PublisherInfo				
PublisherName	:	BioMed Central		
PublisherLocation	:	London		
PublisherImprintName	:	BioMed Central		

Interupted sedation reduces ITU length of stay

ArticleInfo			
ArticleID	:	4210	
ArticleDOI	:	10.1186/ccf-2000-5538	
ArticleCitationID	:	5538	
ArticleSequenceNumber	÷	69	
ArticleCategory	÷	Paper Report	
ArticleFirstPage	:	1	
ArticleLastPage	:	3	
ArticleHistory	:	RegistrationDate: 2000-6-27OnlineDate: 2000-6-27	
ArticleCopyright	:	Current Science Ltd2000	
ArticleGrants	:		
ArticleContext	:	1305422	

Aff1 University College London Hospitals, London, UK

Keywords

Mechanical ventilation, midazolam, propofol, sedation

Comments

This is a well designed study with an outcome that should provoke consideration of the risk/benefit profile of continuous sedative infusions in critical care. The study clearly demonstrates that daily waking and assessment of patients on continuous sedative infusions can result in a reduction in duration of both mechanical ventilation and intensive care unit (ICU) stay. However, overall hospital stay and in-hospital mortality did not differsignificantly between the two groups. Sedation level was assessed using the Ramsay score; however, no scores are reported in the paper making itdifficult to assess how much the groups differed in sedation levelachieved. Although reported complications were reduced in the interventiongroup the investigators focussed on events directly attributable to wakefulness (patient removal of endotracheal tube or central venous catheter). They did not address whether other complications (eg cardiovascular instability) or patient distress were more or less frequent with this pattern of sedation.

Introduction

Continuous sedative infusions are commonly employed in many ICUs; however, their use has been associated with prolonged duration of mechanical ventilation and length of ICU and hospital stay. The study was designed to establish whether the daily interruption of continuous sedative infusions could decrease ICU stay, hospital stay and duration of mechanical ventilation.

Methods

• A randomised controlled trial of 128 mechanically ventilated adults in a medical ICU

- Intervention group had sedative infusions stopped until the patient awoke
- Control group had sedative infusions managed according to the attending physicians usual practise
- Patients were further randomly assigned to receive either midazolam with morphine or propofol with morphine

Results

The intervention group had a reduced median duration of mechanical ventilation days (4.9 vs 7.1 days; P = 0.004) and reduced median length of stay in the ICU (6.4 vs 9.9; P = 0.02) when compared with the control group. Fewer of the patients in the intervention group had diagnostic tests to assess mental status (6/68 vs 16/60; P = 0.02). Daily waking andassesment seems to have reduced the requirement for special tests of brainfunction such as CT. Complications occurred less commonly in the intervention group (3/68 vs 4/60; P = 0.88).Patients in the intervention group sedated with midazolam received a lower total dose of midazolam (P = 0.05) and morphine (P = 0.009) than those in the control group. No comparable difference was observed in patients sedated with propafol.

Additional information

See accompanying editorial by Heffner et al, New Engl J Med 2000, 342:1520-1522

References

1. Kress JP, Pohlman AS, O'Connor F, Hall JB: Daily interruption of sedative infusions in critically ill patients undergoing mechanical ventilation. N Engl J Med. 2000, 342: 1471-7.

This PDF file was created after publication.