increase in the number of B-lines in the afected lung regions as well as the presence of consolidation. Ultrasound can diagnose lung contusion earlier than a conventional chest x-ray with a much higher sensitivity and specifcity [[49](#page-11-2), [137](#page-13-8)[–139](#page-13-9)].

Examination protocols, scoring systems and a framework for LUS

Several protocols have been developed to facilitate a structured approach to LUS. Most of these protocols also incorporate a more comprehensive ultrasound examinations of the heart, abdomen and venous system to aid in patient diagnosis and timely management. A full discussion of these applications is beyond the scope of this article but have been recently reviewed [\[7](#page-10-1), [11](#page-10-8), [25,](#page-10-23) [44](#page-10-30), [140](#page-13-10)].

One of the most commonly used LUS protocols is the "the bedside lung ultrasound in emergency" (BLUE) protocol which is used for the rapid diagnosis of acute respiratory failure and includes lung as well as vascular ultrasound when indicated. It is integrated with vital signs and other clinical data for maximal efficiency $[7, 1]$ $[7, 1]$ [25,](#page-10-23) [44](#page-10-30), [140](#page-13-10), [141\]](#page-13-11). The BLUE protocol provides accuracy approaching 90% (81%-100%) in diagnosing diferent lung pathologies such as pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, pneumothorax, and COPD or asthma, however it is worth mentioning that it was a single-center study by two highly experienced operators [[25](#page-10-23)].

The (BLUE) protocol, first published in 2008, consisted of scanning 3 zones on each side (anterior, lateral and posterolateral chest walls) and each zone was further divided into upper and lower halves resulting in 6 scanning points on each side $[44]$ $[44]$ $[44]$. This protocol was then modifed into a more simplifed approach consisting of scanning at only three standardized points called the

"Blue points" seen and described in Supplemental Fig. [11](#page-9-1). The initial step in the modified BLUE-protocol is look-ing for lung sliding on both sides. The algorithm in Fig. [5](#page-8-0) is then followed, and this categorizes the patients into diferent disease profles including pulmonary edema, pulmonary embolism, pneumonia, pneumothorax, and COPD or asthma [[7\]](#page-10-1). An *A-profle* associates Lung sliding with A-lines, a *B-profle* associates Lung sliding with B-lines, an *A/B-profle* is characterized by an A-profle in one lung and B-profle in the other, a *C-profle* is characterized by the presence of Anterior lung consolidation, an *A'-profle* is an A profle with negative lung sliding, and fnally a *B'-profle* which is B profle with negative lung sliding [\[7](#page-10-1), [25](#page-10-23)]. It is important to note that for many practical algorithms that facilitate clinical diagnoses, including the BLUE protocol, there is often signifcant overlap between fndings seen in various pathological conditions making dichotomic categorization challenging. For instance, it can be challenging to diference between cardiogenic pulmonary edema and ARDS given that the B-profle may be seen in early ARDS before it progresses towards a consolidative pattern (C-pattern) as it progresses towards severe ARDS [[100,](#page-12-8) [142,](#page-13-12) [143](#page-13-13)].

Another LUS protocol is the "Fluid administration limited by lung sonography" (FALLS) protocol. This protocol was initially developed to guide fuid resuscitation in unstable patients through the use of real-time cardiac

and LUS to sequentially assess for obstructive, cardiogenic, hypovolemic, and distributive shock. This protocol uses the emergence of pathologic B-lines on LUS as the end point of fuid resuscitation, however, in one study an increase in the number of B-lines has been shown to have a sensitivity of 80% and specifcity of only 57% in distinguishing between patients who responded to fuid challenge and those who didn't respond (Supplemental Fig. [12\)](#page-9-1) [[25,](#page-10-23) [144](#page-13-14)]. It is important to note that there are signifcant limitations with using the emergence of pathologic B-lines on LUS to guide fuid resuscitation given that evolving bilateral B-lines are non-specifc for pulmonary edema and may also be seen in other pathologies such as viral pneumonia, ARDS, pulmonary contusions, and acute interstitial lung disease.

Diferent scoring systems have also been developed to grade the severity of lung pathologies. This allows healthcare providers to use LUS quantitively, not only for diagnosis, but also to monitor disease progression and the response to therapy $[145-148]$ $[145-148]$ $[145-148]$. The discussion of diferent scoring systems and their application in clinical practice are beyond the scope of this article, but one of the most frequently used ones is the "lung ultrasound score". In this scoring system, each lung region examined is given a score from 0 to 3 depending on the degree of loss of aeration where a score of 0 represents normally aerated lung with no more than two B-lines and a score

Fig. 5 The Bedside Lung Ultrasound in Emergency (BLUE) Protocol for patients with acute respiratory failure. **A-profle**: Lung sliding+A-lines, **B-profle**: Lung sliding+B-lines, **A/B-profle**: A-profle in one lung and B-profle in the other, **C-profle**: Anterior lung consolidation, **A'-profle**: A profle+negative lung sliding, **B'-profle**: B profle+negative lung sliding. Reproduced with permission from Dr. Lichtenstein [[7,](#page-10-1) [25\]](#page-10-23)

of 3 represents complete consolidation of the lung parenchyma. The scores of lung regions examined are then added and is used for follow-up of disease progression and response to therapy such as antibiotic treatment, lung recruitment and prone positioning [[123,](#page-12-25) [124](#page-12-28), [145](#page-13-15), [149](#page-13-17)].

One of the LUS frameworks is the I-AIM framework (Indication, Acquisition, Interpretation and Medical decision-making) [\[28](#page-10-16), [150](#page-13-18)]. It follows a stepwise approach for performing a focused sonographic examination. A systematic approach to LUS using the I-AIM framework has been described by Kruisselbrink et al. and represent a practical way of using LUS to diagnose diferent pathologies [[28\]](#page-10-16). It starts with having an indication for LUS (respiratory symptoms of unknown etiology or unexplained radiographic fndings), followed by LUS image acquisition (patient positioning, probe selection, picture analysis and an examination protocol), then interpretation (presence or absence of suspected fndings, generating a diferential diagnosis and focused examination of other structures if needed) and fnally ending with a medical decision making in light of the patient's history, physical exam fndings, lab and radiological data [[28\]](#page-10-16). It is also essential to store the acquired images and report LUS fndings for follow-up and medicolegal purposes. Supplemental Fig. [13](#page-9-1) shows a sample LUS report from Kruisselbrink et al. [[28\]](#page-10-16).

