PublisherInfo				
PublisherName		BioMed Central		
PublisherLocation		London		
PublisherImprintName		BioMed Central		

A transfusion-independent method for treating ICU-associated anemia

ArticleInfo			
ArticleID	:	4184	
ArticleDOI		10.1186/ccf-2000-4030	
ArticleCitationID	:	4030	
ArticleSequenceNumber	\Box	43	
ArticleCategory	\Box	Paper Report	
ArticleFirstPage	:	1	
ArticleLastPage	:	3	
ArticleHistory	:	RegistrationDate : 2000–1–14 OnlineDate : 2000–1–14	
ArticleCopyright	:	Current Science Ltd2000	
ArticleGrants	\Box		
ArticleContext	:	1305422	

Keywords

Anemia, blood substitutes, blood transfusion, erythropoietin, intensive care unit, transfusion medicine

Comments

This study is admirable for its design and questioning of a common clinicalscenario. It suggests a practical, clinically available alternative to bloodtransfusion in this 'at risk' population which would avoid the hazards ofblood transfusion. The authors, using the results from the study, were ableto suggest reasons for the anemia seen in ICU patients which need further investigation to fully elucidate the pathophysiology involved. Note however, that in the method, the authors did vary from routine clinical practice within the UK, by giving all enrolled patients oral iron from the start of the study, or as soon as bowel sounds were present.

Introduction

Much recent interest has been focused on the transfusionrequirements of intensive care unit (ICU) patients and how best to reduce patient exposure tounnecessary transfusions (and so avoid the associated risks). Previous workhas identified the ICU population as having a transfusion requirement of 2-4units/ week.ICU patients, particularly those with sepsis, appear unable to mount anerythropoietic response to compensate for their anemia. It is uncertainwhether this is as a result of inappropriately low erythropoietin productionfor the hemoglobin level or a failure to respond to endogenouserythropoietin.

Aims

This study aimed to determine whether the administration of recombinant human erythropoietin (rHuEPO) to critically ill patients would reduce the requirements for red blood cell (RBC) transfusions.

Methods

The study was a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial carried out in three tertiary care ICUs and studied a total of 160 patients (80 in each of the placebo and rHuEPOgroups). Patients who fulfilled the study criteria were randomized and entered the trial on day 3 of their ICU admission. rHuEPO was administered subcutaneously at a dose of 300 units/kg for a total of 5days. Subsequently, the study drug was administered on alternate days for aminimum of 2 weeks, or until ICU discharge. The rHuEPO was temporarily withheld when the hematocrit (Hct) reached > 38% and restarted when the Hctfell below 38%. Outcomes examined were cumulative blood transfusion requirements during the study period and transfusion independence between study days 8 and 42.

Results

Of 1778 patients admitted to the three ICUs over the studyperiod, 329 were eligible but only 160 were enrolled (most commonly due torefusal to consent). The study populations were well matched and did not differ from the patients who did not consent to participate in the study. Cumulative transfusion requirements for the rHuEPO group were significantlyless than the placebo group (166 total units in the rHuEPO group versus 305 totalunits for the placebo group). Each patient received a mean of 8.3 ± 4.5 dosesof rHuEPO. When transfusion independence was examined, 45% of patients in the rHuEPO groupeither received a blood transfusion between day 8 and 42 or died beforestudy day 42, compared to 55% patients in the placebo group. There was no significant difference in the two groups in mortality or adverse events.

Discussion

The authors conclude that administration of rHuEPO to ICUpatients resulted in a significant reduction in blood transfusion requirements. They also concluded that the ability of this ICU population torespond to rHuEPO may indicate that the anemia seen in this population isdue to a blunted erythropoietic response, as well as an impaired ability torespond to endogenous erythropoietin. They suggested that further studieswere needed to identify the potential benefits of avoiding RBCtransfusion.

References

1. Gettinger A, Rodriguez RM, Pearl RG, Gubler KD, Enny C, Colton T, Corwin MJ: Efficacy of recombinant human erythropoietin in the critically ill patient: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Crit Care Med. 2000, 27: 2346-2350.

This PDF file was created after publication.