LETTER

Open Access

Above and beyond: biofilm and the ongoing search for strategies to reduce ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP)



Takashi Sakano¹, Edward A. Bittner¹, Marvin G. Chang^{2*} and Lorenzo Berra¹

Keywords: COVID-19, Coronavirus, Ventilator-associated pneumonia, VAP, Intubation, Biofilm, Endotracheal tube design, Coated endotracheal tubes

We read with great interest the article by Thorarinsdottir et al. [1] that compared biofilm formation on three endotracheal tube (ETT) types with the finding that biofilm formation was reduced in silicone and noble-metal coated ETTs compared to uncoated ETTs. Their findings have significant implications during the current pandemic given the prolonged intubation times of COVID-19 patients and many develop superimposed pneumonias during their hospital course. It is intriguing that simply changing the ETT's coating may have significant implications in this patient population with already limited pulmonary reserve that is unable to tolerate additional insults to their lung from a ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP). However, given the prolonged intubation times of COVID-19 patients, it is likely that the ETT biofilm burden will be substantial no matter which surface coating is utilized. Here, we discuss other strategies to reduce the incidence of VAP.

It is widely accepted that two mechanisms lead to VAP: aspiration of oral-gastric contents and microbial biofilm development on the ETT. Prevention is further complicated by a reduction in host mechanisms such as coughing and mucociliary clearance. Biofilms specifically cause two primary issues: (1)

²Division of Cardiac Anesthesia and Critical Care, Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



non-microbiological problem of intraluminal narrowing and (2) microbiological problem of biofilm development on the inner/outer surfaces of the ETT. The latter issue relates to our current discussion here.

Table 1 summarizes the mechanism, preventive measures, and methods that have been investigated to prevent aspiration and minimize biofilm burden related to tracheal seeding, microaspiration, biofilm formation, ciliary dysfunction, and the cough reflex. Despite substantial research that has continued, available tools to prevent VAP have changed very little. Many of the recommendations from the most recent 2014 Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA) and Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) [2] consensus recommendations aimed at preventing VAP are controversial and have been questioned, including the efficacy and safety of oral chlorhexidine and head of bed elevation [3, 4].

While it is important to develop technologies that minimize ETT microbial burden, other more practical, easily accessible, and affordable preventative measures should continue to be practiced and investigated. As previously advised by Klompas et al. [5], continuing with simple and proven methods that decrease aspiration load and biofilm formation should be maximized. While avoiding intubation may be the surest way to prevent VAP, this is not always possible. Incorporating the latest evidence into our current practice bundles may be key to improving clinical outcomes of COVID-19 patients.

© The Author(s). 2020 **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, with http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*} Correspondence: mgchang@mgh.harvard.edu

	היושמירם הורירווימוזיר וורמזי		שששששים היות היות היות היות היות	מוווזווז וכומרכס רס המכווכמו ל	
formation, ci	iliary dysfunction, and cough	ı reflex			
Source	Tracheal seeding	Microaspiration	Biofilm formation	Ciliary dysfunction	Cough reflex
Mechanism	Intubation advances oral and gastric contents into trachea	ETTs stent vocal cords open and oral secretions flow to distal airways by trickling through creases in ETT cuff	Biofilm embedded microbes are sources of embolic fragments that migrate to distal airway divisions	Low airway moisture causes ciliary dyskinesia, disrupting mucociliary clearance	ETT and sedation interfere with coughing and the cough reflex necessary for secretion clearance
Prevention	Suction and avoid advancing debris through glottis	Maintain cuff pressure of 20–30 cm H2O	Avoid unnecessary suctioning and bronchoscopy	Ventilate with warmed, humidified gas	Extubate early and perform frequent interruptions in sedation
Methods investigated	Oral/dental care Preintubation oral antiseptics	 Novel cuff technologies and materials Continuous pressure cuff monitoring Subglottic secretions drainage Body position (lateral decub, prone, semi recumbent) 	 Antimicrobial/antiseptic coated ETT Antibiotic impregnated ETT ETT cleaning devices 	 Airway humidification Cuffless ETT with novel sealing 	 Artificial cough maneuver

Acknowledgements

None.

Authors' contributions

TS, EAB, MGC, and LB wrote and reviewed the article. The authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

None.

Availability of data and materials Not applicable.

Not applicable.

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

TS, EAB, and MGC declare to have no competing interests. LB receives salary support from K23 HL128882/NHLBI NIH as principal investigator for his work on hemolysis and nitric oxide. LB receives technologies and devices from iNO Therapeutics LLC, Praxair Inc., Masimo Corp. LB receives a grant from iNO Therapeutics LLC and research funds from the Reginald Jenney Endowment Chair at Harvard Medical School.

Author details

¹Division of Critical Care, Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA. ²Division of Cardiac Anesthesia and Critical Care, Department of Anesthesia, Critical Care, and Pain Medicine, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA.

Received: 1 August 2020 Accepted: 9 August 2020 Published online: 18 August 2020

References

- Thorarinsdottir HR, Kander T, Holmberg A, Petronis S, Klarin B. Biofilm formation on three different endotracheal tubes: a prospective clinical trial. Crit Care. 2020;24(1):382.
- Klompas M, Branson R, Eichenwald EC, et al. Strategies to prevent ventilatorassociated pneumonia in acute care hospitals: 2014 update. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2014;35(Suppl 2):S133–54.
- Wang L, Li X, Yang Z, Tang X, Yuan Q, Deng L, Sun X. Semi-recumbent position versus supine position for the prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia in adults requiring mechanical ventilation. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD009946.
- Kiompas M, Li L, Kleinman K, et al. Associations between ventilator bundle components and outcomes. JAMA Intern Med. 2016;176:1277–83.
- Klompas M, Berra L, Branson R. Beware the siren's song of novel endotracheal tube designs. Intensive Care Med. 2017;43(11):1708–11.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.