Training and achieving competence in lung ultrasound

The use of LUS is rapidly growing, and its growth was accelerated by the COVID-19 pandemic [[5,](#page-10-33) [151](#page-13-19)]. Its diagnostic value is not restricted to physicians but also includes other healthcare professionals as advanced practice providers, paramedics and respiratory therapists [[14,](#page-10-34) [15,](#page-10-4) [152–](#page-13-20)[154\]](#page-13-21). Widespread application of LUS to the daily practice will add additional tools to these providers' armamentarium to help change the medical care strategy that may translate to improved patient care outcomes [[155\]](#page-13-22). However, to ensure the proper use of LUS, structured training programs are of paramount importance because if adequate training is not provided, serious diagnostic errors could result which may adversely impact clinical decision making. Supplemental Table [1](#page-9-5) discusses some of these major societal programs' requirements and recommendation specific to LUS $[156-165]$ $[156-165]$. The supplemental text includes detailed information related to training and achieving competence in lung ultrasound.

Conclusion

LUS is becoming an essential tool for bedside diagnosis of a variety of lung pathologies. Characteristic fndings are well characterized and can be easily learned by

critical care, pulmonary, and anesthesia providers, as well as respiratory therapists and other health care providers. A variety of LUS protocols exist and provide a structured approach to diagnosis. It is a non-invasive and a very reliable tool that can be used by members of the patient's treatment team to more quickly and accurately diagnose and treat the underlying etiology of their patient's respiratory issues.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-024-05102-y) [org/10.1186/s13054-024-05102-y.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-024-05102-y)

Normal LUS signs. Reproduced from Kruisselbrink et al. with permission from Dr. Goffi28. LUS signs in Pneumothorax. Reproduced from Kruisselbrink et al. with permission from Dr. Goffi28. Common LUS signs in Pleural effusion. Reproduced from Kruisselbrink et al. with permission from Dr. Goffi28. Additional fle 4 Additional fle 5 Additional fle 6

Author contributions

MB, EAB, AG, LB, and MGC wrote and reviewed the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

Funding

None.

Declarations

Human ethics and consent to participate declarations Not applicable.

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflicts of interest

None.

Received: 27 May 2024 Accepted: 15 September 2024 Published online: 07 October 2024

