# **RESEARCH LETTER**

# Hyperoxia provokes gut dysbiosis in rats

Zhouxiong Xing<sup>1†</sup>, Yunhang Li<sup>2†</sup>, Guoyue Liu<sup>3</sup>, Ying He<sup>1</sup>, Yuanfa Tao<sup>4</sup> and Miao Chen<sup>1\*</sup>

Keywords: Oxygen therapy, Hyperoxia, Gut microbiota, Gut dysbiosis

Oxygen therapy is widely used in critically ill patients and usually exposes patients to hyperoxia, resulting in adverse clinical outcomes [1]. Many studies have explored the adverse effects of hyperoxia in the lung, heart, and brain. Gut microbiota plays an important role in human health and disease [2]. However, the impact of hyperoxia on gut microbiota remains unclear, and studies are limited and have yielded contradictory results [3, 4]. We attempted to explore the effect of hyperoxia on gut microbiota by exposing rats to normobaric oxygen for 7 days.

The experimental protocol was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Zunyi Medical University. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (8 weeks of age, all the same strain) were obtained from the Kavans Laboratory Animal Company (Changzhou, China). All animals had free access to the same chow and water and were maintained in the same containers. The rats were pooled and randomly divided into the control group (n = 9) and oxygen group (n = 9). The oxygen group was exposed to 80% normobaric oxygen for 7 days in a hyperoxia chamber (Changjintech, Changsha, China). The control group was reared in another chamber with room air for 7 days. Fecal pellets were collected at days 0 and 7, and DNA was extracted and prepared for 16S ribosomal RNA V3–V4 region gene sequencing. Sequencing libraries were sequenced on an Illumina MiSeq platform at Biomarker Technologies Company (Beijing, China). Strain composition analysis and beta diversity analysis were performed. We used linear discriminant analysis (LDA) with effect size measurements for the quantitative analysis of biomarkers within different groups.

Figure 1 shows the relative bacterial abundance at the phylum level and the beta diversity analysis between the groups. At day 0, a principal coordinates analysis (PCA) plot showed that the difference between the two groups was not statistically significant, based on unweighted UniFrac distances  $(R^2 = 0.086, p = 0.055)$  (Fig. 1b). At day 7, the PCA plot showed that the scatter points of the two groups were discrete, and the difference between the groups was statistically significant, based on unweighted UniFrac distances ( $R^2 = 0.185$ , p = 0.001) (Fig. 1d). It was demonstrated that 80% oxygen changed the composition of the gut microbiome. Further LDA analysis showed the enriched bacteria in the two groups at day 7 (Fig. 2). Focusing on the pathogenic bacteria, we found that Streptococcus was enriched in the oxygen group, but Gammaproteobacteria and Proteus were enriched in the control group.

\* Correspondence: chenmiao64@163.com

<sup>†</sup>Zhouxiong Xing and Yunhang Li contributed equally to this work.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi 563000, Guizhou Province, China



<sup>©</sup> The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, wish http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

**Open Access** 

Check for updates

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



(n = 9) at the phylum level at day 0. **b** PCA plot of the control and the oxygen groups (n = 9) at day 0 based on unweighted UniFrac distances  $(R^2 = 0.086, p = 0.055)$ . **c** Relative bacterial abundance of the control and the oxygen groups (n = 9) at the phylum level at day 7. **d** PCA plot of the control and the oxygen groups (n = 9) at day 7 based on unweighted UniFrac distances  $(R^2 = 0.185, p = 0.001^{**})$ . PCA, principal coordinates analysis. \*\*p < 0.01. The corresponding phyla of the pathogenic bacteria in this study: Proteobacteria (Gammaproteobacteria and *Proteus*) and Firmicutes (*Streptococcus*)

To date, a great amount of work has been carried out in hyperoxia-related organ damage, basically and clinically. However, very few studies have explored the impact of hyperoxia on intestinal microbiota [5]. A previous study has indicated that hyperbaric hyperoxia alters the composition of the gut microbiota in mice, and one lineage, *Anaerostipes*, an obligately anaerobic Firmicute, diminishes after hyperbaric hyperoxia [3]. However, a recent study has suggested that normobaric hyperoxia cannot change the gut microbiota in rat pups [4]. However, this study was limited by its small sample size (n = 4). In our study, we found gut dysbiosis induced by normobaric hyperoxia in an adult rodent model. Our model consisted of a larger sample size. Compared to hyperbaric oxygen therapy, normobaric oxygen therapy can expose patients to oxygen for a longer time and is far more widely used in various settings [6]. It is important to know how normobaric hyperoxia influences the gut microbiota. In our study, we also found that



hyperoxia influences some pathogenic bacteria, enriching *Streptococcus* and diminishing Gammaproteobacteria and *Proteus*. A possible reason for this different behavior is that hyperoxia has specific selective effects in different bacteria.

In conclusion, hyperoxia provokes gut dysbiosis in rats, in a complex manner.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from Zunyi Medical University (Yuanzi 2019(04)).

#### Authors' contributions

Zhouxiong Xing and Yunhang Li reviewed the literature, performed the study, and contributed to manuscript drafting; Guoyue Liu, Ying He, and Yuanfa Tao contributed to manuscript drafting; Miao Chen reviewed the literature and was responsible for important intellectual content in the manuscript; all authors issued final approval for the version to be submitted.

#### Funding

The study received financial support from Zunyi Medical University (Yuanzi 2019(04)).

#### Availability of data and materials

The authors state that all the data are true and available in the research letter.

# Ethics approval and consent to participate

Animal care and experimental manipulation were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at Zunyi Medical University.

# **Consent for publication**

Written informed consent for publication was obtained from all participants.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest related to this work.

### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi 563000, Guizhou Province, China. <sup>2</sup>Department of Cardiology, Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi 563000, Guizhou Province, China. <sup>3</sup>Department of Critical Care Medicine, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Zunyi Medical University, Zunyi 563000, Guizhou Province, China. <sup>4</sup>Department of Pancreatic Surgery, Wuhan University Renmin Hospital, Wuhan 430060, Hubei Province, China.

# Received: 1 July 2020 Accepted: 12 August 2020 Published online: 24 August 2020

#### References

- Damiani E, Adrario E, Girardis M, Romano R, Pelaia P, Singer M, et al. Arterial hyperoxia and mortality in critically ill patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Care. 2014;18(6):711–27.
- Kåhrström CT, Pariente N, Weiss U. Intestinal microbiota in health and disease. Nature. 2016;535(7610):47.
- Albenberg L, Esipova TV, Judge CP, Bittinger K, Chen J, Laughlin A, Grunberg S, Baldassano RN, Lewis JD, Li H, Thom SR, Bushman FD, Vinogradov SA, Wu GD. Correlation between intraluminal oxygen gradient and radial partitioning of intestinal microbiota. Gastroenterology. 2014; 147(5):1055–63.
- Wedgwood S, Warford C, Agvatisiri SR, Thai PN, Chiamvimonvat N, Kalanetra KM, et al. The developing gut-lung axis: postnatal growth restriction, intestinal dysbiosis, and pulmonary hypertension in a rodent model. Pediatr Res. 2020;87(3):472–9.
- Makanyengo SO, Carroll GM, Goggins BJ, Smith SR, Pockney PG, Keely S. Systematic review on the influence of tissue oxygenation on gut microbiota and anastomotic healing. J Surg Res. 2020;249:186–96.
- Angus DC. Oxygen therapy for the critically ill. N Engl J Med. 2020;382(11): 1054–6.

# **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- · thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

#### At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

