

LETTER

Outcome measures for manual lung hyperinflation: not there yet!

George Ntoumenopoulos*

See related research by Paulus et al., http://ccforum.com/content/16/4/R145

The recent systematic review by Paulus and colleagues provides an insight into manual lung hyperinflation (MHI) [1], but deserves further comment.

MHI research has generally focused on surrogate measures of secretion clearance, such as lung/thorax compliance [2]. Investigation into the effects of MHI on airway secretion clearance is warranted to elucidate the mechanistic and hence potential therapeutic role.

Volpe and colleagues [3] and Li Bassi and colleagues [4] have reported mechanical ventilation flow-bias thresholds that can move airway secretions both towards (expel) and away (embed) from the mechanical ventilator. These measurement methods may be useful to identify the optimal MHI technique [4]. Van Aswegen and colleagues recently demonstrated that MHI with a positive endexpiratory pressure of 7.5 cmH₂O in a supine position resulted in a preferential airflow distribution (using technetium-99m) to the right lung as compared with the left lung [5]. Hence, for left lung collapse the combination of patient positioning (for example, lying on the right side) with MHI may both optimise lung recruitment and/ or secretion clearance.

Owing to the requirement for airway disconnection, Paulus and colleagues allude to the potential for MHI to result in airway contamination and cause ventilatorassociated pneumonia [1]. Along similar lines, however, closed suction has often been advocated as a means to prevent ventilator-associated pneumonia (also by preventing circuit disconnection). A recent meta-analysis on closed versus open suction demonstrated no changes in the rates of ventilator-associated pneumonia [6], but closed suction was associated with increased duration of mechanical ventilation and airway contamination. The optimal MHI technique and outcome measures require identification.

Abbreviations

MHI, manual lung hyperinflation.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Published: 8 November 2012

References

- Paulus F, Binnekade JM, Vroom MB, Schultz MJ: Benefits and risks of manual hyperinflation in intubated and mechanically ventilated intensive care unit-patients: a systematic review. Crit Care 2012, 16:R145
- Hodgson C, Denehy L, Ntoumenopoulos G, Santamaria J, Carroll S: An investigation of the early effects of manual lung hyperinflation in critically ill patients. Anaesth Intensive Care 2000, 28:255-261.
- Volpe MS, Adams AB, Amato MB, Marini JJ: Ventilation patterns influence airway secretion movement. Respir Care 2008, 53:1287-1294
- Li Bassi G, Saucedo L, Marti JD, Rigol M, Esperatti M, Luque N, Ferrer M, Gabarrus A, Fernandez L, Kolobow T, Torres A: Effects of duty cycle and positive end-expiratory pressure on mucus clearance during mechanical ventilation. Crit Care Med 2012, 40:895-902.
- Van Aswegen H, Van Aswegen A, Du Raan H, Du Toit R, Spruyt M, Nel R, Maleka M: Airflow distribution with manual hyperinflation as assessed through gamma camera imaging: a crossover randomised trial. Physiotherapy 2012. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2012.05.007]
- Siempos I, Vardakas K, Falagas W: Closed tracheal suction systems for prevention of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Br J Anaesth 2008, 100:299-306

doi:10.1186/cc11496

Cite this article as: Ntoumenopoulos G: Outcome measures for manual lung hyperinflation: not there yet! Critical Care 2012, 16:457