References

- 1. Kendall JL, Hofenberg SR, Smith RS. History of emergency and critical care ultrasound: the evolution of a new imaging paradigm. Crit Care Med. 2007.<https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000260623.38982.83>.
- 2. Rice JA, Brewer J, Speaks T, Choi C, Lahsaei P, Romito BT. The POCUS consult: how point of care ultrasound helps guide medical decision making. Int J Gen Med. 2021;14:9789–806. [https://doi.org/10.2147/](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S339476) [IJGM.S339476.](https://doi.org/10.2147/IJGM.S339476)
- 3. Arnold MJ, Jonas CE, Carter RE. Point-of-care ultrasonography. Am Fam Physician. 2020;101(5):275–85.
- 4. Soldati G, Demi M, Smargiassi A, Inchingolo R, Demi L. The role of ultrasound lung artifacts in the diagnosis of respiratory diseases. Expert Rev Respir Med. 2019;13(2):163–72. [https://doi.org/10.1080/17476348.2019.](https://doi.org/10.1080/17476348.2019.1565997) [1565997.](https://doi.org/10.1080/17476348.2019.1565997)
- 5. Convissar DL, Gibson LE, Berra L, Bittner EA, Chang MG. Application of lung ultrasound during the COVID-19 pandemic: a narrative review. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(2):345–50. [https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.00000](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004929) [00000004929](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000004929).
- 6. White-Dzuro GA, Gibson LE, Berra L, Bittner EA, Chang MG. Portable handheld point-of-care ultrasound for detecting unrecognized esophageal intubations. Respir Care. 2022;67(5):607–12. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.09239) [4187/respcare.09239](https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.09239).
- 7. Lichtenstein D. Lung ultrasound in the critically ill. Curr Opin Crit Care. 2014;20(3):315–22.<https://doi.org/10.1097/MCC.0000000000000096>.
- 8. Abushady NM, Awad HAS, Kamel DR, Fouda EM, Ahmed NT, Dawoud MO. Role of lung ultrasound in the assessment of recruitment maneuvers in ventilated preterm neonates with respiratory distress syndrome and its correlation with tracheal IL-6 levels: a randomized controlled trial. J Neonatal Perinatal Med. 2021;14(3):369–74. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3233/NPM-200605) [3233/NPM-200605](https://doi.org/10.3233/NPM-200605).
- 9. Mongodi S, De Vita N, Salve G, et al. The role of lung ultrasound monitoring in early detection of ventilator-associated pneumonia in COVID-19 patients: a retrospective observational study. J Clin Med. 2022. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11113001>.
- 10. Raheja R, Brahmavar M, Joshi D, Raman D. Application of Lung Ultrasound in Critical Care Setting: a Review. Cureus. 2019. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.5233) [10.7759/cureus.5233.](https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.5233)
- 11. Volpicelli G, Elbarbary M, Blaivas M, et al. International evidence-based recommendations for point-of-care lung ultrasound. Intensive Care Med. 2012;38(4):577–91. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-012-2513-4.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-012-2513-4)
- 12. Ovesen SH, Clausen AH, Kirkegaard H, et al. Point-of-care lung ultrasound in emergency medicine: a scoping review with an interactive database. Chest. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2024.02.053>.
- 13. Karthika M, Wong D, Nair SG, Pillai LV, Mathew CS. Lung ultrasound: the emerging role of respiratory therapists. Respir Care. 2019;64(2):217–29. [https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.06179.](https://doi.org/10.4187/respcare.06179)
- 14. Leibenguth E, Magdic K, Loeslie V, Yadav H, Guttendorf J. Implementation of pulmonary ultrasound training for critical care advanced practice providers. J Am Assoc Nurse Pract. 2019;31(4):247–54. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1097/JXX.0000000000000128) doi.org/10.1097/JXX.0000000000000128.
- 15. Donovan JK, Burton SO, Jones SL, Meadley BN. Use of point-of-care ultrasound by non-physicians to assess respiratory distress in the out-of-hospital environment: a scoping review. Prehosp Disaster Med. 2022;37(4):520–8. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1049023X22000711>.
- 16. Dietrich CF, Mathis G, Blaivas M, et al. Lung artefacts and their use. Med Ultrason. 2016;18(4):488–99.<https://doi.org/10.11152/mu-878>.
- 17. Aldrich JE. Basic physics of ultrasound imaging. Crit Care Med. 2007;35(5 Suppl):S131–7. [https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000260624.](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000260624.99430.22) [99430.22](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000260624.99430.22).
- 18. Shriki J. Ultrasound physics. Crit Care Clin. 2014. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2013.08.004) [1016/j.ccc.2013.08.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccc.2013.08.004).
- 19. Gargani L, Volpicelli G. How I do it: lung ultrasound. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-7120-12-25>.
- 20. Picano E, Pellikka PA. Ultrasound of extravascular lung water: a new standard for pulmonary congestion. Eur Heart J. 2016;37(27):2097–104. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurheartj/ehw164>.
- 21. Pinton G, Aubry JF, Bossy E, Muller M, Pernot M, Tanter M. Attenuation, scattering, and absorption of ultrasound in the skull bone. Med Phys. 2012;39(1):299–307.<https://doi.org/10.1118/1.3668316>.
- 22. Evans JA, Tavakoli MB. Ultrasonic attenuation and velocity in bone. Phys Med Biol. 1990;35(10):1387–96. [https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/35/](https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/35/10/004) [10/004](https://doi.org/10.1088/0031-9155/35/10/004).
- 23. Volpicelli G. Lung sonography. J Ultrasound Med. 2013;32(1):165–71. [https://doi.org/10.7863/jum.2013.32.1.165.](https://doi.org/10.7863/jum.2013.32.1.165)
- 24. Bhoil R, Ahluwalia A, Chopra R, Surya M, Bhoil S. Signs and lines in lung ultrasound. J Ultrason. 2021;21(86):e225–33. [https://doi.org/10.15557/](https://doi.org/10.15557/JoU.2021.0036) [JoU.2021.0036](https://doi.org/10.15557/JoU.2021.0036).
- 25. Lichtenstein DA. BLUE-protocol and FALLS-protocol: two applications of lung ultrasound in the critically ill. Chest. 2015;147(6):1659–70. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-1313) doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-1313.
- Tognon C, Pulvirenti R, Pizzi S, et al. Lung ultrasound to assess one lung ventilation: a pediatric case series. J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A. 2022;32(5):566–70.<https://doi.org/10.1089/lap.2021.0839>.
- 27. Johannessen Ø, Claggett B, Lewis EF, et al. A-lines and B-lines in patients with acute heart failure. Eur Heart J Acute Cardiovasc Care. 2021;10(8):909–17. [https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjacc/zuab046.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjacc/zuab046)
- 28. Kruisselbrink R, Chan V, Cibinel GA, Abrahamson S, Goffi A. I-AIM (Indication, acquisition, interpretation, medical decision-making) framework for point of care lung ultrasound. Anesthesiology. 2017;127(3):568–82. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000001779>.
- 29. Haaksma ME, Smit JM, Heldeweg MLA, Pisani L, Elbers P, Tuinman PR. Lung ultrasound and B-lines: B careful! Intensive Care Med. 2020;46(3):544–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05911-8>.
- 30. Feldman MK, Katyal S, Blackwood MS. US artifacts. Radiographics. 2009;29(4):1179–89.<https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.294085199>.
- 31. Volpicelli G. Signifcance of comet tail artifacts at lung ultrasound. Am J Emerg Med. 2007;25(8):981–2. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2007.01.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2007.01.009) [009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2007.01.009).
- 32. Volpicelli G, Caramello V, Cardinale L, Mussa A, Bar F, Frascisco MF. Detection of sonographic B-lines in patients with normal lung or radiographic alveolar consolidation. Med Sci Monit. 2008;14(3):CR122-8.
- 33. Moro F, Buonsenso D, Moruzzi MC, et al. How to perform lung ultrasound in pregnant women with suspected COVID-19. Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol. 2020;55(5):593–8.<https://doi.org/10.1002/uog.22028>.
- 34. Bobbia X, Chabannon M, Chevallier T, et al. Assessment of fve diferent probes for lung ultrasound in critically ill patients: a pilot study. Am J Emerg Med. 2018;36(7):1265–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.03.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.03.077) [077](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2018.03.077).
- 35. Walsh MH, Smyth LM, Desy JR, et al. Lung ultrasound: a comparison of image interpretation accuracy between curvilinear and phased array transducers. Australas J Ultrasound Med. 2023;26(3):150–6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajum.12347) [org/10.1002/ajum.12347.](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajum.12347)
- 36. Pivetta E, Baldassa F, Masellis S, Bovaro F, Lupia E, Maule MM. Sources of variability in the detection of B-Lines. Using Lung Ultrasound Ultrasound Med Biol. 2018;44(6):1212–6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultra](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.02.018) [smedbio.2018.02.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2018.02.018).
- 37. Bahner DP, Blickendorf JM, Bockbrader M, et al. Language of transducer manipulation: codifying terms for efective teaching. J Ultrasound Med. 2016;35(1):183–8.<https://doi.org/10.7863/ultra.15.02036>.
- 38. Duggan NM, Goldsmith AJ, Saud AAA, Ma IWY, Shokoohi H, Liteplo AS. Optimizing lung ultrasound: the efect of depth, gain and focal position on sonographic B-Lines. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2022;48(8):1509–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2022.03.015>.
- 39. Matthias I, Panebianco NL, Maltenfort MG, Dean AJ, Baston C. Efect of machine settings on ultrasound assessment of B-lines. J Ultrasound Med. 2020;40(10):2039–46.<https://doi.org/10.1002/jum.15581>.
- 40. Bouhemad B, Zhang M, Lu Q, Rouby JJ. Clinical review: bedside lung ultrasound in critical care practice. Crit Care. 2007;11(1):205. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/cc5668) [org/10.1186/cc5668](https://doi.org/10.1186/cc5668).
- 41. Ford JW, Heiberg J, Brennan AP, et al. A pilot assessment of 3 point-ofcare strategies for diagnosis of perioperative lung pathology. Anesth Analg. 2017;124(3):734–42. [https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000001726) [001726](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000001726).
- 42. Tung-Chen Y, Ossaba-Vélez S, Acosta Velásquez KS, et al. The impact of diferent lung ultrasound protocols in the assessment of lung lesions in COVID-19 patients: is there an ideal lung ultrasound protocol? J Ultrasound. 2022;25(3):483–91.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40477-021-00610-x>.
- 43. Bertolone DT, De Colle C, Rozza F, et al. Lung ultrasound: a narrative review and proposed protocol for patients admitted to Cardiac Rehabilitation Unit. Monaldi Arch Chest Dis. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.4081/](https://doi.org/10.4081/monaldi.2021.1753) [monaldi.2021.1753](https://doi.org/10.4081/monaldi.2021.1753).
- 44. Lichtenstein DA, Mezière GA. Relevance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of acute respiratory failure: the BLUE protocol. Chest. 2008;134(1):117–25. [https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-2800.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-2800)
- 45. Scali MC, Zagatina A, Ciampi Q, et al. Lung ultrasound and pulmonary congestion during stress echocardiography. JACC Cardiovasc Imaging. 2020;13(10):2085–95.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcmg.2020.04.020>.
- 46. Mongodi S, Via G, Bouhemad B, Storti E, Mojoli F, Braschi A. Usefulness of combined bedside lung ultrasound and echocardiography to assess weaning failure from mechanical ventilation: a suggestive case*. Crit Care Med. 2013;41(8):e182–5. [https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3182](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828e928d) [8e928d](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e31828e928d).
- 47. Breitkreutz R, Seibel A, Zechner PM. Ultrasound-guided evaluation of lung sliding for widespread use? Resuscitation. 2012;83(3):273–4. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.12.034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resuscitation.2011.12.034)
- 48. Saul T, Siadecki SD, Berkowitz R, Rose G, Matilsky D, Sauler A. M-Mode ultrasound applications for the emergency medicine physician. J Emerg Med. 2015;49(5):686–92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2015.06.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2015.06.059) [059](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2015.06.059).
- 49. Piette E, Daoust R, Denault A. Basic concepts in the use of thoracic and lung ultrasound. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol. 2013;26(1):20–30. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0b013e32835afd40) [org/10.1097/ACO.0b013e32835afd40.](https://doi.org/10.1097/ACO.0b013e32835afd40)
- 50. Yamaguchi Y, Moharir A, Burrier C, Nomura T, Tobias JD. Point-of-Care lung ultrasound to evaluate lung isolation during one-lung ventilation in children: narrative review. Med Devices (Auckl). 2020;13:385–9. [https://doi.org/10.2147/MDER.S265074.](https://doi.org/10.2147/MDER.S265074)
- 51. Volpicelli G. Usefulness of emergency ultrasound in nontraumatic cardiac arrest. Am J Emerg Med. 2011;29(2):216–23. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2009.03.018) [1016/j.ajem.2009.03.018.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2009.03.018)
- 52. Mayr U, Lukas M, Habenicht L, et al. B-Lines scores derived from lung ultrasound provide accurate prediction of extravascular lung water index: an observational study in critically ill patients. J Intensive Care Med. 2022;37(1):21–31. [https://doi.org/10.1177/0885066620967655.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0885066620967655)
- 53. Parri N, Allinovi M, Giacalone M, Corsini I. To B or not to B The rationale for quantifying B-lines in pediatric lung diseases. Pediatr Pulmonol. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ppul.26185>.
- 54. Gullett J, Donnelly JP, Sinert R, et al. Interobserver agreement in the evaluation of B-lines using bedside ultrasound. J Crit Care. 2015;30(6):1395–9.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.08.021>.
- 55. Fei Q, Lin Y, Yuan TM. Lung ultrasound, a better choice for neonatal pneumothorax: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2021;47(3):359–69. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.11.011) [011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.11.011).
- 56. Chuang TJ, Lai CC. Sonographic barcode sign of pneumothorax. QJM. 2017;110(8):525–6.<https://doi.org/10.1093/qjmed/hcx088>.
- 57. Scialanga B, Buonsenso D, Scateni S, et al. Lung ultrasound to detect pneumothorax in children evaluated for acute chest pain in the emergency department: an observational pilot study. Front Pediatr. 2022;10: 812246. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.812246.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2022.812246)
- 58. Santos-Silva J, Lichtenstein D, Tuinman PR, Elbers PWG. The lung point, still a sign specifc to pneumothorax. Intensive Care Med. 2019;45(9):1327–8.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05677-z>.
- 59. Skulec R, Parizek T, David M, Matousek V, Cerny V. Lung point sign in ultrasound diagnostics of pneumothorax: imitations and variants. Emerg Med Int. 2021;2021:6897946. [https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6897946) [6897946.](https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/6897946)
- 60. Steenvoorden TS, Hilderink B, Elbers PWG, Tuinman PR. Lung point in the absence of pneumothorax. Intensive Care Med. 2018;44(8):1329–30. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-018-5112-1>.
- 61. Soldati G, Testa A, Sher S, Pignataro G, La Sala M, Silveri NG. Occult traumatic pneumothorax: diagnostic accuracy of lung ultrasonography in the emergency department. Chest. 2008;133(1):204–11. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-1595) [org/10.1378/chest.07-1595.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-1595)
- 62. Goffi A, Kruisselbrink R, Volpicelli G. The sound of air: point-of-care lung ultrasound in perioperative medicine. Can J Anaesth. 2018;65(4):399– 416. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-018-1062-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-018-1062-x)
- 63. Francisco MJ, Rahal A, Vieira FA, Silva PS, Funari MB. Advances in lung ultrasound. Einstein (Sao Paulo). 2016;14(3):443–8. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-45082016MD3557) [1590/S1679-45082016MD3557](https://doi.org/10.1590/S1679-45082016MD3557).
- 64. Yang FM, Ma BZ, Liu Y, et al. Lung ultrasound for detecting tracheal and mainstem intubation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2022;48(1):3–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2021.09.014) [2021.09.014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2021.09.014)
- 65. Ramsingh D, Frank E, Haughton R, et al. Auscultation versus point-ofcare ultrasound to determine endotracheal versus bronchial intubation: a diagnostic accuracy study. Anesthesiology. 2016;124(5):1012–20. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000001073>.
- 66. Lichtenstein D, Mezière G, Seitz J. The dynamic air bronchogram. A lung ultrasound sign of alveolar consolidation ruling out atelectasis. Chest. 2009. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.08-2281>.
- 67. Haaksma ME, Smit JM, Heldeweg MLA, et al. Extended lung ultrasound to diferentiate between pneumonia and atelectasis in critically ill

patients: a diagnostic accuracy study. Crit Care Med. 2022;50(5):750–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000005303>.

- 68. Elia F, AndreaMolino PF, GiovanniAprà Franco. Lung ultrasound in the reexpansion of pulmonary atelectasis. Internal Emergency Med. 2011;6:461–3.
- 69. Chavez MA, Shams N, Ellington LE, et al. Lung ultrasound for the diagnosis of pneumonia in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Respir Res. 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1465-9921-15-50>.
- 70. Staub LJ, Biscaro RRM, Maurici R. Accuracy and applications of lung ultrasound to diagnose ventilator-associated pneumonia: a systematic review. J Intensive Care Med. 2018;33(8):447–55. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0885066617737756) [1177/0885066617737756](https://doi.org/10.1177/0885066617737756).
- 71. Kameda T, Mizuma Y, Taniguchi H, Fujita M, Taniguchi N. Point-of-care lung ultrasound for the assessment of pneumonia: a narrative review in the COVID-19 era. J Med Ultrason. 2021. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10396-020-01074-y) [s10396-020-01074-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10396-020-01074-y).
- 72. Reissig A, Copetti R. Lung ultrasound in community-acquired pneumonia and in interstitial lung diseases. Respiration. 2014;87(3):179–89. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000357449>.
- 73. Akutagawa K. Dynamic air bronchogram and lung hepatization: ultrasound for early diagnosis of pneumonia. Med Ultrason. 2021;23(2):238– 9.<https://doi.org/10.11152/mu-3136>.
- 74. Shah A, Oliva C, Stem C, Cummings EQ. Application of dynamic air bronchograms on lung ultrasound to diagnose pneumonia in undifferentiated respiratory distress. Respir Med Case Rep. 2022;39: 101706. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmcr.2022.101706.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmcr.2022.101706)
- 75. Yuan A, Yang PC, Lee L, et al. Reactive pulmonary artery vasoconstriction in pulmonary consolidation evaluated by color Doppler ultrasonography. Ultrasound Med Biol. 2000;26(1):49–56. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-5629(99)00118-0) [1016/s0301-5629\(99\)00118-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0301-5629(99)00118-0).
- 76. Gorg C, Bert T. Transcutaneous colour Doppler sonography of lung consolidations: review and pictorial essay. Part 1: pathophysiologic and colour Doppler sonographic basics of pulmonary vascularity. Ultraschall Med. 2004.<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-813076>.
- 77. Gorg C, Bert T. Transcutaneous colour Doppler sonography of lung consolidations: review and pictorial essay. Part 2: colour Doppler sonographic patterns of pulmonary consolidations. Ultraschall Med. 2004. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-813091>.
- 78. Dureau P, Bougle A, Melac AT, et al. Colour Doppler ultrasound after major cardiac surgery improves diagnostic accuracy of the pulmonary infection score in acute respiratory failure: a prospective observational study. Eur J Anaesthesiol. 2019;36(9):676–82. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.0000000000001022) [EJA.0000000000001022.](https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.0000000000001022)
- 79. Berce V, Tomazin M, Gorenjak M, Berce T, Lovrenčič B. The usefulness of lung ultrasound for the aetiological diagnosis of communityacquired pneumonia in children. Sci Rep. 2019. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54499-y) [s41598-019-54499-y](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54499-y).
- 80. Malla D, Rathi V, Gomber S, Upreti L. Can lung ultrasound diferentiate between bacterial and viral pneumonia in children? J Clin Ultrasound. 2021;49(2):91–100. [https://doi.org/10.1002/jcu.22951.](https://doi.org/10.1002/jcu.22951)
- 81. Tsung JW, Kessler DO, Shah VP. Prospective application of clinician-performed lung ultrasonography during the 2009 H1N1 infuenza A pandemic: distinguishing viral from bacterial pneumonia. Crit Ultrasound J. 2012. [https://doi.org/10.1186/2036-7902-4-16.](https://doi.org/10.1186/2036-7902-4-16)
- Lichtenstein D, Meziere G, Biderman P, Gepner A, Barre O. The comettail artefact. An ultrasound sign of alveolar-interstitial syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1997. [https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.156.5.](https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.156.5.96-07096) [96-07096](https://doi.org/10.1164/ajrccm.156.5.96-07096).
- 83. Xing C, Li Q, Du H, Kang W, Lian J, Yuan L. Lung ultrasound fndings in patients with COVID-19 pneumonia. Crit Care. 2020. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-02876-9) [1186/s13054-020-02876-9](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-020-02876-9).
- 84. Soldati G, Smargiassi A, Inchingolo R, et al. Is there a role for lung ultrasound during the COVID-19 pandemic? J Ultrasound Med. 2020;39(7):1459–62.<https://doi.org/10.1002/jum.15284>.
- 85. Lichter Y, Topilsky Y, Taieb P, et al. Lung ultrasound predicts clinical course and outcomes in COVID-19 patients. Intensive Care Med. 2020;46(10):1873–83.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06212-1>.
- 86. Peixoto AO, Costa RM, Uzun R, Fraga AMA, Ribeiro JD, Marson FAL. Applicability of lung ultrasound in COVID-19 diagnosis and evaluation of the disease progression: a systematic review. Pulmonology. 2021;27(6):529–62.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pulmoe.2021.02.004>.
- 87. Gibson LE, Bittner EA, Chang MG. Handheld ultrasound devices: An emerging technology to reduce viral spread during the Covid-19 pandemic. Am J Infect Control. 2020;48(8):968–9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2020.05.041) [ajic.2020.05.041.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajic.2020.05.041)
- 88. Patrick Lindsay M, Lauren Gibson, Edward A, Bittner, Marvin GC, Portable point of care ultrasound (PPOCUS): an emerging technology for improving patient safety. Anesthesia Patient Safety Foundation. 2020;35(1)
- Gibson LE, Convissar D, Berra L, Bittner EA, Chang MG. In response. Anesth Analg. 2020;131(5):e234–5. [https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.00000](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000005144) [00000005144](https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000005144).
- 90. Skaarup SH, Aagaard R, Ovesen SH, et al. Focused lung ultrasound to predict respiratory failure in patients with symptoms of COVID-19: a multicentre prospective cohort study. ERJ Open Res. 2022. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00128-2022) [org/10.1183/23120541.00128-2022.](https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00128-2022)
- 91. Caroselli C, Blaivas M, Marcosignori M, et al. Early lung ultrasound fndings in patients With COVID-19 pneumonia: a retrospective multicenter study of 479 patients. J Ultrasound Med. 2022;41(10):2547–56. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1002/jum.15944) doi.org/10.1002/jum.15944.
- 92. Wang Y, Shen Z, Lu X, Zhen Y, Li H. Sensitivity and specificity of ultrasound for the diagnosis of acute pulmonary edema: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Ultrason. 2018;1(1):32–6. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.11152/mu-1223) [org/10.11152/mu-1223.](https://doi.org/10.11152/mu-1223)
- 93. Ghauri SK, Mustafa KJ, Javaeed A, Khan AS. Accuracy of lung ultrasound and chest X-rays in diagnosing acute pulmonary oedema in patients presenting with acute dyspnoea in emergency department. J Pak Med Assoc. 2021;71(10):2423–5. [https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.03-453.](https://doi.org/10.47391/JPMA.03-453)
- 94. Martindale JL, Noble VE, Liteplo A. Diagnosing pulmonary edema: lung ultrasound versus chest radiography. Eur J Emerg Med. 2013;20(5):356– 60. [https://doi.org/10.1097/MEJ.0b013e32835c2b88.](https://doi.org/10.1097/MEJ.0b013e32835c2b88)
- 95. Assaad S, Kratzert WB, Shelley B, Friedman MB, Perrino A. Assessment of pulmonary edema: principles and practice. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2018;32(2):901–14. [https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2017.08.028.](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2017.08.028)
- 96. Soldati G, Demi M. The use of lung ultrasound images for the differential diagnosis of pulmonary and cardiac interstitial pathology. J Ultrasound. 2017;20(2):91–6. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s40477-017-0244-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40477-017-0244-7)
- 97. Singh AK, Mayo PH, Koenig S, Talwar A, Narasimhan M. The use of M-Mode ultrasonography to diferentiate the causes of B lines. Chest. 2018;153(3):689–96. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2017.10.019.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2017.10.019)
- 98. Heldeweg MLA, Smit MR, Kramer-Elliott SR, et al. Lung ultrasound signs to diagnose and discriminate interstitial syndromes in ICU patients: a diagnostic accuracy study in two cohorts. Crit Care Med. 2022;50(11):1607–17. [https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000005620.](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0000000000005620)
- 99. Smit MR, Hagens LA, Heijnen NFL, et al. Lung ultrasound prediction model for acute respiratory distress syndrome: a multicenter prospective observational study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2023. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202210-1882OC) [org/10.1164/rccm.202210-1882OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202210-1882OC).
- 100. Copetti R, Soldati G, Copetti P. Chest sonography: a useful tool to differentiate acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema from acute respiratory distress syndrome. Cardiovasc Ultrasound. 2008. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-7120-6-16) [1186/1476-7120-6-16](https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-7120-6-16).
- 101. Chong WH, Saha BK, Conuel E, Chopra A. The incidence of pleural efusion in COVID-19 pneumonia: State-of-the-art review. Heart Lung Jul-Aug. 2021;50(4):481–90. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2021.02.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2021.02.015) [015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrtlng.2021.02.015).
- 102. Chiumello D, Fioccola A. Recent advances in cardiorespiratory monitoring in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients. J Intensive Care. 2024. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-024-00727-1>.
- 103. Vignon P, Evrard B, Asfar P, et al. Fluid administration and monitoring in ARDS: which management? Intensive Care Med. 2020;46(12):2252–64. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06310-0.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-020-06310-0)
- 104. Figueras J, Weil MH. Hypovolemia and hypotension complicating management of acute cardiogenic pulmonary edema. Am J Cardiol. 1979;44(7):1349–55. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9149\(79\)90452-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0002-9149(79)90452-1).
- 105. Vincent JL, De Backer D. Circulatory shock. N Engl J Med. 2013;369(18):1726–34.<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1208943>.
- 106. Levy B, Bastien O, Karim B, et al. Experts' recommendations for the management of adult patients with cardiogenic shock. Ann Intensive Care. 2015;5(1):52.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-015-0052-1>.
- 107. Cecconi M, De Backer D, Antonelli M, et al. Consensus on circulatory shock and hemodynamic monitoring. Task force of the European

society of intensive care medicine. Intensive Care Med. 2014. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-014-3525-z) doi.org/10.1007/s00134-014-3525-z.

- 108. Mebazaa A, Tolppanen H, Mueller C, et al. Acute heart failure and cardiogenic shock: a multidisciplinary practical guidance. Intensive Care Med. 2016;42(2):147–63.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-015-4041-5>.
- 109. Volpicelli G, Cardinale L, Garofalo G, Veltri A. Usefulness of lung ultrasound in the bedside distinction between pulmonary edema and exacerbation of COPD. Emerg Radiol. 2008;15(3):145–51. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10140-008-0701-x) [org/10.1007/s10140-008-0701-x.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10140-008-0701-x)
- 110. Pivetta E, Goffi A, Nazerian P, et al. Lung ultrasound integrated with clinical assessment for the diagnosis of acute decompensated heart failure in the emergency department: a randomized controlled trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2019;21(6):754–66.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1379>.
- 111. Pivetta E, Goffi A, Lupia E, et al. Lung ultrasound-implemented diagnosis of acute decompensated heart failure in the ED: a SIMEU multicenter study. Chest. 2015;148(1):202–10. [https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-2608) [14-2608](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.14-2608).
- 112. Ferré A, Guillot M, Lichtenstein D, et al. Lung ultrasound allows the diagnosis of weaning-induced pulmonary oedema. Intensive Care Med. 2019;45(5):601–8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-019-05573-6>.
- 113. Chaari A, Bousselmi K, Assar W, et al. Usefulness of ultrasound in the management of acute respiratory distress syndrome. Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci. 2019;9(1):11–5. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJCIIS.IJCIIS_30_18.
- 114. Chen WT, Wang HY, Ye QH, Tang M, Wang YW. The diagnostic values of lung ultrasound for ARDS. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2022;26(18):6642–6. https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202209_29764.
- 115. Arabiat M, Foderaro AE, Levinson AT. Lung Ultrasound for Diagnosing Patients with Severe Dyspnea and Acute Hypoxic Respiratory Failure. R I Med J(2013). 2019;102(10):34–8.
- 116. See KC, Ong V, Tan YL, Sahagun J, Taculod J. Chest radiography versus lung ultrasound for identifcation of acute respiratory distress syndrome: a retrospective observational study. Crit Care. 2018. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-018-2105-y) [org/10.1186/s13054-018-2105-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-018-2105-y).
- 117. Ware LB. Improving ARDS diagnosis: is lung ultrasound the answer? Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2023. [https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202303-0406ED) [202303-0406ED](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202303-0406ED).
- 118. Costamagna A, Pivetta E, Goffi A, et al. Clinical performance of lung ultrasound in predicting ARDS morphology. Ann Intensive Care. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13613-021-00837-1>.
- 119. Pierrakos C, Smit MR, Pisani L, et al. Lung ultrasound assessment of focal and non-focal lung morphology in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. Front Physiol. 2021;12: 730857. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.730857) [3389/fphys.2021.730857](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.730857).
- 120. Heldeweg MLA, Haaksma ME, Smit JM, Smit MR, Tuinman PR. Lung ultrasound to discriminate non-cardiogenic interstitial syndrome from cardiogenic pulmonary edema: Is "gestalt" as good as it gets? J Crit Care. 2023;73: 154180. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2022.154180.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2022.154180)
- 121. Arabi Y, Arroliga AC, Bernard GR, et al. A new global defnition of acute respiratory distress syndrome. D16 ADVANCING THE SCIENCE OF ARDS AND ACUTE RESPIRATORY FAILURE. A6229-A6229.
- 122. Stevic N, Chatelain E, Dargent A, Argaud L, Cour M, Guerin C. Lung recruitability evaluated by recruitment-to-infation ratio and lung ultrasound in COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2021;203(8):1025–7. [https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.202012-4447LE) 202012-4447LF
- 123. Heldeweg MLA, Mousa A, van Ekeren J, et al. Lung ultrasound to predict gas-exchange response to prone positioning in COVID-19 patients: a prospective study in pilot and confrmation cohorts. J Crit Care. 2023;73: 154173. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2022.154173.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2022.154173)
- 124. Prat G, Guinard S, Bizien N, et al. Can lung ultrasonography predict prone positioning response in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients? J Crit Care. 2016;32:36–41. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.12.015) [12.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2015.12.015).
- 125. Haddam M, Zieleskiewicz L, Perbet S, et al. Lung ultrasonography for assessment of oxygenation response to prone position ventilation in ARDS. Intensive Care Med. 2016;42(10):1546–56. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-016-4411-7) [1007/s00134-016-4411-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00134-016-4411-7)
- 126. Grimberg A, Shigueoka DC, Atallah AN, Ajzen S, Iared W. Diagnostic accuracy of sonography for pleural effusion: systematic review. Sao Paulo Med J. 2010;128(2):90–5. [https://doi.org/10.1590/s1516-31802](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1516-31802010000200009) [010000200009](https://doi.org/10.1590/s1516-31802010000200009).
- 127. Youseffard M, Baikpour M, Ghelichkhani P, et al. Screening performance characteristic of ultrasonography and radiography in detection of pleural efusion; a meta-analysis. Emerg (Tehran). 2016;4(1):1–10.
- 128. Dickman E, Terentiev V, Likourezos A, Derman A, Haines L. Extension of the thoracic spine sign: a new sonographic marker of pleural effusion. J Ultrasound Med. 2015;34(9):1555–61. [https://doi.org/10.7863/ultra.15.](https://doi.org/10.7863/ultra.15.14.06013) [14.06013](https://doi.org/10.7863/ultra.15.14.06013).
- 129. Ahmed AA, Martin JA, Saul T, Lewiss RE. The thoracic spine sign in bedside ultrasound. Three cases report. Med Ultrason. 2014;16(2):179–81.
- 130. Chian CF, Su WL, Soh LH, Yan HC, Perng WC, Wu CP. Echogenic swirling pattern as a predictor of malignant pleural effusions in patients with malignancies. Chest. 2004;126(1):129–34. [https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.126.1.129) [126.1.129](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.126.1.129).
- 131. Yang PC, Luh KT, Chang DB, Wu HD, Yu CJ, Kuo SH. Value of sonography in determining the nature of pleural efusion: analysis of 320 cases. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1992;159(1):29–33. [https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.159.1.](https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.159.1.1609716) [1609716.](https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.159.1.1609716)
- 132. Shkolnik B, Judson MA, Austin A, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of thoracic ultrasonography to diferentiate Transudative from exudative pleural efusion. Chest. 2020;158(2):692–7. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.02.051) [02.051.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2020.02.051)
- 133. Miller A. Practical approach to lung ultrasound. BJA Education. 2015;16(2):39–45. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bjaceaccp/mkv012>.
- 134. McLoud TC, Flower CD. Imaging the pleura: sonography, CT, and MR imaging. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1991;156(6):1145–53. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.156.6.2028857) [10.2214/ajr.156.6.2028857](https://doi.org/10.2214/ajr.156.6.2028857).
- 135. Tu CY, Hsu WH, Hsia TC, et al. Pleural effusions in febrile medical ICU patients: chest ultrasound study. Chest. 2004;126(4):1274–80. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.126.4.1274) doi.org/10.1378/chest.126.4.1274.
- 136. Ibitoye BO, Idowu BM, Ogunrombi AB, Afolabi BI. Ultrasonographic quantifcation of pleural efusion: comparison of four formulae. Ultrasonography. 2018;37(3):254–60. [https://doi.org/10.14366/usg.17050.](https://doi.org/10.14366/usg.17050)
- 137. Soldati G, Testa A, Silva FR, Carbone L, Portale G, Silveri NG. Chest ultrasonography in lung contusion. Chest. 2006;130(2):533–8. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.130.2.533) [org/10.1378/chest.130.2.533.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.130.2.533)
- 138. Dicker SA. Lung ultrasound for pulmonary contusions. Vet Clin North Am Small Anim Pract. 2021;51(6):1141–51. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvsm.2021.07.001) [cvsm.2021.07.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvsm.2021.07.001)
- 139. Rovida S, Orso D, Naeem S, Vetrugno L, Volpicelli G. Lung ultrasound in blunt chest trauma: a clinical review. Ultrasound. 2022;30(1):72–9. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742271X21994604>.
- 140. Lichtenstein D. Lung ultrasound in acute respiratory failure an introduction to the BLUE-protocol. Minerva Anestesiol. 2009;75(5):313–7.
- 141. Asmara OD, Pitoyo CW, Wulani V, Harimurti K, Araminta AP. Accuracy of bedside lung ultrasound in emergency (blue) protocol to diagnose the cause of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS): a meta-analysis. Acta Med Indones. 2022;54(2):266–82.
- 142. Lichtenstein DA, Meziere GA. Relevance of lung ultrasound in the diagnosis of acute respiratory failure: the BLUE protocol. Chest. 2008;134(1):117–25. [https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-2800.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.07-2800)
- 143. Bass CM, Sajed DR, Adedipe AA, West TE. Pulmonary ultrasound and pulse oximetry versus chest radiography and arterial blood gas analysis for the diagnosis of acute respiratory distress syndrome: a pilot study. Crit Care. 2015;19(1):282.
- 144. Bar S, Yee C, Lichtenstein D, et al. Assessment of fuid unresponsiveness guided by lung ultrasound in abdominal surgery: a prospective cohort study. Sci Rep. 2022.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05251-6>.
- 145. Bouhemad B, Liu ZH, Arbelot C, et al. Ultrasound assessment of antibiotic-induced pulmonary reaeration in ventilator-associated pneumonia. Crit Care Med. 2010;38(1):84–92. [https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181b08cdb) [e3181b08cdb](https://doi.org/10.1097/CCM.0b013e3181b08cdb).
- 146. Bouhemad B, Brisson H, Le-Guen M, Arbelot C, Lu Q, Rouby JJ. Bedside ultrasound assessment of positive end-expiratory pressure-induced lung recruitment. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2011;183(3):341–7. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201003-0369OC) doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201003-0369OC.
- 147. Caltabeloti F, Monsel A, Arbelot C, et al. Early fuid loading in acute respiratory distress syndrome with septic shock deteriorates lung aeration without impairing arterial oxygenation: a lung ultrasound observational study. Crit Care. 2014.<https://doi.org/10.1186/cc13859>.
- Buessler A, Chouihed T, Duarte K, et al. Accuracy of several lung ultrasound methods for the diagnosis of acute heart failure in the Ed: a

multicenter prospective study. Chest. 2020;157(1):99–110. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.07.017) [org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.07.017.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chest.2019.07.017)

- 149. Mongodi S, De Luca D, Colombo A, et al. Quantitative lung ultrasound: technical aspects and clinical applications. Anesthesiology. 2021;134(6):949–65.<https://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000003757>.
- 150. Bahner DP, Hughes D, Royall NA. I-AIM: a novel model for teaching and performing focused sonography. J Ultrasound Med. 2012;31(2):295– 300. [https://doi.org/10.7863/jum.2012.31.2.295.](https://doi.org/10.7863/jum.2012.31.2.295)
- 151. Vetrugno L, Mojoli F, Boero E, et al. Level of difusion and training of lung ultrasound during the COVID-19 pandemic—a national online Italian survey (ITALUS) from the lung ultrasound working group of the Italian society of Anesthesia, analgesia, resuscitation, and intensive care (SIAARTI). Ultraschall Med. 2022;43(5):464–72. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1634-4710) [1055/a-1634-4710.](https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1634-4710)
- 152. Heldeweg MLA, Vermue L, Kant M, et al. The impact of lung ultrasound on clinical-decision making across departments: a systematic review. Ultrasound J. 2022. [https://doi.org/10.1186/s13089-021-00253-3.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13089-021-00253-3)
- 153. Smith M, Hayward S, Innes S. A proposed framework for point of care lung ultrasound by respiratory physiotherapists: scope of practice, education and governance. Ultrasound J. 2022. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13089-022-00266-6) [s13089-022-00266-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13089-022-00266-6).
- 154. Hayward S, Innes S, Smith M. Challenges and opportunities in pointof-care ultrasound: a qualitative exploration of respiratory physiotherapists' experiences of lung ultrasound training and its adoption in critical care. Ultrasound. 2022;30(2):126–33. [https://doi.org/10.1177/17422](https://doi.org/10.1177/1742271X211034199) [71X211034199.](https://doi.org/10.1177/1742271X211034199)
- 155. Liu K, Yao YL, Wang YX, et al. A cross-sectional survey on the lung ultrasound training and practice of respiratory therapists in mainland China. BMC Pulm Med. 2022.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12890-022-02213-6>.
- 156. CHEST POCUS. [https://www.chestnet.org/Learning/certifcate-of](https://www.chestnet.org/Learning/certificate-of-completion/POCUS)[completion/POCUS](https://www.chestnet.org/Learning/certificate-of-completion/POCUS)
- 157. ASA POCUS. [https://www.asahq.org/education-and-career/educa](https://www.asahq.org/education-and-career/educational-and-cme-offerings/pocus/pocus-faqs-2022) [tional-and-cme-oferings/pocus/pocus-faqs-2022](https://www.asahq.org/education-and-career/educational-and-cme-offerings/pocus/pocus-faqs-2022)
- 158. American college of emergency Physicians ultrasound guidelines. [https://www.acep.org/globalassets/new-pdfs/policy-statements/ultra](https://www.acep.org/globalassets/new-pdfs/policy-statements/ultrasound-guidelines---emergency-point-of-care-and-clinical-ultrasound-guidelines-in-medicine.pdf) [sound-guidelines---emergency-point-of-care-and-clinical-ultrasound](https://www.acep.org/globalassets/new-pdfs/policy-statements/ultrasound-guidelines---emergency-point-of-care-and-clinical-ultrasound-guidelines-in-medicine.pdf)[guidelines-in-medicine.pdf](https://www.acep.org/globalassets/new-pdfs/policy-statements/ultrasound-guidelines---emergency-point-of-care-and-clinical-ultrasound-guidelines-in-medicine.pdf)
- 159. CHEST critical care ultrasonography. [https://www.chestnet.org/Learn](https://www.chestnet.org/Learning/certificate-of-completion/Critical-Care-Ultrasonography) [ing/certifcate-of-completion/Critical-Care-Ultrasonography](https://www.chestnet.org/Learning/certificate-of-completion/Critical-Care-Ultrasonography)
- 160. EDEC guidelines. [https://www.esicm.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/](https://www.esicm.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/EDEC_Guidelines_2018.pdf) [06/EDEC_Guidelines_2018.pdf](https://www.esicm.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/06/EDEC_Guidelines_2018.pdf)
- 161. SCCM recommendations. [https://journals.lww.com/ccmjournal/Docum](https://journals.lww.com/ccmjournal/Documents/Critical%20Care%20Ultrasound.pdf) [ents/Critical%20Care%20Ultrasound.pdf](https://journals.lww.com/ccmjournal/Documents/Critical%20Care%20Ultrasound.pdf)
- 162. Society of point of care ultrasound guidelines. [https://spocus.org/](https://spocus.org/admin-resources/practice-guidelines/) [admin-resources/practice-guidelines/](https://spocus.org/admin-resources/practice-guidelines/)
- 163. NBE critical care echocardiography. [https://www.echoboards.org/wp](https://www.echoboards.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/CCEeXAM-Cert_App-2023.pdf)[content/uploads/2023/02/CCEeXAM-Cert_App-2023.pdf](https://www.echoboards.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/CCEeXAM-Cert_App-2023.pdf)
- 164. SCCM critical care ultrasound. [https://www.sccm.org/Education-](https://www.sccm.org/Education-Center/Educational-Programming/Critical-Care-Ultrasound)[Center/Educational-Programming/Critical-Care-Ultrasound](https://www.sccm.org/Education-Center/Educational-Programming/Critical-Care-Ultrasound)
- 165. British society of echocardiography. [https://www.bsecho.org/Public/](https://www.bsecho.org/Public/Public/Accreditation/Personal-accred/ACCE-accred.aspx) [Public/Accreditation/Personal-accred/ACCE-accred.aspx](https://www.bsecho.org/Public/Public/Accreditation/Personal-accred/ACCE-accred.aspx)
- 166. Pietersen PI, Bhatnagar R, Rahman NM, et al. Evidence-based training and certifcation: the ERS thoracic ultrasound training programme. Breathe (Sheff). 2023;19(2): 230053. [https://doi.org/10.1183/20734735.](https://doi.org/10.1183/20734735.0053-2023) [0053-2023](https://doi.org/10.1183/20734735.0053-2023).

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.